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#### Abstract

The crystal structures of 4-phenylsulfanylbutyric acid (4PSBA) and its cocrystal with 2-amino-5nitropyridine (2A5NP) have been studied. Both 4PSBA and its co-crystal, 4PSBA:2A5NP belong to the triclinic crystallographic system with the same space group P-1. Theoretical energy calculations


[^0]indicated the high energy of the formers 4PSBA and 2A5NP than the cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP). The cocrystal has the lowest energy gap ( $\Delta \mathrm{E}, 2.9709 \mathrm{eV}$ ), lowest hardness ( 1.4854 eV ), highest softness ( 0.6732 eV ), and the highest electrophilicity ( 5.7412 eV ). The cocrystal shows better antimicrobial- and DNA cleaving activity than the acid (4PSBA).
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Molecular cocrystals have gained importance due to their ability towards physicochemical properties [1,2]. They have been recognized as valuable materials as pharmaceutical cocrystals [3-5]. Improvement of physical properties of cocrystals like solubility, dissolution rate, melting point, color, etc. requires proper designing of coformers [6-8]. Uses of cocrystals include pharmaceutical materials [9], electronic-, and optical materials etc. [10,11].

Aminopyridines are an important class of bioactive compounds [12]. The various hydrogen-bonding patterns involving aminopyrimidine-carboxylate interactions have been reported [13]. Recurring of the hydrogenbonded motifs lead to supramolecular architectures playing a significant role in crystal engineering [14]. Koshima et al. [15,16] reported the syntheses and NLO properties of the cocrystals of 2 -amino- 5 -nitropyridine and benzenesulfonic acids. Fur et al. [17] have reported the noncentrosymmetric structures based on 2 -amino-5-nitropyridine and chloroacetic acid assemblies. de Groh and Eric David have demonstrated the ability of 4 phenylsulfanylbutyric acid (4PSBA) to be a novel histone deacetylase inhibitor that stimulates renal progenitor cell proliferation [18]. The present work is focused on the single crystal X-ray diffraction (XRD), and Density Functional Theory (DFT) studies, and biological activity of 4phenylsulfanylbutyric acid (4PSBA) and its cocrystal with 2 -amino-5-nitropyridine (2A5NP).

## 2. EXPERIMENTAL

### 2.1 General

The Fourier Transform-Infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded in pellet form with spectral grade KBr on a JASCO FT-IR 410 spectrometer in the range $4000-400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The single crystal XRD structures of 4 -phenylsulfanylbutyric acid (4PSBA) and the cocrystal 4PSBA:2A5NP were
determined using a BRUKER APEX 2 X-ray (three-circle) diffractometer.

Intensity data sets were collected at room temperature on a BRUKER SMART APEXII CCD [19] area-detector diffractometer equipped with graphite monochromated Mo Ka radiation ( $\lambda=$ $0.71073 \AA$ A). The data were reduced using the program SAINT and empirical absorption corrections were carried out using the SADABS [19]. The structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXS-97 and subsequent Fourier analyses, refined anisotropically by fullmatrix least-squares method using SHELXL-97 [20] within the WINGX suite of software, based on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ with all reflections. All carbon-hydrogen's were positioned geometrically and refined by a riding model with Uiso 1.2 times that of attached atoms. All non-H atoms were refined anisotropically. The molecular structures were drawn using the ORTEP-III [21] and POV-ray [22] programs.

### 2.2 Computational Methodology

All calculations were performed using Gaussian 09 software [23]. Gas phase geometry was fully optimized at Density Functional Theory (DFT/B3LYP-6-31G(d)) method. The electronic properties were calculated from the Koopmans' theorem and the molecular properties like geometry, total energy, molecular electrostatic potential, Еномо, ELumo, dipole moment, electron affinity, ionization potential, chemical potential, electronegativity, absolute hardness, softness, and nucleophilicity were carriedous as reported [24].

### 2.3 DNA Cleavage Experiment

### 2.3.1 Preparation of culture media

DNA cleavage experiments were done according to the literature [25]. Nutrient broth was used for the growth of the organism. The 50 mL media was prepared, and autoclaved for 15 min at $121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 15 lb pressure. The autoclaved media
were inoculated with the seed culture and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h .

