The Value of Lateral Cephalometric Variables Measured by Cephalogram in Sex Determining among Iranians

Naimeh Farhidnia¹, Siamak Soltani¹, Kamran Aghakhani¹, Sasan Salehi¹, Leila Khloosy¹, Shima Chehreii², Fardin Fallah¹ & Azadeh Memarian¹

¹ Department of ForensicMedicine, Iran University of Medical Science, Tehran, Iran

² Assistant Professor in the biology Department, Islamic Azad University, Arak Branch, Arak, Iran

Correspondence: Azadeh Memarian, Department of ForensicMedicine, Iran University of Medical Science, Tehran, Iran. E-mail: azade.memarian@gmail.com

| Received: September 11, 2016 | Accepted: November 3, 2016 | Online Published: December 10, 2016 |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| doi:10.5539/gjhs.v9n6p214 | URL: http://dx.doi.org/1 | 0.5539/gjhs.v9n6p214 |

Abstract

Purpose: Sex determination is one of the most important aspects of the personal identification in forensic medicine. The present study thus aimed to assess the value of cephalogram in determining sex by applying eleven linear and an angular cephalometric variables measured on lateral cephalograms among Iranians.

Methods: In a cross-sectional study, 11 linear and 1 angular cephalometric measurements were studied. Those are: basion to anterior nasal spine, upper facial height, length of cranial base, total face height, frontal sinus height, mastoidale to sella-nasion plan, mastoidale to porion-orbital plan, mastoid height from cranial base, mastoid with at the level of cranial base, mandibular effective length (central condyle to prognation), occipitofrontal diameter, and gonial angle. Measurements were assessed in 150 individuals (75 males and 75 females) aged 25 to 54 years. After preparing lateral cephalograms, the cephalometric measurements were analyzed using PACS software. SPSS version 22.0 was used for analysis. P values of 0.05 or less were considered statistically significant.

Results: With the exception of gonial angle, comparison of lateral cephalometric indices between two sexs showed greater values in males than in females (p<0.001). In general, almost all of the cephalometric measurements were found reliable to distinguish between male and female sex skulls with a high sensitivity (100%) and specificity (97.3% to 1000%).

Conclusion: The cephalometric measurements used in this study are able to differentiate with high specificity and sensitivity between male and female skull

Keywords: sex determination, cephalogram, lateral cephalometric variables

1. Introduction

Sex determination is one of the most important aspects of the personal identification in forensic medicine. The change in the shape of person occurs naturally after sexual maturity and changing the bones of the skull and pelvis are the most indicative for difference between the sexes (Bass, 1971; Sassouni, 1963; Scheuer, 2002; Veyre -Goulet, Mercier, Robin, & Guerin, 2008). The forensic anthropologists have mostly assessed the dimorphism in teeth, hair, pelvis, skull and in bone sizes. However, reliability of the skull parameters to identify sex until adulthood has remained uncertain (Biggerstaff, 1977; Iscan & Steyn, 2013; V. G. Naikmasur, R. Shrivastava, & S. Mutalik, 2010; K. R. Patil & R. N. Mody, 2005). Furthermore, appearance characteristics are not only dependent to sex, but they are influenced by different factors that person exposes to them throughout life. In the studies on the efficiency of skull parameters to identify sex, the accuracy of these parameters has estimated to be 77% to 92% (Paiva & Segre, 2003; Steyn & Iscan, 1998), while using parameters of skull radiography improved the accuracy to the range of 80% to 100% (Robinson & Bidmos, 2009). Classically, the skull morphology measured by cephalometric technique which is a radiographic method to define the geometry and size of the skull bones. Normally the lateral view of the cephalogram is considered in evolutionary studies because of the availability and reliability of its characteristics and it is used as reference (Bruner, 2004). Lateral cephalogram indicates the structural and morphological details of skull in a single radiography representing various points for comparing sexes. In addition, cephalometric tools and techniques are readily available, low-cost, repeatable, and without the need for special training to personnel (Chang, Liu, & Hsiao, 1996). Lateral cephalogram is a simple and reliable tool in forensic medicine and its results are reliable and can be generalized. However, a few studies have been performed to assess parameters on the skull in Iranian population. The present study thus aimed to assess the value of cephalogram in determining sex by applying 11 linear and 1 angular cephalometric measurements derived from lateral cephalograms among Iranians.

