



Evaluation of Purple Segmented Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) Genotypes for Growth and Yield Contributing Characters under Coimbatore Condition

S. V. Varshik^{a++*}, B. K. Savitha^{a#}, C. Thangamani^{a#},
N. Manikanda Boopathi^{b†} and M. Suganthy^{c‡}

^a Department of Vegetable Science, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India.

^b Directorate of Research, TNAU, Coimbatore, India.

^c Nammazhvar Organic Farming Research Centre, TNAU, Coimbatore, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i92208

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/102176>

Original Research Article

Received: 18/04/2023

Accepted: 21/06/2023

Published: 26/06/2023

ABSTRACT

Aim: Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) is one of the most important vegetable crops which is grown in India and throughout the world which belongs to Solanaceae family with chromosome number $2n=24$. This investigation is mainly aimed to evaluate the brinjal genotypes and identify the superior genotypes with high yield, good quality and tolerance to shoot and fruit borer.

⁺⁺ M.Sc. Scholar;

[#] Assistant Professor (Horticulture);

[†] Professor (Biotechnology);

[‡] Professor (Agriculture Entomology);

*Corresponding author: E-mail: savitha.bk@tnau.ac.in;

Study Design: The experimental materials consisted of twenty-one genotypes and two check were laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with two replications.

Place and Duration of Research: The study was carried out at Horticulture orchard, Department of Vegetable Science, Horticulture College and research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore during 2022-2023.

Methodology: In this study, twenty-one genotypes along with two checks were collected from various part of Tamil Nadu. Plants were raised in portrays and 30 days old plants were transplanted to main field at 60 X 60 cm spacing. Growth and yield parameters were observed and recorded.

Results: Based on *per se* performances CBE-SM-006 found to be superior genotype since, it recorded highest marketable fruit yield, number of flowers per cluster, number of fruits per cluster and minimum fruit and shoot borer infestation followed by CBE-SM-108. Hence, CBE-SM-006 and CBE-SM-108 are the promising genotypes which can be used for further breeding programme.

Conclusion: The study will provide detail knowledge on selecting the superior genotypes for further crop improvement in brinjal.

Keywords: *Brinjal; per se performance; genotype; purple segment; fruit yield; fruit traits; marketable yield.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) is widely cultivated as one of the important vegetable crops in India and throughout the world. It is commonly known as poor man's cup, eggplant, aubergine in many parts of the globe. It is believed to be originated in India, belongs to the family *Solanaceae*. As it is the native crop of the country, it has started to cultivate since time immemorial. Brinjal having highly prolific, precocious bearing habit and usually finds its place as the poor man's crop. It is very popular among the people of all social status and hence, it is called as vegetable of masses. Brinjal is most popular, common vegetable crop grown in almost all parts of India except higher altitudes, all the year round. Brinjal is the important vegetable crop in India. It contributes about 9% of total vegetable production in our country. The important brinjal growing countries in the world are China, India, Japan, Egypt, Syria, Philippines and Western Europe.

In India major Brinjal growing states are West Bengal, Orissa, Bihar, Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Maharashtra and Tamil Nadu. In India it is grown under the area of about 0.75million ha with an annual production of 12.98 million tonnes (www.nhb.gov.in). In Tamil Nadu, it is grown under the area of about 15,084 ha with production of about 3,02,408 tonnes with 25 t/ha (www.nhb.gov.in). Since the total cultivated area under brinjal is very limited, brinjal crop improvement is the only way to meet the demand in the market.

In Tamil Nadu, it is grown in rainy as well as winter season. To meet the market demand

throughout the year which needs genetic advancement for high yield and productivity in the existing germplasm of brinjal. It is grown in almost all the district of Tamil Nadu and mostly in Dindigul, Theni, and Madurai. In all these districts, people prefer only green colored fruits but in Chennai, Vellore, Tiruvallur, Ranipet region, people prefer purple colored oblong fruit rather than green type. Poriyal, brinjal sambar, brinjal curry, chutney, smashed brinjal, filled brinjal fry, veggie kebab brinjal fry, etc. are some of the foods that are frequently produced in these areas. For these recipes, fruits of a variable size are recommended based on the requirement of recipes. In order to meet the consumer preference of these districts, it is necessary to identify the best performing genotypes of purple colored brinjal with resistance to shoot and fruit borer, high yield potential, earliness and good qualitative and quantitative characters for further crop improvement.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The current study was conducted in the Department of Vegetable Science, Horticulture orchard, Horticulture College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu during the year 2022-2023 which is situated at 11.5° latitude, 79.8° longitude and 426.6° altitude above mean sea level with an objective of evaluation of purple segmented brinjal genotypes for yield, quality and tolerance to shoot and fruit borer. For this investigation, a total of twenty-one genotypes viz, CBE-SM-001, CBE-SM-006, CBE-SM-007, CBE-SM-080, CBE-SM-081, CBE-SM-084, CBE-SM-100, CBE-SM-105, CBE-SM-106,

