



# Heavy Metal Concentrations in Some Vegetables Grown in a Farm Treated with Urban Solid Waste in Kuru Jantar, Nigeria

Nimyel D. Nanven<sup>1\*</sup>, J. N. Egila<sup>2</sup> and Y. N. Lohdip<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Science, Plateau State Polytechnic, Barkin Ladi, Nigeria.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Jos, Nigeria.

## Authors' contributions

*This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author NDN designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript and managed literature searches. Authors JNE and YNL managed the analyses of the study and literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/BJAST/2015/14779

### Editor(s):

- (1) Klaas Metselaar, Department of Environmental Sciences, Wageningen University, Netherlands.  
(2) Abida Farooqi, Department of Environmental Sciences, Quaid-i-Azam University, Pakistan.  
(3) Meng Ma, Anhui University, Hefei, Anhui, China and Icahn Institute for Genomics and Multiscale Biology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, USA.

### Reviewers:

- (1) Anonymous, Brazil.  
(2) Anonymous, India.  
(3) Angélica Rodríguez Dorantes, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, México City, México.  
(4) Anonymous, Peru.  
(5) Anonymous, Kenya.  
(6) Anonymous, Taiwan.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?id=1070&id=5&aid=8565>

**Original Research Article**

**Received 21<sup>st</sup> October 2014**

**Accepted 11<sup>th</sup> March 2015**

**Published 24<sup>th</sup> March 2015**

## ABSTRACT

The use of dumpsite soils as organic manure is wide spread in developing countries like Nigeria. This study investigates the concentration of some heavy metals in vegetables grown in a farm treated with dumpsite soils in Kuru Jantar, Nigeria. Soil samples and vegetables from the farm were collected and prepared using standard analytical procedures. The concentrations of metals in both soil and vegetables were determined using atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS). The results showed that the farm was polluted with the metals (Cd, Cr, Cu, Mn, Fe, Pb, Zn, Ni) determined. The Enrichment Factor (EF) showed that some metals had minimal enrichment while Cd (13.93) had significant enrichment at the farm. The Pollution index (PI) calculations showed that

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [nimyelnanven@yahoo.com](mailto:nimyelnanven@yahoo.com), [nimyelnanven@gmail.com](mailto:nimyelnanven@gmail.com);

at the farm, the contamination pollution ranged from very slight to very severe. The overall order of the metals at the dumpsite was Fe> Mn> Zn> Cu> Cr> Ni> Pb> Cd while the order of the metal concentrations at the farm was Fe> Mn> Zn> Ni> Cd> Pb. The data obtained in the study were analyzed using Pearson correlation analysis. The results showed perfect positive correlation values above 0.9 between the farm and the dumpsite, which indicated that there was a strong association or similarity between them. The metal concentrations in the vegetables analyzed showed that spinach decreased in the order Fe>Zn>Mn>Cd>Pb while in Cabbage, the order was Mn> Fe> Zn>Cu>Cd; in Radish, the order was Fe>Mn>Cu>Cr>Zn while in pepper, the order was Fe>Cu>Mn>Ni. In general, the metal concentrations were below the recommended limit of USEPA and FEPA standards for agricultural soils and vegetables except for Cd in vegetables. The concentrations were however higher in the farm than in the control. Thus, the farm was polluted with heavy metals from the dumpsite soils.

*Keywords: Heavy metals; dumpsite; vegetables; pollution; organic manure; enrichment factor.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The use of dumpsite soils as organic manure is widespread in Plateau state, Nigeria. This practice has been shown to improve soil properties such as organic matter, nutrients, porosity, aggregate stability, bulk density and water retention, and as a result, increase plant productivity [1,2]. It is however a known fact that some of the waste products contain hazardous metals such as Ni, Pb, Cd, Zn, and Hg [3], which perturb the distribution and concentration of these metals in the environment. Recent studies have also revealed that the waste dumpsite can transfer significant levels of these toxic and persistent metals into the soil environment [4,5].

