



Advances in Research
8(5): 1-7, 2016; Article no.AIR.31774
ISSN: 2348-0394, NLM ID: 101666096



SCIENCE DOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

A New Combination Fungicide Active Ingredients for Management of Sheath Blight Disease of Paddy

D. Pramesh^{1,2*}, Maruti^{1,2}, A. Saddamhusen², K. M. Muniraju^{1,2}
and G. S. Guruprasad¹

¹All India Coordinated Rice Improvement Programme, Agricultural Research Station, Gangavathi, Karnataka, Pin-583 227, India.

²Department of Plant Pathology, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, Karnataka, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author DP designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors Maruti, AS, KMM and GSG managed the analyses of the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AIR/2016/31774

Editor(s):

(1) Antonio Mastino, Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Messina, Italy And Institute of Translational Pharmacology, National Research Council, Rome, Italy.

Reviewers:

(1) Ali Musa Bozdogan, Cukurova University, Turkey.

(2) Manas Kumar Bag, ICAR – National Rice Research Institute, Cuttack, India.
Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/18160>

Original Research Article

Received 24th January 2017
Accepted 6th March 2017
Published 10th March 2017

ABSTRACT

Aims: Present study was under taken to determine the field efficacy of a new combination fungicide azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC against sheath blight disease of rice.

Study Design: Randomized complete block design (RCBD).

Place and Duration of Study: All India Co-ordinated Rice improvement Programme, Agricultural Research Station, Gangavathi (5.4319° N, 76.5315° E), Karnataka, India, during *Kharif* 2014 and *Rabi* 2014-15.

Methodology: Experiment was designed with seven treatments of three replications each. A new formulations *viz.*, azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC was tested in three dosages (500, 750 & 1000 g/ha) along with other fungicidal treatments such as azoxystrobin 23% SC at 500 gm/ha, tebuconazole 25.9% EC at 750 g/ha and validamycin 3% L at 2000 g/ha. Bioefficacy was analysed after spraying all the test chemicals thrice at 15 days interval starting from initiation of the disease.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: parmi.iari@gmail.com;

Results: The combination fungicide azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC at 1000 ml/ha was found effective against sheath blight disease recording least percent disease index (PDI) of 10.93 during *Kharif* 2014. Similar result was observed in *Rabi* 2014-15 where same test fungicide azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% at 1000 ml/ha recorded the least PDI of 11.60. Compared to azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3%, other test fungicides such as azoxystrobin 23% SC, tebuconazole 25.9% EC and validamycin 3% L recorded highest PDI in both *Kharif* 2014 and *Rabi* 2014-15. Significant increases in the grain yield over other treatments (7527 kg/ha) was observed in the plots treated with test chemical azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC at 1000 ml/ha in *Kharif* 2014 and 5796 kg/ha in *Rabi* 2014-15. Whereas, other fungicidal treatments recorded the yield range of 5925-6217 kg/ha in *Kharif* 2014 and 4584 – 5682 kg/ha in *Rabi* 2014-15.

Conclusion: Present investigation provides the field efficacy of the fungicide mixture Azoxystrobin 11% + Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC at 750-1000 ml/ha for management of sheath blight disease of paddy.

Keywords: Rice; azoxystrobin; tebuconazole; sheath blight; percent disease index; *Rhizoctonia solani*.

1. INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is one of the most important cereals of the world and is consumed by 50% of the world population [1]. In India, it is cultivated on an area of 53.2 million hectares with a total production of 99.8 million tons. In Karnataka it is cultivated on an area of 1.53 million hectares with a total production of 3.80 million tons [2]. Rice crop under field condition is affected by many biotic constraints. Among the biotic constraints, sheath blight disease of rice caused by fungal pathogen *Rhizoctonia solani* is more frequent and destructive in irrigated rice of both temperate and subtropical areas and it causes damage at all the stages of crop growth [3].