CBE-SM-107, CBE-SM-108, CBE-SM-109, CBE-SM-110, CBE-SM-113, CBE-SM-114, CBE-SM-115, CBE-SM-116, CBE-SM-117, CBE-SM-118, CBE-SM-119, CBE-SM-120 and two check varieties PLR-1 and PLR-2 which are collected from various parts of Tamil Nadu are evaluated.

The portray nursery are raised and 30-day old seedlings of all twenty-one genotypes are transplanted to main field at a spacing of 60 × 60 cm in raised bed with installed drip irrigation system under randomized block design method with two replications. All the intercultural operation and input application is done periodically. Five randomly selected plants in each replication of each genotype are evaluated for eighteen characters viz, Plant height (cm), Plant spread (cm), Number of branches per plant, Days to first flowering, Days to 50 percent flowering, Days to first harvest, Number of flowers per cluster, Number of fruits per cluster, Fruit length (cm), Fruit girth (cm), Single fruit weight (g), Calyx length (cm), Pedicel length (cm), number of Fruits per plant, Fruit yield per plant (g), Shoot borer infestation (%), Fruit borer infestation (%) and marketable yield per plant (kg).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of Variance for growth and yield character (Table 1), The per se performance (Table 2, Fig. 1, Fig. 2, Fig. 3) and top performance of genotypes for different characters (Table 3) are discussed below.

3.1 Plant Height (cm)

The present study showed that, among all the genotypes, CBE-SM-106 recorded highest plant height of 136.6 cm followed by CBE-SM-105 of 126 cm. Similar record was reported by Barsha Tripathy et al. [1], Rani et al. [2], V. Silambarasan et al. [3] and R Kanchana et al. [4].

3.2 Plant Spread (cm)

The plant spread was found to be maximum in the genotype CBE-SM-084 (113.1 cm) followed by CBE-SM-106 (112.9 cm). similar findings were recorded by Kanchan et al. [4].

3.3 Number of Branches per Plant

Maximum number of branches per plant was observed in the genotype CBE-SM-106 of 13.6

followed by CBE-SM-109 of 13.4. Similar observation was reported by Barsha Tripathy et al. [1], Rani et al. [2], V. Silambarasan et al. [3] and R Kanchana et al. [4].

3.4 Days to First Flowering

The genotype CBE-SM-001 (36.5) reported the earliest to produce flowering followed by CBE-SM-080 (44.9). Similar observation was reported by Kumar et al. (2014), Rani et al. [2], V. Silambarasan et al. [3] and Kanchana et al. [4].

3.5 Days to 50 Percent Flowering

The minimum number of days to produce 50 per cent flowering was observed in the genotype CBE-SM-001 (50.2) followed by CBE-SM-080 (55.1). Earliness was also reported by Barsha Tripathy et al. [1], Hadassah C. et al. [5] and R Kanchana et al. [4].

3.6 Days to First Harvest

The minimum number of days for the first harvest was observed in genotype CBE-SM-001 of 53.9 days followed by CBE-SM-106 of 61.8 days. Similar earliness was reported by Hadassah Chinthaguthi et al. [5], Rani et al. [2], V. Silambarasan et al. [3] and R Kanchana et al. [4].

3.7 Number of Flowers per Cluster

The genotype CBE-SM-120 reported the highest number of flowers per cluster of about 4.7 followed by CBE-SM-006 (4.5). Similar record was reported by Abhay Wagh et al. [6], Barsha Tripathy et al. [1] and V. Silambarasan et al. [3].

3.8 Number of Fruits per Cluster

The maximum number of fruits per cluster was recorded in the genotype CBE-SM-120 (4.5) followed by CBE-SM-006 (4.4). Similar observation is recorded by N Nirmala et al. (2013), Abhimanyu Chaturvedi et al. [7] and V. Silambarasan et al. [3].

3.9 Fruit Length (cm)

The maximum length of the fruit was observed in the genotype CBE-SM-081 (22.79cm) followed by the genotype CBE-SM-100 (21.35 cm). Similar findings were reported by Kumar et al. (2014), Barsha Tripathy et al. [1], V. Silambarasan et al. [3] and R Kanchana et al. [4].