Heavy metals are toxic pollutants that therefore, severely limit the beneficial use of dumpsite soil as organic manure. Heavy metals are very harmful because of their non-biodegradable nature, long biological half- life and their potential to accumulate in different body parts [6]; even low concentrations of heavy metals can also be toxic because there is no good mechanism for their elimination from the body. The bioaccumulation of metals as a major route through which increased levels of the pollutants are transferred across food chain web has been reported [7]. The presence of heavy metals in the ecosystem therefore, has far reaching implication directly to the soil and indirectly to man. Metal uptake by plants can be affected by several factors including metal concentrations in soils, soil pH, cation exchange capacity, organic matter, types and varieties of plants, and plant age [8]. It is generally accepted that the metal concentration in soil is the dominant factor and the concentrations of heavy metals are higher in soils than vegetables grown on the same soils [9]. It has also been reported that vegetable crop

plants have high ability to accumulate metals from the environment, which may pose risks to human health when they are grown on or near contaminated lands and consumed. Thus, accumulation of heavy metals in the edible parts of vegetables represents a direct pathway for their incorporation into the human food chain [10,11]. The health risk will depend upon the chemical composition of the waste material, its physical characteristic, types of vegetables cultivated and the consumption rate [12,13].

This study assessed the uptake of metals by plant vegetables grown on a farm treated with urban solid waste in Kuru Jantar, Nigeria.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Soil and Plant Sampling

The soil and vegetable samples for this analysis were collected randomly from a farm located about 200 m from Kuru Jantar dumpsite on longitude 08° 53'E and latitude 09° 42' N. The vegetables including spinach, cabbage, radish, tomato, and pepper, were harvested at the same spots where the soil samples were collected. The vegetables harvested were washed with distilled water to remove soil particles. The soil samples were air-dried, crushed and sieved to pass through a 2 mm sieve. Similarly, the vegetables were cut with plastic knives before drying in oven at 70°C until stable weights were obtained. The dried samples were ground in mortar and sieved.

### 2.2 Analysis of the Heavy Metal Content in Soil and Vegetable Samples

Triplicate samples of 1.0 g of the sieved soil samples were digested with 25 mL of a mixture of hydrochloric acid (HCl) and trioxonitrate (v)

acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>) in the ratio of three to one parts by volume respectively at 120°C on a water bath in a fume cupboard. The solution was heated to dryness and the residue was re-dissolved in 5 mL of 2.0 M HCl [14-16]. Digested samples were filtered into a 100ml volumetric flask and made up to the mark with distilled water.

Triplicate (1 g) of the sieved vegetable samples were weighed into different conical flasks and digested with conc. HNO<sub>3</sub> to a clear solution. Digested samples were filtered into a 100mL volumetric flask and made up to the mark with distilled water [17]. The concentrations of the metals in the digested soil and vegetable samples were determined using Buck scientific VGP 210 Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer at wavelengths specific to each metal [18]. The metals analyzed in both samples were: Pb, Cu, Cr, Ni, Zn, Fe, Cd, Mn.

### 2.3 Calculation of Enrichment Factor (EF) and Pollution Index (PI)

The enrichment factors of the heavy metals in soil were calculated with the formula as reported by other researchers [19]

$$EF = \frac{C(M - S)/C(Fe - S)}{C(M - C)/C(Fe - C)}$$

Where  $C(M - S)$  concentration of metal in the sample,  $C(Fe - S)$  concentration of reference metal in the sample,  $C(M - C)$  concentration of metal in the control  $C(Fe - C)$  concentration of reference metal in the control. The control represents a farm not treated with the solid waste.

The contamination/pollution index (PI) was calculated as the ratio between metals effectively measured by chemical analysis to the reference value [20,21]. The conversion formula [19] for the pollution index was also used and the reference standard used in the calculation was the Federal Environmental Protection Agency [22] target value.

*Pollution index (PI) =*

$$\frac{\text{Concentration of metal in soil}}{\text{Standard recommended maximum value in soil}}$$

### 2.4 pH Determination

Triplicate quantities (20 g) of air-dried of the soil samples were weighed into a 50 mL beaker and 20 mL of distilled water was added. The mixture

was allowed to stand for 30 minutes with occasional stirring using a glass rod. The electrode of the calibrated pH meter, MI 806 pH/EC/Temperature Portable Meter inserted into the partly settled suspension and the pH value read [14].