Sheath blight, caused by *R. solani* Kuhn., is an important disease of rice occurs in all the rice growing areas of the world and causes more economic yield losses [4,5,6]. In India, a modest estimation of losses due to sheath blight disease alone has been up to 54.3 % [7,8] and this disease is particularly most prevalent in intensive rice cultivation system due to excess use of nitrogenous fertilizers. And 5-10% yield loss reported in subtropical low land paddy cultivars of Asia [5].

Under field condition, fungicide based management is most successful in majority of the cases [9,10,11]. Most of the fungicides such as benomyl, carbendazim, chloroneb, captafol, mancozeb, zineb, edifenphos, iprobenphos, thiophanate, carboxin, etc. have been found effective under field conditions [12,13,14]. Recently many combination fungicides such as kresoxim methyl 40% + hexaconazole 8%,

azoxystrobin 18.2% + difenoconazole 11.4% SC, trifloxystrobin 25% + tebuconazole 50% 75 WG, and kasugamycin 5% + copper oxychloride 45% WP, have been shown to control the sheath blight disease under field condition [10,15,16, 17,18].

Continuous use of same group fungicides having same mode of action will lead to the development of resistant strain of same fungi and hence, it is necessary to search for a new molecule with different mode of action [18]. Thus, present study was under taken to determine the field efficacy of a new combination fungicide azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC against sheath blight disease of rice under field conditions.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Layout, Fungicides and Crop Establishment

A field experiment was conducted at the experimental fields of Agricultural Research Station, Gangavathi, Karnataka (5.4319° N, 76.5315° E) during *Kharif* 2014 and *Rabi* 2014-15 in randomized complete block design (RCBD). A popular rice variety BPT5204 which is susceptible to sheath blight disease was used for the study. Seeds of the rice variety BPT5204 were sown in the month of July and planted in August (for *Kharif* 2014 experiment). Whereas, for *Rabi* 2014-15 experiment, seeds were sown the month of November and planted in the month of December. Land was prepared as per the standard agronomical practices. The experiment was laid out in RCBD with a plot size of 5 x 4 m each for all treatments. Seedlings of 30 days old

were planted in trail plots at 20X10 cm spacing. All standard agronomic practices were followed except using higher nitrogenous (200 kg/ha) and lower pottasic (50 kg/ha) fertilizer dose than the normal dose (N2:P2O5:K2O::150:75:75).

Experiment was designed with seven treatments of three replications each. A new formulations viz., azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC was tested in three dosages (500, 750 & 1000 g/ha) along with other fungicidal treatments such as azoxystrobin 23%SC at 500 gm/ha, tebuconazole 25.9%EC at 750 gm/ha and validamycin 3% L at 2000 gm/ha. Bioefficacy was analysed after spraying all the test chemicals thrice at 15 days interval starting from initiation of the disease.

2.2 Artificial Inoculation

A virulent local isolate of *R. solani* was artificially multiplied on typha grass and were used for artificially inoculation to all experimental treatments after 45 days of planting following the 'mycelium with typha grass' method described previously [18].

2.3 Disease Assessment and Statistical Analysis

In both Kharif-14 and Rabi-2014-15 experiment, sheath blight disease was measured in all treatments 10 days after the fungicide application. The disease was measured using the disease rating scale of 0-9 developed by International Rice Research Institute (IRRI, 1996) for sheath blight disease. Further, the scored data was converted into per cent disease index (PDI) using formula given below. The data on the yield were recorded by marking 2x 2 m section within each plot using a wire frame as described by [19].

$$PDI = \left\{ \frac{\text{Sum of the scores} \times 100}{\text{Number of Observation} \times \text{Highest Number in Rating Scale}} \right\}$$

2.4 Statistical Analysis

All the observation on disease severity and yield parameters were subjected to appropriate statistical analysis.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In recent years the combination fungicides are most widely used for disease management under

field condition because of their curative action, broad host range and lower dosage compared to solo fungicides. In paddy the efficacy of such combination products in managing much fungal disease has been reported [10,15,16,17].