3.10 Fruit Girth (cm)

The genotype CBE-SM-115 (20.53 cm) recorded the highest fruit girth followed by CBE-SM-080 (18.39 cm). Similar observation was reported by Kumar *et al.* (2018), Barsha Tripathy *et al.* [1], V. Silambarasan *et al.* [3] and in genotype CO 2 (6.94 cm) by R Kanchana *et al.* [4].

3.11 Single Fruit Weight (g)

The single fruit weight was observed to be highest in CBE-SM-100 (160.4 g) followed by CBE-SM-080 (159 g). Similar findings were reported by Kumar *et al.* (2014), Barsha Tripathy *et al.* [1], V. Silambarasan *et al.*, [3] and R Kanchana *et al.* [4].

3.12 Calyx Length (cm)

Calyx length was found to be in the range of 5.47 cm in CBE-SM-117 to 5.41 cm in CBE-SM-115. similar findings were reported by Kanchana *et al.* [4].

3.13 Pedicel Length (cm)

Pedicel length was found to be in the range of 6.31 m in CBE-SM-113 to 6.09 cm in CBE-SM-116. similar findings were reported by Kanchana *et al.* [4].

3.14 Fruits per Plant

the genotype CBE-SM-120 (34.6) reported the highest number of fruits per plant followed by CBE-SM-006 (29.2). Similar findings are reported by Rani *et al.* [2], Hadassah Chinthaguthi *et al.* [5], V. Silambarasan *et al.*, [3] and R Kanchana *et al.* [4].

3.15 Fruit Yield per Plant (kg)

CBE-SM-006 recorded the highest yield per plant of 2.41 kg followed by CBE-SM-108 of about 2.11kg Similar observation was recorded by Hadassah Chinthaguthi *et al.* [5], Rani *et al.* [2], V. Silambarasan *et al.*, [3] and R Kanchana *et al.* [4].

3.16 Shoot Borer Infestation (%)

the genotype CBE-SM-006 recorded the lowest infestation of shoot borer of 10.19 followed by CBE-SM-105 (11.88). Similar observation was also reported by Abhay Wagh *et al.* [6] and R Kanchana *et al.* [4].

3.17 Fruit Borer Infestation (%)

CBE-SM-006 (10.12) recorded the lowest incidence of fruit borer followed by CBE-SM-105 (11.05). Similar findings is reported by Niranjana *et al.*, (2016).

3.18 Marketable Yield per Plant

CBE-SM-006 recorded the highest marketable yield per plant of 2.16 kg followed by CBE-SM-108 of about 1.87 kg Similar observation was recorded by Hadassah Chinthaguthi *et al.* [5], Rani *et al.* [2], V. Silambarasan *et al.*, [3] and R Kanchana *et al.* [4].

Brinjal is one of the most important vegetable crops which is having prolific and precocious bearing habit with wide climatic adoptability. The loss of yield is mainly due to brinjal shoot and fruit borer. Controlling of this pest by adopting proper crop improvement programme is very important to meet the future requirement [8-10]. In the genotypes, genetic variability and the degree to which crop yield and quality parameters are heritable from one generation to another, determines the final output. Hence, genetic diversity acts as a base for crop improvement. For the effective breeding programme, to develop the hybrid or variety, selection of parents is very much important. Based on the phenotypic expression, selection of parents from the genotypes is carried out easily when the traits are controlled by few genes and easily inherited. The description of the genotypes is most important for any breeding programme based on the plant growth, flowering and fruiting behavior. From the simple selection method, variability in quantitative characters can be exploited and the qualitative characters are acts as morphological markers for crop improvement programme.

On evaluation, it is found that CBE-SM-006 gives maximum marketable yield per plant, maximum number of flowers per cluster, maximum number of fruits per plant, less incidence of shoot and fruit borer incidence over check varieties PLR-1 and PLR-2 followed by genotype CBE-SM-108, CBE-SM-106, CBE-SM-109 and CBE-SM-117. The traits like number of flowers per cluster, number of fruits per cluster, number of fruits per plant and less incidence to shoot and fruit borer may be directly correlated to marketable yield of the crop [11-13].