### 2.3.2 Isolation of DNA

The fresh bacterial culture ( 1.5 mL ) was centrifuged to obtain the pellet which was then dissolved in 0.5 mL of lysine buffer ( 100 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,50 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $10 \%$ SDS). To this 0.5 mL of saturated phenol was added and incubated at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min and then centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min . To the supernatant, equal volumes of chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (24:1) and 1/20th volume of 3 M sodium acetate ( pH 4.8 ) were added and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min . To the supernatant, 3 volumes of chilled absolute alcohol were added. The precipitated DNA was separated by centrifugation and the pellet was dried and dissolved in TAE buffer (10 mM Tris, $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA) and stored cold.

### 2.3.3 Agarose gel electrophoresis

Cleavage products were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis method [26]. Test samples ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) were prepared in dimethylformamide (DMF). The samples ( 50 mg ) were added to CT DNA and incubated for 2 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then 20 mL of the DNA sample (mixed with bromophenol blue dye, 1:1 ratio) was loaded carefully into the electrophoresis chamber wells along with standard DNA marker containing TAE (Tris-acetate-EDTA) buffer ( pH 8.0 ) and finally loaded on agarose gel and passed a constant 50 V electricity for around 30 min . The gel was removed and stained with $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ethidium bromide for $10-15 \mathrm{~min}$. The bands were observed under UV transilluminator. Then the results were compared with standard DNA marker.

### 2.4 DPPH Radical Scavenging Assay

Scavenging effect of 2,2-diphenyl-1picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical was measured according to the procedure described by Blois with a slight modification [26]. Different concentrations ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) of samples in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), were taken in a series of test tubes. The volume was adjusted to $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ by adding methanol. Five milliliters of a 0.1 mM methanolic solution of DPPH (Sigma-Aldrich, Bangalore) was added to these tubes and shaken vigorously. A control without the test compound, but with an equivalent amount of methanol was maintained. The tubes were allowed to stand at room
temperature for 20 min . The absorbance of the samples was measured at 517 nm . Ascorbic acid $(A A)$ was used as the reference.

Free radical scavenging activity was calculated using the following formula:


### 2.5 Investigation of the Antimicrobial Activity

The acid (4PSBA) and the cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP) were evaluated for their in vitro antibacterial and antifungal activity using agar cup diffusion method. Amikacin was used as a reference. Selected compounds were evaluated for antimicrobial activity by disc diffusion method using Gram-positive bacteria (Streptococcus pneumoniae, Staphylococcus aureus), Gramnegative bacteria (Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Proteus vulgaries, and Klebsiella pneumoniae), and fungi (Candida albicans, Aspergillus flavus, Aspergillus niger, and Aspergillus fumigatus).

### 2.5.1 Preparation of the culture media

All strains were cultured on sterile nutrient agar medium supplied by Sigma- Aldrich, and prepared according to the instructions of the manufactures. The media were molten on a steam bath-inoculated with a few drops of the culture of the specific microorganism, and poured into sterile Petri dishes to form a layer of about $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$ thickness. The layer was allowed to cool and harden; the underside of each plate was marked into approximately equal six sectors. With the help of a Wassermann tube, a single cup of about 10 mm diameter was cut in the centre of each sector to produce a total of six cups per dish. Five of these cups were allotted for the testing of the desired compounds, while the last one was left as a control guide for the solvent.

### 2.5.2 Preparation of the solutions of the test compounds

All compounds were first dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ in a stock concentration of $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ and kept at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until use. The bacteria cultures were incubated for 24 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the fungal cultures were incubated for 48 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The inhibition zone diameter was measured in mm . 2-

Amino-5-nitropyridine (2A5NP) was purchased from commercial source (Merck, India). 4Phenylsulfanylbutyric acid (4PSBA) was synthesized by literature method [27] and single crystals of 4PSBA were obtained from slow evaporation of the saturated solution in hot methanol.

### 2.6 Synthesis of the Cocrystal 4PSBA:2A5NP

4-Phenylsulfanylbutyric acid (4PSBA) and 2-amino-5-nitropyridine (2A5NP) and of were dissolved separately in $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ aqueous methanol. One solution is gradually added into the other with stirring and allowed to stand at room temperature. Slow evaporation of the mixture under ambient conditions yielded colorless crystals of the cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP) in 5 days (Yield:

4PSBA:2A5NP, 81\%, Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 53.72 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.11$; N, 12.53; S, 9.56\%. Found: C, 53.65; H, 5.08; N, 12.22 and S, 9.48\%.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Crystal Structure

The crystal data, data collection, and refinement details are presented in Table 1. The geometrical parameters of 4-phenylsulfanylbutyric acid (4PSBA) and the cocrystal 4PSBA:2A5NP are listed in Table 2. Some of the weak intermolecular and intramolecular interactions are listed in Table 3. The complete sets of structural parameters are deposited in CCDC of the 4PSBA is 1033657 and cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP) is 989252 as a supplementary.