Present field experiment revealed that the treatment azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC at 1000 ml/ha recorded lowest PDI of sheath blight in *Kharif* 2014 (10.93) and *Rabi* 2014-15 (11.60) compared to other treatments. In *Kharif* 2014 and *Rabi*-2014-15, the data also suggested that other fungicides such as Azoxystrobin 23%SC at 500 ml/ha, validamycin 3% L at 2000 ml/ha and tebuconazole 25.9%EC at 750 ml/ha are at par with among themselves but stands next to the azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC at 750-1000 ml/ha (Tables 1 and 2). These findings are in consistent with the results of previous investigations, where trifloxystrobin 25% + tebuconazole 50 % w/w SC at 0.4 g/l performed better in reducing the sheath blight disease severity [17]. Results reported by Bhuvaneshwari and Raju [10] where better efficacy of combination fungicide azoxystrobin 18.2% + difenconazole 11.4% SC (strobilurin + triazole) against sheath blight disease is much better than other solo fungicides. Various reviews confirmed that strobilurin compounds found to be effective in controlling many diseases like leaf blast, [18,19], sheath blight [17,18,19,20, 21,22,23], grain discolouration [16] and sheath rot and brown leaf spot [24]. In this experiment, our report also confirms the better efficacy of strobilurin derived fungicide against sheath blight disease of rice.

Application of fungicides has been reported to enhance the crop yield due to reduction in disease load [18,21,22,23,24,25,26,27]. In our experiment, the difference in disease severity of sheath blight in different treatment was observed (Tables 1 and 2) and it was finally reflected in the grain yield (Table 3). Significant increase in the grain yield in *Kharif* 2014 (75.27 q/ha) and *Rabi* 2014-15 (57.96 q/ha) was observed in the plot treated with test chemical azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC at 1000 ml/ha. Whereas, other fungicidal treatments recorded the yield range of 59.25- 62.17 q/ha in *Kharif* 2014 and 45.84 - 56.82 q/ha in *Rabi* 2014-15. The increased yield is mainly due to reduced disease severity of sheath blight disease of rice.

Table 1. Effect of Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC application of against sheath blight disease on rice during *Kharif* – 2014

SL. no	Treatments	Product concentration (%)	Product ml or g/ha	Sheath blight PDI				Percent disease control
				Initial score	Ten day after 1 st spraying	Ten day after 2 nd spraying	Terminal score (Ten days after 3 rd spraying)	
1	Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC	55+91.5	500	6.67 (14.96)	10.93 (19.30)	13.33 (21.42)	16.67 (24.09)	58.71
2	Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC	82.5+137.25	750	6.11 (14.31)	7.41 (15.79)	9.26 (17.72)	11.11 (19.47)	72.47
3	Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC	110+183	1000	6.48 (14.75)	7.22 (15.59)	9.07 (17.53)	10.93 (19.30)	72.93
4	Azoxystrobin 23 %SC	125	500	6.30 (14.53)	10.00 (18.43)	12.04 (20.30)	15.37 (23.08)	61.93
5	Tebuconazole 25.9% EC	187.5	750	6.11 (14.31)	11.11 (19.47)	13.15 (21.26)	15.93 (23.52)	60.54
6	Validamycin 3% L	60	2000	6.48 (14.75)	10.37 (18.79)	12.78 (20.94)	15.74 (23.37)	61.01
7	Control	-	-	6.11 (14.31)	16.11 (23.66)	27.22 (31.45)	40.37 (39.45)	-
CD at 5% level				N.S.	1.51	1.73	1.81	
Coefficient of Variation @ 5%				NS	15.55	10.23	13.84	

Note: The figures in the parenthesis are Arc sin transformed values

Table 2. Effect of Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC application of against sheath blight disease on rice during Rabi – 2014-15