### 2.5 Organic Matter Determination

The soil samples were ground to pass through 0.5mm sieve after which they were weighed in duplicate and transferred to 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks. Exactly 10 mL of 1M potassium dichromate was pipette into each flask and swirled gently to disperse the soil followed by addition of 20 mL of concentrated, tetraoxosulphate (IV) acid. The flask was swirled gently until soil and reagents were thoroughly mixed. The mixture was then allowed to stand for 30 minutes on a glass plate to allow for the oxidation of potassium dichromate to chromic acid. Distilled water (100 mL) was added then 3-4 drops of ferroin indicator, after which the mixture was titrated with 0.5 M ferrous sulphate solution. A blank titration was similarly carried out [14]. The percentage organic carbon is given by the following equation:

*Percentage organic carbon =*

$$\frac{(M_1e_1K_2Cr_2O_7 - M_2e_2FeSO_4) \times 0.0031 \times 100 \times F}{\text{Mass(g) of air dried soil}}$$

F= correction factor (1.33),  $M_1$  = mole of  $K_2Cr_2O_7$ ,  $e_1$ = volume of  $K_2Cr_2O_7$   $M_2$  = mole of  $FeSO_4$ ,  $e_2$  = volume of  $FeSO_4$ .

### 2.6 Total Nitrogen

The total Nitrogen was determined using the kjeldahl digestion method. The ammonia in the digest was absorbed into boric acid mixed indicator solution and then titrated with standard 0.01M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The soil samples (2 g) were digested into 50ml solution, and then 20 mL of the digest were used for the determination of total nitrogen.

### 2.7 Available Phosphorous

This was extracted using bray P1 and P2 extractant and P in the extract was measured with spectrometer (model spectronic 20D) using the molybdenum blue colour method.

### 2.8 Exchangeable Bases

This were extracted with 1M NH<sub>4</sub>OAC (pH 7) solution. The concentrations of K and Na in the

extract were determined using Flame analyser (Model FP640) while Mg was obtained by versenate titration.

## 2.9 Cation Exchanged Capacity (CEC)

Cation exchange capacity by the 0.01 M silver-thiourea method [23].

## 2.10 Statistical Analysis

The data obtained in the study were analyzed using Pearson correlation analysis. Correlations were calculated to measure the degree of linear relationship between plant metal concentrations and soil properties / soil metal concentrations.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The physicochemical properties have been reported to have profound influence on the mobility and bioavailability of heavy metals and uptake by plants [18,19]. Therefore, the properties of the soils collected from the dumpsite and farm such as pH, cation exchange capacity (CEC), electrical conductivity (EC) and organic matter (OM) were determined. The results of the physicochemical properties are presented in Table 1. The results showed that the pH at the dumpsite was slightly alkaline with a pH of 8.30. This may be attributed to the buffering effect of soil organic matter against pH changes in addition to the release of basic cations during the organic matter decomposition [5].

The pH of the soil collected from the farm was 6.71 which indicated that the soil was slightly acidic. This could be attributed to the inorganic fertilizer and other chemicals used on the farms. This was also expected as most soils in the tropics have their range from acidic to slightly neutral pH [5]. The pH levels that are acidic tend to have an increased micronutrient solubility and mobility as well as increased heavy metal concentration in the soil [20]. The results of the available phosphorus in the dumpsite soil were very similar to that in the farm ranging from 0.03 – 0.12 mg/kg. The concentration of phosphorus contributes to the growth of plants [22].

The cation exchange capacity is the amount of exchangeable cation per unit weight of dry soil that plays very important role in soil fertility. The concentrations of the exchangeable cations (CEC) in cmol/Kg at the dumpsite were 1.86 (Na), 21.60 (K), 5.20 (Mg) and 11.12 (Ca) while

at the farm the values were 0.30, 0.76, 0.50 and 4.65 respectively. However, the concentration at the farm was higher than the control, which indicated that the dumpsite could be implicated with the amendment of soil properties of the farm. The CEC is directly related to the capacity of adsorbing heavy metals since the adsorption behaviour depends on combination of the soil properties and the specific characteristics of the element [24].

The organic matter (OM) content of the dumpsite soil was 5.8% while the farm had 2.40% and the control had 1.12%. The OM content of the control site was significantly lower compared to the farm and dumpsite soils (Table 1). This might be attributed to the activities of the microorganisms involved in the organic matter decomposition from the dumpsite thereby, accumulating more organic matter in the soils [18]. Thus, the results showed that the use of the urban solid waste as manure influenced the organic matter in the farm. The organic matter is one of the factors that may reduce the ability of metals to be phytotoxic in the soil due to metal-organic complexation [18]. The organic matter content of the soil plays an important role in absorption reaction in the soil and total cation exchange site [21]. The organic matter is also an important indicator of the soil as a rooting environment [20].