Table 1. Analysis of variance for growth and yield characters

S. No.	Characters	Replication (df=1)	Genotypes (df=22)	Error (df=22)
1	Plant height (cm)	0.83	348.5**	3.0
2	Plant spread (cm)	2.78	155.09**	0.65
3	Number of branches per plant	0.234	5.063**	0.223
4	Days to first flowering	6.38	36.09**	0.82
5	Days to 50 %flowering	1.04	34.17**	0.29
6	Number of flowers per cluster	0.0426	1.0551**	0.0162
7	Days to first harvest	3.886	29.282**	0.356
8	Number of fruits per cluster	0.0078	1.6861**	0.0251
9	Number of fruits per plant	0.90	84.47**	0.52
10	Fruit length (cm)	0.101	27.28**	0.189
11	Fruit girth (cm)	0.197	11.025**	0.040
12	Single fruit weight (g)	0.2	19.09**	1.6
13	Calyx length (cm)	0.0024	1.0700**	0.0094
14	Pedicle length (cm)	0.0168	2.3017**	0.0140
15	Shoot borer infestation (%)	0.071	5.940**	0.038
16	Fruit borer infestation (%)	0.07	87.42**	0.13
17	Fruit yield per plant (kg)	0.0001	0.4106**	0.0030
18	Marketable yield per plant (kg)	0.02131	0.31154**	0.00327

** Significance at 1 per cent level




Fig. 1. Per se performance of brinjal genotypes for plant height (cm), plant spread (cm), days to first flowering, days to 50% flowering, number of branches per plant and calyx length (cm)




Fig. 2. Per se performance of brinjal genotypes for days to first harvest, fruit length (cm), fruit girth, single fruit weight (g), pedicle length (cm) and No. of flowers per cluster

Table 2. Per se mean performance of brinjal genotypes for growth and yield parameters

Accession number	Plant height (cm)	Plant spread (cm)	Number of branches per plant	Days to first flowering	Days to 50% flowering	No. of flowers per cluster	Days to first harvest	No. of fruits per cluster	No. of fruits per plant
CBE-SM-001	103.15	87.2	10.2	36.5	50.2	4.1	53.9	1.35	22.2
CBE-SM-006	97.2	103.7	9.8	55.2	66.6	4.5	72.2	4.4	29.2
CBE-SM-007	105.6	96.8	13.3	45.9	56.85	3.7	61.6	1.6	24.3
CBE-SM-080	112.6	86.1	11.5	44.9	55.1	3	64.4	1	16.4
CBE-SM-081	116.5	96.7	10.9	52.6	63.3	2.6	70.1	1.35	12.55
CBE-SM-084	104.7	113.1	8.3	46.4	56.9	2.8	67	1.15	23
CBE-SM-100	111.8	96.1	11.3	48.9	58.9	2.5	71.8	1.95	15.65
CBE-SM-105	126	105.1	11.6	50.3	57.9	3.6	65.4	1.25	24.9
CBE-SM-106	136.6	112.9	13.6	46	56.4	3.7	61.8	1.25	23.8
CBE-SM-107	122.2	90.5	11.6	52.2	63.8	2.7	67.6	1.7	24.05
CBE-SM-108	115.7	103.1	12.2	48.8	57.5	3.7	63.8	3.2	26
CBE-SM-109	95.9	90.1	13.4	48.7	57.6	3.1	63.9	2	23.4
CBE-SM-110	97.2	104	9.3	54.2	64.7	4.6	66.7	2.15	21.5
CBE-SM-113	86.8	87	9.1	55	65.3	4.4	68.7	1.5	16.75
CBE-SM-114	112.4	84.3	12.1	50.4	58.7	2.6	66.8	1.24	13.3
CBE-SM-115	96.6	102.8	12.9	50.1	58.6	2.7	65.6	1	11.95
CBE-SM-116	93	93.3	12.4	50.6	58.2	2.6	66.2	1.25	9
CBE-SM-117	104.8	93.3	11.6	52.1	64.7	3.6	68.1	2.6	21.5
CBE-SM-118	92.1	96.7	11.8	52.1	61.7	3	68.4	1.25	13.45
CBE-SM-119	122.1	96.9	9.6	52.2	61.6	2.6	65.3	1.2	9.95
CBE-SM-120	85.5	82.6	8.6	53.9	64.9	4.7	68.6	4.5	34.6
PLR-1 (check)	99.03	106.86	9.58	52.39	56.05	4.3	67.05	2.65	22.10
PLR-2 (check)	96.13	89.14	12.49	51.1	58.9	3.2	69.37	2.25	23.96
Maximum	136.6	113.1	13.6	55.2	66.6	4.7	72.2	4.5	34.6
Minimum	85.5	82.6	8.3	36.5	50.2	2.5	53.9	1	9
Range	51.1	30.5	5.3	18.7	16.4	2.2	18.3	3.5	25.6
Mean	105.809	96.447	11.181	50.021	59.756	3.360	66.274	1.903	20.152
S.E	2.752	1.830	0.330	0.862	0.847	0.157	0.801	0.206	1.355
CD (5%)	3.635	1.685	0.986	1.892	1.117	0.266	1.252	0.331	1.511

Table 2. Contd...