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement parameters for 4PSBA and 4PSBA:2A5NP

| Identification code | 4PSBA | 4PSBA:2A5NP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ |
| Formula weight | 196.26 | 335.38 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K | 110.15 K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Triclinic | Triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 | P-1 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=6.715(2) \AA$ | $\mathrm{a}=6.420(3) \AA$ |
|  | $\alpha=99.371(4)^{\circ}$. | $\alpha=101.874(4)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=7.699$ (3) $\AA$ | $\mathrm{b}=11.024(5) \AA$ |
|  | $\beta=92.351(4)^{\circ}$. | $\beta=103.294(4)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{C}=9.582(3)$ A | $\mathrm{C}=11.775(5) \AA$ |
|  | $\mathrm{Y}=94.882(4)^{\circ}$. | $\mathrm{V}=91.032(5)^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 486.3(3) $\AA^{3}$ | 791.8(6) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.340 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $1.407 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.296 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ | $0.228 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 208 | 352 |
| Crystal size | $0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $0.53 \times 0.529 \times 0.16 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 2.16 to $27.50^{\circ}$. | 1.820 to $27.508^{\circ}$ |
| Index ranges | $-8<=h<=8,-9<=k<=9,-12<=1<=12$ | $-8<=h<=8,-14<=k<=14,-15<=1<=14$ |
| Reflections collected | 5623 | 8943 |
| Independent reflections | 2195 [R(int) $=0.0240$ ] | $3552[\mathrm{R}$ (int) $=0.0215$ ] |
| Completeness to theta $=25.242^{\circ}$ | 98.1 | 99.5 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9710 and 0.9297 | 0.7456 and 0.6547 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 2195/0/119 | 3552 / 0 / 209 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.071 | 1.035 |
| Final R indices [ $1>2$ sigma( 1 ]] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0321, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0831$ | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0313, w R 2=0.0846$ |
| $R$ indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0358, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0862$ | $R 1=0.0362, w R 2=0.0884$ |
| Extinction coefficient | 0.409 and -0.220 e.Å-3 | n/a |

Table 2. Selected bond lengths and bond angles of the acid (4PSBA) and the cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP) based on SCXRD and different theoretical studies