The concentration of the exchangeable cations (CEC) in the dumpsite soil was 40.50 cmol Kg<sup>-1</sup> which which was much higher than 6.53cmol Kg<sup>-1</sup> which from the farm. The concentrations of the exchangeable cations were however, higher than the control which implicated the use of the dumpsite soil to have affected the farm positively. This could be due to difference in the heterogeneous nature of waste [5], which is expected to impact differently on soil properties. The available phosphorous in the farm and dumpsite were the same 0.11 mg/kg which was higher than the value for the control farm (0.03 mg/kg). The percentage of nitrogen was 0.35%.

The physicochemical parameters indicated that the organic matter, cation exchange capacity, nitrogen and phosphorus content of the farm were moderate with moderate values of C:N ratio implicating the urban solid waste to be fertile. Thus, the results of the physicochemical parameters revealed that the soils were fertile to support plant growth but this cannot be compared to the adverse effect of using this solid waste as manure. This may serve as a source of metals into the food chain.

**Table 1. Physicochemical properties of the dumpsite and farm treated with the dumpsite waste**

Parameters	Dumpsite	Farm	Control
pH	8.30	6.71	6.15
Organic Matter (%)	5.80	2.40	1.12
Total Nitrogen (%)	0.63	0.35	0.35
Exchangeable Na (cmol Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	1.86	0.30	0.24
Exchangeable K (cmol Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	21.60	0.76	0.87
Exchangeable Mg (cmol Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	5.20	0.50	0.45
Exchangeable Ca (cmol Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	11.12	4.65	1.80
Available P (mg/Kg)	0.11	0.11	0.03
CEC (cmol Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	40.50	6.53	3.68
Texture	Sand	Sand	Sand

*Control: Soil collected near the dumpsite and farm*

The heavy metal concentrations in soil samples from the dumpsite, farm and controls were determined and the results are presented in Mean±Standard Deviation (Table 2). The results showed that the mean concentrations of copper (Cu) in the dumpsite and farm soil samples were 130.19 and 63.23 mg/kg respectively. Therefore, the value at the dumpsite was above the normal range 2-100 mg/kg [9] in the mineral soil environment and is significantly above the data obtained for the control samples. Copper is very toxic to most plants, and highly toxic to mammals [13]. Copper concentration levels above normal range are highly dangerous and pose health risks to the environment. The concentration of Cu in this study was lower than the values reported at Lagos metropolis, Nigeria [13].

The concentration of manganese (Mn) at the dumpsite (422.72 mg/Kg) was much higher than the farm treated with the waste from the dumpsite which had a value of 219.60 mg/kg. However, the concentration of Mn at the farm was much higher than the control. The higher levels of Mn found in the farm could be closely related to the pollutants from the dumpsite. The values of Mn in this study were much higher than the values reported by other researchers [25]. However, the values were within WHO/FAO recommended maximum value (2000 mg/kg) for agricultural soil as reported by [17,24]. The results obtained for the different soil samples from the dumpsite and the farm show that they are highly contaminated with iron when compared with the control values. The mean concentration value of 2436 mg/kg at the dumpsite and 408 mg/kg were within the normal range of mineral soil environment of 300-500,000 mg/kg reported for naturally occurring heavy metal concentrations for soil [22,23].

The concentration of zinc found in the soil samples at the dumpsite (246.39 mg/Kg) and farm (66.23 mg/Kg) were within the normal range 10-300 mg/kg for agricultural soil. The results of the dumpsite and the farm showed that they were contaminated with Zn when compared with the control values. Zinc constitutes an essential element (micronutrient), but it is toxic to crop plants especially vegetables at the level of 400 mg/kg in the soil [14].

The concentrations of Cd in the dumpsite soil sample (28.06 mg/Kg) was higher than the WHO/FAO maximum permissive limits while the concentration in the farm soil sample (2.30 mg/Kg) was lower than the maximum permissive limit. Similarly, the concentrations of Ni in the dumpsite and farm soil samples were lower than the maximum permissive limit (Cd = 3; Ni= 75) [14]. However, the concentrations of these metals at the dumpsite and farm were higher than the control values. Therefore, there are apparent indications of the adverse effects of the dumpsite on the values of metals as compared to their values in the control. Similar observations have been reported [20]. This result is also consistent with previously published data [14].