S. No	Treatments	Product concentration (%)	Product ml or g/ha	Percent disease index (PDI)				Percent disease control
				Initial score	Ten day after 1 st spraying	Ten day after 2 nd spraying	Ten days after 3 rd spraying)	
1	Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC	55+91.5	500	8.00 (16.43)	11.60 (19.91)	13.67 (21.69)	17.33 (24.61)	58.71
2	Azoxystrobin 11% + Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC	82.5+137.25	750	7.45 (15.84)	8.08 (16.52)	9.59 (18.04)	11.78 (20.07)	71.95
3	Azoxystrobin 11% + Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC	110+183	1000	7.80 (16.22)	7.89 (16.31)	9.40 (17.86)	11.60 (19.91)	72.38
4	Azoxystrobin 23 %SC	125	500	7.67 (16.08)	10.67 (19.07)	12.37 (20.59)	16.04 (23.61)	61.81
5	Tebuconazole 25.9% EC	187.5	750	7.45 (15.84)	11.78 (20.07)	13.48 (21.54)	16.60 (24.05)	60.48
6	Validamycin 3% L	60	2000	7.83 (16.25)	11.04 (19.41)	13.11 (21.23)	16.41 (23.90)	60.93
7	Control	-	-	7.45 (15.84)	18.78 (25.68)	28.68 (32.38)	42.00 (40.40)	-
CD at 5% level				N.S.	1.87	2.10	2.27	
Coefficient of Variation @ 5%				NS	13.26	12.58	14.44	

Note: The figures in the parenthesis are Arc sin transformed values

Table 3. Effect of application of Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC against Sheath blight of rice during Kharif – 2014 and Rabi-2014-15

SL. No.	Treatments	Product concentration (%)	Product ml or g/ha	Grain yield (kg/ha)	
				Kharif - 2014	Rabi 2014-15
1	Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC	55+91.5	500	5925	4594
2	Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC	82.5+137.25	750	7483	5682
3	Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC	110+183	1000	7527	5796
4	Azoxystrobin 23 %SC	125	500	6217	4886
5	Tebuconazole 25.9% EC	187.5	750	6168	4619
6	Validamycin 3% L	60	2000	6215	4584
7	Control	-	-	5225	3800
CD at 5% level				302	289
Coefficient of Variation @ 5%				11.06	15.22