| Bond length | SCXRD | DFT | HF | MP2 | PM3 | MM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Co-crystal (4PSBA:2A5NP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C(1)-S(1) | 1.7611 | 1.8583 | 1.8388 | 1.8653 | 1.7653 | 1.8276 |
| S(1)-C(7) | 1.8042 | 1.9180 | 1.8882 | 1.9107 | 1.8289 | 1.8375 |
| N(2)-O(3) | 1.2268 | 1.2707 | 1.2301 | 1.2836 | 1.2226 | 1.2245 |
| N(2)-O(4) | 1.2353 | 1.2708 | 1.2295 | 1.2840 | 1.2284 | 1.2389 |
| C(10)-O(1) | 1.2200 | 1.2519 | 1.2247 | 1.2646 | 1.3547 | 1.2307 |
| C(10)-O(2) | 1.3146 | 1.3403 | 1.3278 | 1.3650 | 1.2188 | 1.3293 |
| Acid (4PSBA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bond length | SCXRD | DFT | HF | MP2 | PM3 | MM |
| C(1)-S(1) | 1.7638 | 1.8582 | 1.8381 | 1.8656 | 1.7657 | 1.8275 |
| C(1)-C(7) | 1.8055 | 1.9173 | 1.8909 | 1.9104 | 1.8289 | 1.8374 |
| C(10)-O(1) | 1.2159 | 1.2302 | 1.2084 | 1.2441 | 1.2062 | 1.2625 |
| C(10)-O(2) | 1.3205 | 1.3824 | 1.3513 | 1.3971 | 1.3818 | 1.3938 |
| C(7)-C(8) | 1.5231 | 1.5267 | 1.5224 | 1.5316 | 1.5212 | 1.5282 |
| C(9)-C(10) | 1.4986 | 1.5028 | 1.4931 | 1.5062 | 1.4979 | 1.5027 |
| Bond angle | SCXRD | DFT | HF | MP2 | PM3 | MM |
| Co-crystal (4PSBA:2A5NP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C(1)-S(1)-C(7) | 104.78 | 99.46 | 100.44 | 98.02 | 101.99 | 102.32 |
| C(8)-C(9)-C(10) | 113.07 | 113.70 | 113.54 | 113.04 | 11.40 | 112.86 |
| O(1)-C(10)-O(2) | 123.33 | 122.98 | 122.25 | 123.42 | 120.78 | 122.98 |
| C(9)-C(10)-O(2) | 113.01 | 113.48 | 113.12 | 112.68 | 110.64 | 112.98 |
| C(9)-C(10)-O(1) | 123.66 | 123.53 | 124.62 | 123.88 | 128.56 | 122.53 |
| C(7)-C(8)-C(9) | 111.12 | 111.38 | 110.85 | 110.92 | 110.60 | 111.06 |
| S(1)-C(7)-C(8) | 107.27 | 109.34 | 109.58 | 109.67 | 106.01 | 106.85 |
| O(3)-N(2)-O(4) | 123.39 | 123.61 | 123.41 | 123.92 | 124.62 | 123.84 |
| C(12)-N(2)-O(3) | 118.50 | 118.34 | 118.40 | 118.14 | 117.48 | 118.12 |
| C(12)-N(2)-O(4) | 118.11 | 118.04 | 118.18 | 117.93 | 117.88 | 117.96 |
| Acid (4PSBA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C(1)-S(1)-C(7) | 104.47 | 99.50 | 100.28 | 97.21 | 101.73 | 102.36 |
| C(8)-C(9)-C(10) | 114.13 | 112.99 | 113.17 | 112.54 | 111.32 | 112.56 |
| O(1)-C(10)-O(2) | 123.39 | 121.88 | 121.46 | 122.09 | 119.44 | 120.65 |
| C(9)-C(10)-O(2) | 113.00 | 111.24 | 111.90 | 111.12 | 109.09 | 112.34 |
| C(9)-C(10)-O(1) | 123.61 | 126.86 | 126.64 | 126.78 | 131.45 | 124.65 |
| C(7)-C(8)-C(9) | 110.06 | 111.32 | 111.02 | 111.17 | 110.55 | 110.32 |
| S(1)-C(7)-C(8) | 108.87 | 109.29 | 109.54 | 109.48 | 106.07 | 108.34 |

Table 3. Molecular properties of the acid (4PSBA) and the cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP) calculated using DFT at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) basis set in gaseous phase

| Properties | Acid (4PSBA) | Co-crystal (4PSBA:2A5NP) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HOMO $(\mathrm{eV})$ | -5.6971 | -5.6154 |
| LUMO $(\mathrm{eV})$ | -0.6167 | -2.6445 |
| Energy Gap $(\Delta \mathrm{E})$ | 5.0804 | $\mathbf{2 . 9 7 0 9}$ |
| IP $(\mathrm{eV})$ | 5.6971 | 5.6154 |
| EA $(\mathrm{eV})$ | 0.6167 | 2.6445 |
| Electronegativity $(\mathrm{eV})$ | 3.1569 | 4.1300 |
| Electrochemical potential (eV) | -3.1569 | -4.1300 |
| Hardness (eV) | 2.5402 | $\mathbf{1 . 4 8 5 5}$ |
| Softness (eV) | 0.3937 | $\mathbf{0 . 6 7 3 2}$ |
| Nucliophilicity (eV) | 1.9617 | 5.7412 |
| Total energy (Kcal/mol) | -936.95 | -1445.08 |
| Dipole moment (Debye) | 3.6269 | 3.5261 |

### 3.1.1 Crystal structure of 4phenylsulfanylbutyric acid (4PSBA)

The acid (4PSBA) crystallized in P-1 space group and each asymmetric unit consists of one
molecule of the acid. The selected torsion angles of C7-C8-C9-C10, S1-C7-C8-C9, and C1-S1-C7C8 are $-177.67(11)^{\circ}, 178.13(9)^{\circ}$, and -177.15 $(9)^{\circ}$, respectively. The dihedral angle between the phenyl ring and the butyl group is found to be
$5.55(6)^{\circ}$. The ORTEP view of the acid (4PSBA) is shown in Fig. 1.