The metal concentration correlation analysis between the farm and the dumpsite was conducted using Pearson correlation coefficient (r) values to determine the level of association. The results showed perfect positive correlation values above 0.9 between the farms and the dumpsites, which indicated that there was a significant association or similarity between them both at p<0.05 and p<0.01 level. This implies that the similarity in the concentrations between the farm and dumpsite was quite high. The concentrations of the metals in the farm (F) decreased in the order Fe > Mn > Zn > Pb > Cu > Ni > Cd.

Enrichment Factor (EF) of the heavy metals in soil from farm showed that Cu (0.49), Mn (0.88), Fe(1), Ni (0.94), Zn (0.52) and Pb (0.88) had minimal enrichment while Cd (13.93) had significant enrichment (Table 3).

The results of the calculated enrichment (EF) in this research showed that Mn, Ni, and Pb had enrichment factors close to unity, Cd was greater than 10, while Cu and Zn are lacking (Table 3). The use of urban solid waste as manure could be implicated with the high enrichment of Cd at the farms. The soil Cd could also be due to the application of phosphate fertilizers [26]. This therefore poses serious concern as crops grown on these farms could bioaccumulate the metal. The Pollution Index (P/I) of the metals at the farm ranged from 0.08 to 0.90 which showed that the farm had very slight contamination to very severe contamination with the metals.

### 3.1 Metal Content in Vegetables Grown on the Farm

The concentration of the metals obtained in the leafy vegetables (spinach and cabbage), root vegetable (radish) and fruit vegetables, (tomato and pepper) are presented in Table 5. The main sources of heavy metals to vegetable crops are their growth media from which these are taken up by the roots. A better understanding of heavy metals sources, their accumulation in the soil and subsequent uptake by plant species seem to be particularly important in present day research on risk assessment [27]. The uptake of metal ions has been shown to be influenced by the metal species and plant parts [26]. The results of the metal content in vegetables grown on the farm in this study showed that spinach, bio-accumulated the metals Fe, Mn, Zn, Cd, Ni and Pb in the order Fe > Mn > Zn > Cd > Ni > Pb (Table 4). This could be a reflection of the concentrations of the

metals in the soil. Leafy vegetables have been shown to accumulate relatively higher concentrations of heavy metals than fruit vegetables [24]. The observed concentrations of the metals in the vegetables were compared with the recommended limit as established by the FAO/WHO in 1999 to assess the levels of food contamination. The concentration of Cd (3.21 mg/kg) was much higher than the recommended maximum levels in vegetables (0.20 mg/kg) [28]. Cadmium is relatively easily taken up by the food crops especially by vegetables in the addition to foliar absorption of Cd from atmospheric deposition on plant leaves [29]. Several studies have indicated that vegetables grown in heavy metals contaminated soils have higher concentrations of heavy metals than those grown in uncontaminated soils [29]. Cd is relatively mobile in soil under range of pH, O.C and CEC conditions. This shows that some other soil factors in addition to the total soil contents of the metals also influenced metal uptake. The other metals were within the recommended maximum limits in vegetables with Mn and Fe (500.30 mg/Kg) and Zn (99.40 mg/Kg) [30].

Cabbage, bio-accumulated Cu, Mn, Fe, Cd and Zn from the soil and the concentrations of the metals were in the order Mn > Fe > Zn > Cu > Cd. The concentration of Cd in cabbage was also higher than the recommended maximum levels in vegetables (0.20 mg/kg) [30]. This could be attributed to the urban solid waste used as manure in this farm. The other metals bio-accumulated in cabbage were also below the recommended maximum limits.