4. CONCLUSION

Present investigation provides the field efficacy of the fungicide mixture Azoxystrobin 11% + Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC at 750-1000 ml/ha for management of sheath blight disease of paddy.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors thankful to Director of Research, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, Karnataka and Campus Head, Agricultural Research Station, Gangavathi for providing necessary research facilities and funding for conducting the experiment.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Luo Y, Tenga PS, Fabellara NG, TeBeestb DO. Risk analysis of yield losses caused by rice leaf blast associated with temperature changes above and below for five Asian countries. *Agri. Ecosys. & Environ.* 1998;68:197-205.
2. Anonymous. *Agriculture Situation in India*; 2011.
3. Bonman JM, Estrada BA, Kim CK, Ra DS, Lee EJ. Assessment of blast disease and yield loss in susceptible and partially resistant cultivars in two irrigated low land environments. *Plant Dis.* 1991;75:462-466.
4. Ou SH. *Rice Diseases*, 2nd Edn. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Surrey; 1985.
5. Savary S, Willocquet L, Elazegui FA, Castilla N, Teng PS. Rice pest constraints in tropical Asia: Quantification and yield loss due to rice pests in a range of production situations. *Plant Dis.* 2000;84: 357-369.
6. Savary S, Teng PS, Willocquet L, Nutter FW Jr. Quantification and modeling of crop losses: A review of purposes. *Annual Rev. Phytopathol.* 2006;44:89-112.
7. Rajan CPD. Estimation of yield losses due to sheath blight of rice. *Indian Phytopathol.* 1987;40:174-177.
8. Roy AK. Sheath blight of rice in India. *Indian Phytopathol.* 1993;46:97-205.
9. Kandhari J, Gupta RL. Efficacy of fungicides and resistance inducing chemicals against sheath blight of rice. *J. Mycological Res.* 2003;41:67-69.
10. Bhuvaneswari V, Raju KS. Efficacy of New Combination Fungicide against Rice Sheath Blight Caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* (Kuhn). *J. Rice Res.* 2012;5(1-2).
11. Kumar PMK, Sidde Gowda DK, Rishikant M, Kiran Kumar N, Pandurange Gowda KT, Vishwanath K. Impact of fungicides on rice production in India In: *Fungicides showcases of integrated plant disease management from around the world (open access chapter)*. 2013;77-98.
12. Dash SC, Panda S. Chemical control of rice sheath blight disease. *Indian Phytopathol.* 1984;37:79-82
13. Kannaiyan S, Prasad NN. Effect of foliar spray of certain fungicides on the control of sheath blight of rice. *Madras Agricultural J.* 1984;71:111-114.
14. Singh R, Sihna AP. Comparative efficacy of local bioagents, commercial bioformulation and fungicide for the management of sheath blight of rice under glass house conditions. *Indian Phytopathol.* 2004;57:494-496.
15. Kumar PMK, Veerabhadraswamy AL. Appraise a combination of fungicides against blast and sheath blight diseases of paddy (*Oryza sativa* L.). *J. Exper. Biol. Agricultural Sci.* 2014;2:49-57.
16. Bag MK, Saha S. Fungitoxic effect of Nativo 75 wg (trifloxystrobin 25%+ tebuconazole 50%) on grain discoloration (GD) disease of rice in West Bengal. *Pestol.* 2009;33:47-49.
17. Bag M K. Efficacy of a new fungicide 'Trifloxystrobin 25% + Tebuconazole 50%' 75WG against Sheath Blight (*Rhizoctonia solani* Kühn) of Rice. *Journal of Crop and Weed.* 2009;5(1):224-226.
18. Pramesh D, Maruti, Muniraju KM, Mallikarjun K, Guruprasad GS, Mahantashivayogayya K, Reddy BGM, Gowdar SB, Chethana BS. Bio-efficacy of combination fungicide against Blast and Sheath Blight disease of Paddy. *Journal of Experimental Agri. International.* 2016;19: 955-961.
19. Dutta D, Saha S, Ray P, Bag MK. Effect of different active fungicides molecules on the management of rice blast disease. *International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology.* 2012; 5(3):247-251.

20. Bhaktavatsalam G, Satyanarayana K, Reddy APK, John VT. Evaluation of sheath blight resistance in rice. *Int. Rice Res. Newsl.* 1978;3:9-10.
21. Seebold KW, Dantof JLE, Correa-Victoria FJ, Kucharek TA, Snyder GH. Effects of Silicon and fungicides on the control of leaf and neck blast in Upland rice. *Plant Dis.* 2004;88:253-258.
22. Pramesh D, Nataraj K, Guruprasad GS, Mahantashivayogayya, Reddy BGM. Evaluation of a new strobilurin group of fungicide for the management of blast disease of paddy. *American J. Experimental Agri.* 2016;13(5):1-6.
23. Bag MK, Yadav M, Mukherjee AK. Bioefficacy of strobilurin based fungicides against rice sheath blight disease. *Trascriptomics.* 2016; 4:128. DOI: 10.4172/2329-8936.1000128
24. Biswas A, Bag MK. Strobilurins in management of sheath blight disease of rice: A review. *Pestol.* 2010;34:23-26.
25. Bag MK. Performance of a new generation fungicide Metominostrobin 20SC against sheath blight disease of rice. *J. Mycopathol. Res.* 2011;49(1):167-169.
26. Usman GM, Wakil W, Sahi ST, Saleemil Y. Influence of various fungicides on the management of rice blast disease. *Mycopathol.* 2009;7:29-34.
27. Naik GR, Naik GB, Naik BT, Naik KR. Fungicidal management of leaf blast disease in rice. *Global. J. Bio science and Biotechnol.* 2012;1(1):18-21.

© 2016 Pramesh et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/18160>*