4PSBA molecule forms centrosymmetric dimers via a pair of O-H...O hydrogen bonds to form $\mathrm{R}_{2}{ }^{2}(8)$ homosynthon [28,29]. This motif involves O 2 and O1 atoms of symmetry related 4PSBA molecules (symmetry code : 3-x, 2-y, 1-z). Two such dimers in a plane are linked by weak CH...O hydrogen bonds and thus form $\mathrm{R}_{2}{ }^{2}(24)$ ring motif. This motif links C 3 and $\mathrm{O} 1^{\text {ii }}$ (symmetry code: $x, y, 1+z$ ) atoms of symmetry related 4PSBA molecules. The continuous occurrence of these two ring motifs develop two dimensional supramolecular ribbon extending along the $c$ axis as shown in Fig. 2.

The supramolecular ribbons in adjacent layers are connected by C-H... $\pi$ interaction $[30,31]$ with $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C} \ldots \pi)=3.689(2) \AA$ and $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{H} \ldots \pi)=2.89 \AA$ (Fig. 3). These weak interactions occur between methylene $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ group (C8) and aromatic ring $\mathrm{Cg}^{\text {iii }}$ (symmetry code: 2-x, 1-y, 2-z).

### 3.1.2 Crystal structure of 4PSBA:2A5NP

4PSBA:2A5NP exists as a $1: 1$ co-crystal with a $\mathrm{P}-1$ space group. The asymmetric unit consists
of one molecule of (2-amino-5-nitropyridine (2A5NP) and one molecule of 4phenylsulfanylbutyric acid (4PSBA). The ORTEP view of the cocrystal is shown in Fig. 4.

The primary interaction between the acid (4PSBA) and the base (2A5NP) generates a robust $\mathrm{R}^{2}{ }^{2}(8)$ heterosynthon $[32,33]$ via N-H...O and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} . . . \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonds. This motif links ring N1 and amino N3 atoms of 2A5NP molecule with carboxyl O1 and O2 of 4PSBA molecule. Two such heterosynthons are connected centrosymmetrically via a pair of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} . . . \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds to form $\mathrm{R}_{4}{ }^{2}(8)$ ring motif which involves amino N3 of NPA and O1 ${ }^{\text {iii }}$ (symmetry code: $2-x,-y, 1-z$ ) atom of 4PSBA. These interactions generate a DADA array of quadruple hydrogen bonded pattern $[34,35]$ having a fused ring sequences of $\mathrm{R}_{2}{ }^{2}(8), \mathrm{R}_{4}{ }^{2}(8)$, and $\mathrm{R}_{2}{ }^{2}(8)$. The adjacent arrays in a layer are linked via weak C H...O hydrogen bonds and generate $\mathrm{R}_{2}{ }^{2}(20)$ ring motifs. This motif links inversion related phenyl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ group (C5) and $\mathrm{O} 2^{i}$ of PSB molecule. (symmetry code: $3-x, 1-y, 2-z$ ). The alternate occurrences of DADA array and $\mathrm{R}_{2}{ }^{2}(20)$ ring motif develop supramolecular ribbon extending along the $b$ axis. The supramolecular ribbons in successive layers are linked by alternative


Fig. 1. ORTEP view of 4PSBA with displacement ellipsoids drawn at $50 \%$ probability level


Fig. 2. Supramolecular ribbons through O-H...O and C-H...O hydrogen bonds. [Symmetry codes: (i) 3-x, 2-y,1-z ; (ii) $x, y, 1+z]$
occurrences of $R_{6}{ }^{6}(26)$ and $R_{4}{ }^{4}(34)$ ring motifs. These two motifs are formed via weak C-H...O hydrogen bonds and links between phenyl C-H group (C2) of 4PSBA and nitro-O3" of 2A5NP molecule (symmetry code: $-2+x, 1+y, z$ ) (Fig. 5).

Further, the crystal structure is stabilized via carbonyl- $\pi$ interactions $[36,37]$ which exists between carbonyl group of 4PSBA and the pyridine ring of 2A5NP ( $\mathrm{Cg}_{1}{ }^{\text {iv }}$ ) (symmetry code: $3-x,-y, 1-z)]$ of NPA (Fig. 6) with $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} \ldots \pi)=$ $3.567(2) \AA$. The carbonyl- $\pi$ interactions are analogous to anion- $\pi$ interactions $[38,39]$. The difference between the pKa values of 2-amino-5nitropyridine (2.8) and 4-phenylsulfanylbutyric acid (4.49) is $\sim 1.7$. Since this difference is not
greater than 3 , there is no H -transfer from the carboxylic acid to the amine.