The results showed that radish in bio-accumulated only the metals Cu, Mn, Fe, Cr and Zn in its roots in the sequence Fe > Mn > Cu > Cr > Zn. The metals bio-accumulated were also below the recommended maximum limits for

**Table 2. Concentration of metals in soil samples collected from the dumpsite and farm**

Element	Dumpsite	*Control	Farm	**Control
<b>Cu</b>	130.19±2.30	2.45±0.05	63.23±25.36	6.07±0.04
<b>Mn</b>	422.72±28.67	28.99±9.11	219.60±57.88	45.20±9.55
<b>Fe</b>	2436±105.95	249.00±7.43	408.20±78.38	74.18±42.22
<b>Cd</b>	28.06±2.03	ND	2.30±0.14	0.03±0.01
<b>Ni</b>	26.32±2.85	ND	15.60±0.12	3.03±0.62
<b>Zn</b>	246.39±10.75	8.92±3.12	66.23±8.96	23.08± 5.02
<b>Pb</b>	23.30±5.70	9.13±3.25	25.33±0.38	4.58±1.01

\*Control: Soil collected near the dumpsite, \*\*Control: Soil collected from a farm not treated with the dumpsite

**Table 3. Enrichment factor (EF) and contamination / pollution (C/P) index of heavy metals in soil samples from the farm (F)**

	<b>Cu</b>	<b>Mn</b>	<b>Fe</b>	<b>Cd</b>	<b>Ni</b>	<b>Zn</b>	<b>Pb</b>
<b>C(M-S)</b>	16.32	219.60	408.20	2.30	15.60	66.33	22.27
<b>C(Fe-S)</b>	408.20	408.20	408.20	408.20	408.20	408.20	408.20
<b>C(M-C)</b>	6.07	45.20	74.18	0.03	3.03	23.08	4.58
<b>C(Fe-C)</b>	74.18	74.18	74.18	74.18	74.18	74.18	74.18
<b>EF</b>	0.49	0.88	1.00	13.93	0.94	0.52	0.88
<b>P/I</b>	0.90	0.50	0.08	0.77	0.45	0.22	0.09

*C (M-S) concentration of metal in the sample; C (Fe-S) concentration of reference metal in the sample; C (M-C) concentration of metal in the control; C (Fe-C) concentration of reference metal in the control*

**Table 4. Concentration of metals in vegetable samples collected from the farm**

<b>Element</b>	<b>Spinach</b>	<b>Cabbage</b>	<b>Radish</b>	<b>Tomatoes</b>	<b>Pepper</b>	<b>RMLV</b>
<b>Cu</b>	25.26±5.87	31.33±4.01	51.10±4.12	18.45±6.44	53.25±12.50	73.30
<b>Mn</b>	220.65±33.24	191.75±5.14	92.10±22.36	ND	29.85±8.35	500.00
<b>Fe</b>	103.00±8.44	85.00±3.11	93.55±3.56	77.63±5.22	80.69±8.77	425.50
<b>Cd</b>	3.25±0.12	0.71±0.02	5.70±0.55	ND	ND	0.20
<b>Ni</b>	13.00±0.15	ND	ND	ND	ND	67.90
<b>Zn</b>	53.77±22.11	33.54±4.02	0.89±0.05	ND	21.22±5.98	99.40
<b>Pb</b>	3.21±0.05	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.30

*RMLV: Recommended Maximum Levels in Vegetables*

*\* Source: [22 and 23]*

vegetables (Table 4). Tomatoes on the other hand bioaccumulated only Cu, Fe and Cd, in decreasing order of Fe > Cu > Cd. The concentration of Cd was also higher than the recommended maximum limit in vegetables. Pepper bio-accumulated Cu, Mn, Fe, Ni and Zn in the order Fe > Cu > Mn > Zn > Ni. The metals in pepper were within the recommended limit.

Generally, the concentration of iron was highest in almost all the vegetables. The higher concentration of Fe could be as a result of its oxygen carrier ability for chlorophyll production and also for protein synthesis [31]. The concentrations of Mn and Cu only were higher in cabbage than spinach. The results also showed that spinach, cabbage and radish, bioaccumulated more metals than pepper and tomato. This is in agreement with the reports of other researchers [24]. The higher levels of heavy metal contamination found in some fruit and vegetables could be closely related to the pollutants in irrigation water, farm soil, and pesticides or alternatively could be due to pollution from traffic on the highways [31].