Accession number	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit girth (cm)	Fruit weight (g)	Calyx length(cm)	Petiole length (cm)	Shoot infestation (%)	Fruit infestation (%)	Fruit yield per plant (kg)	Marketable yield per plant (kg)
CBE-SM-001	10.44	13.34	68.8	4.17	5.23	12.7	12.95	1.52	1.32
CBE-SM-006	12.5	14.6	82.6	4.25	3.59	10.19	10.12	2.41	2.16
CBE-SM-007	8.54	16.43	58.1	5.16	4.3	12.46	13.36	1.41	1.22
CBE-SM-080	12.79	18.39	159	4.12	5.34	12.17	18.26	1.6	1.13
CBE-SM-081	22.79	14.39	127.7	5.14	5.35	16.26	24.37	1.6	1.21
CBE-SM-084	10.56	16.37	56.1	4.13	4.38	12.51	20.03	1.29	1.03
CBE-SM-100	21.35	13.31	160.4	5.14	5.36	15.32	13.71	1.51	1.06
CBE-SM-105	12.62	17.49	72.75	5.42	4.39	11.88	11.05	1.81	1.61
CBE-SM-106	12.48	15.48	81.95	5.11	4.38	16.63	13.19	1.95	1.69
CBE-SM-107	9.4	15.9	59.4	4.15	5.2	12.33	18.95	1.41	1.15
CBE-SM-108	10.67	19.37	81.4	4.21	5.38	14.75	11.52	2.11	1.87
CBE-SM-109	9.42	16.42	83.25	4.17	4.38	16.23	12.77	1.94	1.68
CBE-SM-110	8.6	16.9	85	5.14	5.41	12.45	13.48	1.82	1.58
CBE-SM-113	15.59	14.21	95.9	5.27	6.31	13.53	24.89	1.6	1.2
CBE-SM-114	10.38	18.27	95.8	5.21	5.25	16.68	22.35	1.27	0.98
CBE-SM-115	14.48	20.53	87.9	5.41	4.3	13.08	34.75	1.05	0.68
CBE-SM-116	14.45	17.94	83.45	4.16	6.09	12.86	25.4	0.75	0.58
CBE-SM-117	8.7	13.65	86.7	5.47	5.4	13.78	15.15	1.86	1.58
CBE-SM-118	12.83	16.36	98.5	5.22	3.43	13.93	28.19	1.32	0.95
CBE-SM-119	15.62	13.12	80.7	5.17	5.31	12.97	24.09	0.8	0.6
CBE-SM-120	10.9	12.16	40.3	5.11	4.3	12.79	11.37	1.39	1.23
PLR-1 (check)	11.36	11.5	48.33	3.4	4.19	13.63	21.7	1.87	1.39
PLR-2 (check)	14.12	12.56	44.75	4.77	4.7	12.85	19.51	1.70	1.24
Maximum	22.79	20.53	160.4	5.47	22.79	16.68	34.75	2.41	2.16
Minimum	8.54	11.5	40.3	3.4	8.54	10.19	10.12	0.75	0.58
Range	14.25	9.03	120.1	2.07	14.25	6.49	24.63	1.66	1.58
Mean	12.63435	15.59522	84.29478	4.76087	12.63435	13.56435	18.3113	1.564783	1.31087
S.E	0.76712	0.50568	6.448874	0.123277	0.76712	0.351047	1.365058	0.082548	0.088688
CD (5%)	0.905	0.419	2.646	0.245	0.202	0.407	0.748	0.114	0.158