Fig. 3. View of C-H...T interaction [Symmetry code: (iii) $2-\mathrm{x}, 1-\mathrm{y}, \mathbf{2 - z ]}$


Fig. 4 ORTEP view of 4PSBA:2A5NP with displacement ellipsoids drawn at $50 \%$ probability level


Fig. 5. Supramolecular sheet formed via N-H...O. O-H...O and weak C-H...O hydrogen bonds. [Symmetry codes: (i) 3-x,1-y,2-z; (ii) -2+x,1+y, z; (iii) 2-x, -y, 1-z]


Fig. 6. View of carbonyl- $\pi$ interaction between $C=O$...Cg1 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$ [Symmetry codes: (iv) 3-x,-y,1-z]

### 3.1.3 IR spectrum of the cocrystal (4PSBA: 2A5NP)

The cocrystal 4PSBA: 2A5NP exhibits broad bands in the range $3448-3309 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the intermolecular H -bonded OH group (vide Supporting information). Three intense bands were displayed at $1697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1656 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. It is presumed that the stretching frequencies of the amino group of 2A5NP (1639 $\mathrm{cm}_{-1}^{-1}$ ), and that of the carboxylic carbonyl (1717 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) of the free acid (4PSBA) merge together and appear as these new bands in the cocrystals. The formation of the new compounds could be confirmed by the changes in the carbonyl frequencies in the crystals. The change in carbonyl frequency in cocrystals is due to the involvement of carboxyl group in hydrogen bond formation.

### 3.2 Theoretical Studies

Gas phase geometrical parameters of the optimized structure of the acid (4PSBA) and the cocrystal (4PSBA: 2A5NP) at the MM-UFF, MP2, PM3, HF/6-31G(d), and DFT/6-31G(d) levels are listed in Table 2. The DFT method represents good correlation between the calculated geometrical parameters and the single crystal XRD (SCXRD) data. This method also helps in the calculation of the other parameters for the acid (4PSBA) and the cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP). Table 2 reveals that the geometrical parameters of theoretical studies are nearly the same as the experimental ones for the acid (4PSBA) and the cocrystal (4PSBA: 2A5NP). The acid (4PSBA) and the cocrystal (4PSBA: 2A5NP) have been studied theoretically in the absence of their SCXRD data using the B3LYP/3-21G(d) level of theory [40]. In total we have investigated the electronic structures of the acid (4PSBA) and the cocrystal (4PSBA: 2A5NP) using the DFT method. Fig. 7 shows the optimized structures of the acid (4PSBA) and the cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP). Cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP)
(-1445.08) has lower energy than the acid (4PSBA) (-936.95).

### 3.2.1 Molecular Electrostatic Potential (MEP)

Molecular electrostatic potential (MEP) maps provide the isosurface values with the location of negative and positive electrostatic potentials. The differences between nuclophilicity and electrophilicity may affect the proton donating/accepting ability of a compound [41]. Negative electrostatic potential corresponds to the attraction of the proton by the high electron density in the molecule (and is colored in the shades of red on the EPS surface). Positive electrostatic potential corresponds to the repulsion of the proton by atomic nuclei in regions where low electron density exists and the nuclear charge is incompletely shielded (and is colored in shades of blue) [42]. Fig. 8 shows the MEP of the acid (4PSBA) and the cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP) determined using DFT/B3LYP $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ method. The different values of the electrostatic potential at the surface are represented by different colors. As can be seen in Fig. 8, the negative (red) region is localized on the carbonyl oxygen ( O 2 ) and sulphur. However, positive (blue) region is localized on the nitrogen probably due to the hydrogen atoms and the green represents regions of zero potential. Therefore, Fig. 8 confirms the existence of an intermolecular N-H....O bonding. The pale red and blue regions reveal the presence of weak interactions. Hence, it can be said these color differences give the information about the region from where the compound can have $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} . . . . . \pi$, and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} . . .$. .ा intermolecular interactions in the acid (4PSBA) and the cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP) in the gaseous phase. These interactions were confirmed in acid (4PSBA) and cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP) from both theoretical and SCXRD studies. The optimized structures of the acid (4PSBA) and the cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP) also have similar types of MEP and may have the same interactions, excepting that the molecular electrostatic potential value is different.