Based on the pattern of metal accumulation in the vegetables, it could be suggested that radish and pepper could be safely grown on Cd contaminated soils. On the other hand, vegetables like spinach, cabbage, radish, may

not suitable for cultivation on Cu, Mn, Fe, Zn and Cd contaminated soils. However, some fruit type vegetables like tomato and pepper could be safely grown on Cr, Ni, and Pb contaminated soils. Root type vegetable like radish could be cultivated on Cd, Cr, Ni and Pb contaminated soils. Heterogeneous accumulation of heavy metals in different crop species has been reported [7,32], which could be attributed to their diverse morphological characteristics.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

From this study, it could be concluded that the dumpsite was polluted with the metals Cu, Mn, Fe, Cd, Cr, Ni, Zn and Pb. The used of the dumpsite soil as manure, contaminated the farm studied. The concentration of the metals in the farm were in the order Fe> Mn> Cu> Zn> Ni> Cd> Pb. The vegetable grown in these farm bioaccumulated some of the metals analyzed. The differences in respect of metal uptake could be emphasized for selection of vegetable crops for cultivation on metals contaminated soils depending on their metal uptake potential. The results of the Pearson correlation coefficient (r) showed perfect positive correlation values above 0.9 between the concentration of metals in the farm and the vegetables, which indicated that there was a strong association or similarity between them. At both p<0.05 and p<0.01 level

of significant, all the correlations were significant. This implies that the similarity in the concentrations between the farm soil and vegetables were quite high.

### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### REFERENCES

- Ogunyemi S, Awodoyin RO, Opadeji T. Urban agricultural production: Heavy metal contamination of *Amaranthus cruentus* L. grown on domestic refuse landfill soil in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Emirate Journal of Agricultural Science*. 2003;15(2):87-94.
- Oluyemi EA, Feuyit G, Oyekunle JAO, Ogunfowokan AO. Seasonal variations in heavy metal concentrations in soil and some selected crops at a landfill in Nigeria. *African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*. 2008;2(5):089-096.
- Olarinoye IO, Sharifat I, Kolo MT. Heavy metal content of soil samples from two major dumpsites in Minna. *Natural and Applied Science Journal*. 2010;1119-9296:11(1):90-102.
- Adelekan BA, Alawode AO. Contributions of municipal refuse dumps to heavy metals concentrations in soil profile and groundwater in Ibadan Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Biosciences*. 2011;40:2727-2737.
- Abdallah SA, Uzairu A, Kagbu JA, Okunola OJ. Mobility and bioavailability of Pb, Cr, Zn, Cd, Mn and Cu from waste soils of refuse dumpsites in Kano Metropolitan City, Nigeria. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*. 2011;5(8):707-715.
- Oti Wilberforce JO, Nwabue FI. Heavy Metals Effect due to contamination of vegetables from Enyigba Lead Mine in Ebonyi State, Nigeria. *Environment and Pollution*. 2013;2(1):19-26.
- Singh S, Zacharias M, Kalpana S, Mishra S. Heavy metals accumulation and distribution pattern in different vegetable crops. *Journal of Environmental Chemistry and Ecotoxicology*. 2012;4(4):75-81.
- Olayinka KO, Oyeyiola AO, Odujebi FO, Oboh B. Uptake of potentially toxic metals by vegetable plants grown on contaminated soil and their potential bioavailability using sequential extraction. *Journal of Soil Science and Environmental Management*. 2011;2(8):220-227.
- Nimyel DN, Daniel VN, Daniang IE. Investigating the uptake of heavy metals by some vegetables grown around unclaimed mining sites in Zawan. *Journal of Research in Agriculture*. 2009;6(2):71-73.
- Harmanescu M, Alda LM, Bordean DM, Gogoasa I, Gergen I. Heavy metals health risk assessment for population via consumption of vegetables grown in old mining area; a case study: Banat County, Romania. *Chemistry Central Journal*. 2011;5(64).
- Mohajer R, Salehi MH, Mohammadi J. Accumulation of cadmium and lead in soils and vegetables of Lenjanat Region in Isfahan Province, Iran. *International Journal of Agronomy and Plant Production*. 2012;3(12):576-578.
- Adekunle O, Bamgbose MT, Adetunji, Lanre-Iyanda YA. Impact of un-remediated solid waste dumpsite converted to farmland on plant cadmium phytoaccumulation and toxicity. *Journal of Applied Sciences in Environmental Sanitation*. 2010;5(3):239-242.
- Adeyemi GO, Opasina MA. Physicochemical and heavy metals assessments of leachates from Aperin abandoned dumpsite in Ibadan City, Nigeria. *European Journal of Chemistry*. 2010;7(4):1278-1283.
- Adeyemi GO, Dawodu FA, Jibiri NN. Studies of the concentration levels of heavy metals in vegetable (*Amaranthus caudatus*) grown in dumpsites within Lagos Metropolis, Nigeria. *The Pacific Journal of Science and Technology*. 2010;11(1):616-621.
- Anake WU, Adie GU, Osibanjo O. Heavy metals pollution at municipal solid waste dumpsite in Kano and Kaduna state in Nigeria. *Bulletin Chemical Society of Ethiopia*. 2009;23(1):281-289.
- Olarinoye IO, Sharifat I, Kolo MT. Heavy metal content of soil samples from two major dumpsites in Minna. *Natural and Applied Sciences Journal*. 2011;11(1):90-105.
- Elbagermi MA, Edwards HGM, Alajtal AI. Monitoring of heavy metal content in fruits and vegetables collected from population and market sites in the Misurata area of Libya. *Intl. Scholarly Res. Network*. 2012;10:1-5.