Table 3. Top performance of genotypes for different characters

Sl. No	Genotypes	Per se performance of genotypes for various traits
1	CBE-SM-006	Plant spread, Number of flowers per cluster, Number of fruits per cluster, fruit yield per plant, marketable yield per plant, Number of fruits per plant, fruit weight, calyx length, pedicel length, minimum shoot and fruit borer infestation, protein content, ascorbic acid.
2	CBE-SM-105	Plant height, Plant spread, fruit yield per plant, marketable yield per plant, Number of fruits per plant, fruit girth, fruit weight, calyx length, pedicel length, minimum shoot and fruit borer infestation.
3	CBE-SM-106	Plant height, Plant spread, fruit yield per plant, marketable yield per plant, Number of fruits per plant, fruit weight, minimum shoot and fruit borer infestation.
4	CBE-SM-108	Plant height, Plant spread, days to first flowering, days to fifty percent flowering, Number of fruits per cluster, fruit yield per plant, marketable yield per plant, fruit girth, minimum shoot and fruit borer infestation, ascorbic acid.
5	CBE-SM-109	days to first flowering, days to fifty percent flowering, fruit yield per plant, marketable yield per plant, Number of fruits per plant, fruit weight, calyx length, pedicel length.
6	CBE-SM-117	Plant height, Plant spread, fruit yield per plant, marketable yield per plant, fruit weight, minimum shoot and fruit borer infestation.




Fig. 3. Per se performance of brinjal genotypes for number of fruits per plant, number of fruits per cluster, fruit yield per plant (kg), marketable yield per plant (kg), shoot infestation (%) and fruit infestation (%)

4. CONCLUSION

With respect to traits like, plant spread, number of flowers per cluster, number of fruits per cluster, number of fruits per plant, less incidence of shoot and fruit borer, fruit yield per plant and marketable fruit yield per plant, the genotype CBE-SM-006 is excelled which is followed by

CBE-SM-108, CBE-SM-106, CBE-SM-109 and CBE-SM-117. The highest marketable yield is may be due to less incidence of shoot and fruit borer incidence. The stability of these genotypes can be assessed and further can be used for future breeding programme to develop the varieties and hybrids with high yield, good quality and tolerance to shoot and fruit borer infestation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors thanks the Tamil Nadu Agricultural University for providing all the support and making the research a great success.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Barsha T, Dhananjay S, Bhanu PJ, Pappu LB. Evaluation of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) genotypes for growth and yield characters under Chhattisgarh condition. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2017; 6(10):416-420.
2. Rani M, Kumar S, Kumar M. Estimation of heterosis for yield and its contributing traits in brinjal. Journal of Environmental Biology. 2018;8(3):671-679.
3. Silambarasan V, Eswaran R, Senthilkumar N, Thangavel P. Studies on genetic divergence in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Plant Archives; 2020.
4. Kanchana R, Vijayalatha KR, Anitha T, Sandeep G, Paramaguru P. *Per se*, Performance of Hybrids and Parents for Yield and Quality in Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(10):14-21.
5. Hadassah C, Sarnaik DA, Dhananjay S. Evaluation of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) genotypes for flowering and yield parameters. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018;7(12):3101-3105.
6. Abhay W, Prakash N, Potdukhe NR. Performance of Brinjal Genotypes and their Hybrids For growth and Yield Contributing Characters. Advances in Life Sciences. 2016; 5(17): 6751-6747.
7. Abhimanyu C, Chaturvedi SK, Chinanshuk G. Varietal evaluation of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) cultivars under Allahabad agro - climatic conditions. International Journal of Bio-resource, Environment and Agricultural Sciences. 2016; 2(3):364-368.
8. Akshay DA, Praneetha S, Vethamoni PI, Rajeswari S. Mean performance of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) genotypes under Tamil Nadu condition. Journal of Agriculture and Ecology. 2018;6:47-53.
9. Arindam D, Manas KP, Sanjay B, Shubhra JS, Kola M. A Study on Floral Morphology of Brinjal Genotypes in Gangetic-Alluvial Zone of West Bengal. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;6(10):3323-3331.
10. Hussain K, Khan SH, Kouser P, Narayan S, Afroza B, Mukhdoomi MI, Qurat UA, Nazir G, Syeda F, Hussain SM, Seerat R, Dar ZA, Bhat K. Morphological description of brinjal genotypes under temperate conditions of Kashmir. Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences. 2018;7(9):60-64.
11. Jayalakshmi KS, Praneetha S. Evaluation of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) local types for yield and its quality characters. International Journal of Chemical sciences. 2018;6(3):292-297.
12. Neha R, Sandip D, Avijit KD, Brijesh P, Ajeet SKR. Characterization of indigenous brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) lines using morphological traits under Jharkhand condition. Annals of Plant and Soil Research. 2020;22(4):425-431.
13. Rameshkumar D, Priya RS, Savitha BK, Ravikesavan R, Muthukrishnan N. A Correlation and path analysis studies on yield and yield components in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2021;12(1):249-252.

© 2023 Varshik et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/102176>