Fig. 7. Optimized structures of acid (4PSBA) and cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP)


MEP of the acid (4PSBA)


Fig. 8. Molecular electrostatic potential of 4PSBA and 4PSBA:2A5NP

### 3.2.2 Frontier molecular orbitals

Frontier molecular orbitals (FMO) could provide information regarding the inverse dependence of stabilization energy on orbital energy difference. $\mathrm{E}_{\text {номо }}$ is generally associated with the electron donating ability of a molecule. High values of $\mathrm{E}_{\text {номо }}$ are likely to denote the tendency of the molecule to donate electrons to acceptor molecules of lower energy MO. E the ability of the molecule to accept electrons
[43]. The binding ability of the molecule increases with increasing HOMO and decreasing LUMO energy values. Thus, the lower the value of $\mathrm{E}_{\text {LUMO }}$, the most probable it is that the molecule would accept electrons. Figs. 9 and 10 reveal the HOMO and LUMO of the acid (4PSBA) and the cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP). From this, one can understand that cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP) has the highest $\mathrm{E}_{\text {номо }}$ value (-5.6154eV) and lowest ELumo (-2.6445) value.


Fig. 9. HOMO of the cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP)


Fig. 10. LUMO of the cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP)

The difference between the HOMO and LUMO energy levels (DE) of the molecule is an important parameter determining the reactivity of the molecule. As DE decreases (especially for the cationic species), the reactivity of the molecule increases making the molecule less stable. Table 3 reveals that the HOMO-LUMO energy gap of the cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP) is lower than that of acid (4PSBA).

The dipole moment, which is defined as the first derivative of the energy with respect to an applied electric field, is mainly used to study the intermolecular interactions such as van der Waals type dipole-dipole forces etc. The larger the dipole moment the stronger will be the intermolecular attraction [44]. The acid has higher dipole moment (3.6269 D) which reveals it to be more polar than the cocrystal. Absolute hardness, $g$, and softness, $r$, are important properties to measure the molecular stability and reactivity. A hard molecule has a large energy gap and a soft molecule has a small energy gap. Soft molecules are more reactive than hard ones because they could easily offer electrons to an acceptor. For the simplest transfer of electrons, absorption could occur at the part of the molecule where $r$ has the highest magnitude whereas $g$ has the lowest [45]. The
nucleophilicity, $x$, measures the electrophilic power of a molecule. It has been reported that the lower the value of $x$, the lower the capacity of the molecule to donate electrons [46]. Table 3 shows that cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP) has lower energy gap (DE, 2.9709 eV ).

Lower hardness ( 1.4854 eV ), the higher softness ( 0.6732 eV ), and a higher electrophilicity ( 5.7412 eV ). These data agree with the experimental results that the cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP) shows better antimicrobial-, and DNA cleaving ability. The acid (4PSBA) has the higher energy gap ( 5.0804 eV ), higher hardness ( 2.5402 eV ), and a lower softness ( 0.3937 eV ).

According to the molecular orbital (MO) theory, HOMO and LUMO are the most important factors affecting the bioactivity. The interaction between these molecules and the receptor of bacteria are correlated to $\pi-\pi$ or hydrophobic interaction among these frontier molecular orbitals. If the charged parameters are responsible for antimicrobial activity of these molecules, then the negative charges mainly located on carbonyl Oatom may be said to interact with the positive portion of the receptor. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$, being the most positively charged parts, can interact with the negatively charged region of the
receptor easily. We have finally resolved that the HOMO and LUMO of the cocrystal is mostly having $\pi$-antibonding type orbitals and thus, the electronic transitions from the HOMO to LUMO are mainly derived from the contribution of $\pi-\pi^{*}$ bands [47]. This is in agreement with the cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP) exhibiting higher biological activity.