18. Tukura BW, Kagbu JA, Gimba CE. Effect of pH and Total Organic Carbon (TOC) on the distribution of trace metals in Kubanni Dam Sediments, Zaria, Nigeria. *Science World Journal*. 2007;2(3):1-6.
19. Adaikpoh EO, Kaizer AN. Trace metal enrichment in Sediments from Otofure and Teboga waste Dump Sites in Benin City, Nigeria *International Journal of Chemistry*. 2012;4(4):14–27.
20. Obasi NA, Akubugwo EI, Ugbogu OC, Otuchristian G. Assessment of physico-chemical properties and heavy metals bioavailability in dumpsites along Enuguport Harcourt Expressways, South-east, Nigeria. *Asian Journal of Applied Sciences*. 2012;5(6):342-356.
21. Ahiamadjie H, Adukpo OK, Tandoh JB, Gyampo O, Nyarku M, Mumuni II, Agyemang O, Ackah M, Otoo F and Dampare SB. Determination of the elemental contents in soils around diamond cement factory, Aflao. *Research Journal of Environmental and Earth Sciences*. 2011;3(1):46-50.
22. FEPA. Guidelines and standard for environmental impact assessment in Nigeria. 1997;87–95.
23. United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). Quality Criteria for Water. United States; 2010.
24. David NO, Benjamin LK, Patrick OY. Some physico-chemical and heavy metal levels in soils of waste dumpsites in Port Harcourt municipality and environs. *Journal of Applied Science and Environmental Management*. 2009;13(4): 65–70.
25. Jacob OJ, Kakulu SE. Assessment of heavy metal bioaccumulation in spinach, jute mallow and tomato in farms within Kaduna Metropolis, Nigeria. *American Journal of Chemistry*. 2012;2(1):13-16.
26. Odoh R, Kolawole SA. Assessment of trace heavy metal contaminations of some selected vegetables irrigated with water from River Benue within Makurdi Metropolis, Benue State Nigeria. *Advances in Applied Science Research*. 2011;2(5): 590-601.
27. Mohajer R, Salehi MH, Mohammad J. Accumulation of cadmium and lead in soils and vegetables of Lenjanat Region in Isfahan Province, Iran. *International Journal of Agronomy and Plant Production*. 2012;3(12):576-578.
28. Myung CJ. Heavy metal uptake in soils and factors affecting metal uptake by plants in the vicinity of a korean Cu-W mine. *Sensors*. 2008;8:2413-2423.
29. Amusan AA, Ige DV, Olawale R. Characteristic of soil and crops uptake of metals in Municipal Waste Dumpsite in Nigeria. *Journal of Human Ecology*. 2005; 1(2):167-171.
30. WHO/FAO: Codex Alimentarius Commission. Food Additives and Contaminants, Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme. 2001;ALINORM 01/12A:1–289
31. Kashif SR, Akram M, Yaseen M, Ali S. Studies on heavy metals status and their uptake by vegetables in adjoining areas of Hudiara drain in Lahore. *Soil & Environ*. 2009;28(1):7-12.
32. Singh A, Sharma RK, Agrawal M, Marshall FM. Risk assessment of heavy metal toxicity through contaminated vegetables from waste water irrigated area of Varanasi, India. *Tropical Ecology*. 2010;51(2):375-387.

© 2015 Nanven et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=1070&id=5&aid=8565>