### 3.3 TGA/DTA Measurement for the Cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP)

TGA studies indicated that 4PSBA:2A5NP underwent a complete decomposition starting from $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $270^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ leading to a weight loss of $96.1 \%$ (Fig. 11, vide Supporting information). Thus the co-crystal is thermally stable up to $170^{\circ}$ C. However, the DTA curve indicated an endothermal reaction in the temperature range $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-270^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 3.4 DNA Cleavage Studies

The DNA cleavage studies of the acid (4PSBA) and the cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP) have been carried out against CT DNA by agarose gel electrophoresis method (Fig. 12). The acid (4PSBA) sample has partially cleaved DNA at all concentrations, but the cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP) has completely cleaved DNA at 125 and $250 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ concentrations, and a partial cleavage at $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ concentration. The acid and cocrystal have clearly showed the absence of marker band thus providing a proof for the complete cleavage of CT DNA. It can be concluded that 4PSBA as well as 4PSBA:2A5NP inhibit the growth of the pathogenic organisms by cleaving the genome.

### 3.5 Free Radical Scavenging Activity

The free radical-scavenging activity for the acid (4PSBA) and cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP) was evaluated using DPPH model system and the results are presented in Table 4. From the results it is clear that the free radical-scavenging activities of the cocrystal are slightly greater than that of the acid.

### 3.6 Antimicrobial Activity

The antimicrobial activity was assayed using the cup-plate agar diffusion method [48] by measuring the zone of inhibition in mm . The acid (4PSBA) and cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP) were screened in vitro for their antimicrobial activity against varieties of Gram-positive bacteria (Streptococcus pneumoniae, Staphylococcus aureus), Gram-negative bacteria (Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Proteus vulgaris, and Klebsiella pneumoniae) and fungi (Candida albicans, Aspergillus flavus, Aspergillus niger, and Aspergillus fumigatus) at $40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ concentration. Amikacin and Nystatin were used as standards for comparison purpose of antibacterial and antifungal activity. The results are given in Tables 5 and 6.

Table 4. DPPH radical-scavenging activity of acid (4PSBA) and cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP)

| Concentration | DPPH radical scavenging (\%) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 4PSBA | 4PSBA:2A5NP | Ascorbic <br> acid <br> standard |
| $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ | 0.36 | 0.86 | 21.62 |
| $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ | 3.14 | 5.12 | 75.34 |
| $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ | 6.94 | 11.02 | 96.15 |



Fig. 11. TGA analysis of co-crystal

Table 5. Antibacterial activity


Fig. 12. Gel electrophoresis picture of 4PSBA and 4PSBA:2A5NP showing the effect on CT-DNA
Lane M: DNA marker. Lane C: untreated DNA; Lane 1. 4PSBA $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$, Lane 2. 4PSBA $125 \mu \mathrm{~g}$, Lane 3. 4PSBA $250 \mu \mathrm{~g}$, Lane 4. 4PSBA:2A5NP $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$, Lane 5. 4PSBA:2A5NP $125 \mu \mathrm{~g}$,

Lane 6. 4PSBA:2A5NP $250 \mu g$ concentration

Table 6. Antifungal activity

| Compounds | Zone of inhibition(mm) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fungi |  |  |  |
|  | Candida albicans | Aspergillus flavus | Aspergillus fumigatus | Aspergillus niger |
| Acid (4PSBA) | 29 | 10 | 15 | 13 |
| Cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP) | 33 | 12 | 19 | 15 |
| Nystatin standard | 32 | 25 | 18 | 27 |

Results indicate that the acid (4PSBA) and the cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP) exhibited reasonable antibacterial and antifungal activity. Cocrystal has better bioactivity against Klebsiella pneumoniae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Escherichia coli, Candida albicans, and Aspergillus fumigatus compared to the standards, Amikacin and Nystatin. Simillarly the cocrystal has moderate activity against Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Proteus vulgaris, Aspergillus flavus, and Aspergillus niger. Results show that the antimicrobial activities of the cocrystals are better than that of the acid.

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

Single crystal XRD, and DFT studies were made on 4-phenylsulfanylbutyric acid (4PSBA) and its cocrystal (4PSBA:2A5NP). The cocrystal forms two-component assemblies based on the wellestablished 2 -amino-5-nitropyridine-carboxylic acid synthon. The crystal structure is stabilized via carbonyl- $\pi$ interactions that exist between the carbonyl group of 4PSBA and pyridine ring of 2A5NP. The carbonyl- $\pi$ interactions are analogous to anion- $\pi$ interactions. The cocrystal possesses less energy gap, less hardness, more softness and more nucleophilicity; this agrees with the experimental results that the cocrystal shows better DNA cleaving-, free radical-scavenging-, and antimicrobial activity.
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