2. Materials and Methods

In this cross-sectional study, 150 individuals (75 male and 75 female) aged 25 to 54 years who referred to the Rasoul-e-Akram hospital in 2015 for various medical reasons were included. The study was performed according to Helsinki principals of ethics. A written consent was obtained from all participants and all of them were aware of the study. Using simple random sampling method was used to determine the sample size by considering the following formula and the previous studies data:

$$n = \left[\frac{Z_{\alpha} + Z_{\beta}}{C}\right]^2$$

C= 0.973, α = 0.05, β = 0.1, $Z_{1-\alpha_{/2}}$ = 1.96 , $Z_{1-\beta}$ = 1.28 , n=90

The subjects were eligible to include into the study after authentication using the national ID card, birth certificate or medical insurance. The exclusion criteria were history of skull trauma or surgery, history of chronic disorders, or any endocranial or facial defects. Also, those with incomplete authentication documents or high-qualified radiograms were not included. On first admission, the physicians requested simple lateral cephalometric radiograph for all participants. Eleven linear and one angular cephalometric measurements were analyzed using PACS software. Those measurements are: basion to anterior nasal spine (Ba-ANS), upper facial height (N-ANS), length of cranial base (Ba-N), total face height (N-M), frontal sinus height (FS-Ht), mastoidale to sella-nasion plan (Ma-SN), mastoidale to porion-orbital plan (Ma-FH), mastoid height from cranial base (Ma-Ht), mastoid with at the level of cranial base (Ma-wd), mandibular effective length (central condyle to prognotion), occipitofrontal diameter (occiput to frontal), and gonial angle (Ar-Go-Me). The measured skull parameters along with sex and age subgroups were all recorded in the study checklist.

For statistical analysis, results were presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) for quantitative variables and were summarized by absolute frequencies and percentages for categorical variables. Test of normality were performed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test. Categorical variables were compared using chi-square test or Fisher's exact test when more than 20% of cells with expected count of less than 5 were observed. Quantitative variables were also compared with t test or Mann-Whitney U test. The ROC curve analysis was applied to evaluate the different measured skull parameters for sex identification. In this regard, the value of the skull parameters to discriminate male from female sexes was examined by calculating area under the ROC curve and then the best cutoff value for these parameters were determined yielding the optimized sensitivity and specificity. For the statistical analysis, the statistical software SPSS version 22.0 for windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) was used. P values of 0.05 or less were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

In total, 75 males (mean age 36.4 ± 7.5 years) and 75 females (35.5 ± 5.8 years) were included. No difference was found in mean age between males and females (P = 0.400). As shown in Table 1, no difference was revealed in the mean cephalometric parameters between the difference age subgroups. Comparing lateral cephalometric indices between the two sexes (Table 2) showed that except for mean gonial angle that was significantly more in females compared with men (P < 0.001), other measured parameters were all more in males than in females (P < 0.001).

As shown in Table 3, a definitive cutoff values were obtained for some parameters including Ba_Ans (cutoff point of 10.6), N_Ans (cutoff point of 5.5), Ma_Sn (cutoff point of 4.0), Ma_FH (cutoff point of 2.0), Ma_Ht (cutoff point of 1.5), Ma_Wd (cutoff point of 2.5), Mand_leng (cutoff point of 8.0), and Occip_front (cutoff point of 21.7) for differentiating male from female sexes with a sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 100%. The best cutoff values for other parameters including Ba_N, N_M, Fs_Ht, and Ar_Go_Me were 11.2, 13.0, 3.4, and 123.8 respectively yielding a sensitivity of 100% for all and a specificity of 98.7%, 98.7%, 97.3%, and 98.7% respectively.

| Age Groups | 25-30 | 31-35 | 36-40 | 41-45 | 46-50 | 51-55 | Total | P Value |
|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Ba Ans | 10.6 ± 0.6 | 10.4 ± 0.5 | 10.7 ± 0.6 | 10.5 ± 0.4 | 10.6 ± 0.6 | 11.0 ± 0.4 | 10.6 ± 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Da_Alls | 10.0 ± 0.0 | 10.4 ± 0.5 | 10.7 ± 0.0 | 10.3 ± 0.4 | 10.0 ± 0.0 | 11.0 ± 0.4 | 10.0 ± 0.3 | 0.5 |
| N_Ans | 5.4 ± 0.5 | 5.4 ± 0.4 | 5.4 ± 0.4 | 5.4 ± 0.4 | 5.4 ± 0.3 | 5.8 ± 0.08 | 5.4 ± 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Ba_N | 11.0±0.7 | 10.8 ± 0.7 | 11.0 ± 0.7 | 10.9 ± 0.7 | 11.0 ± 0.6 | 11.7 ± 0.2 | 11.0 ± 0.7 | 0.2 |
| N_M | 12.9 ± 0.7 | 12.6 ± 0.7 | 13.1 ± 1.4 | 12.9 ± 0.6 | 12.8 ± 0.8 | 13.7 ± 0.2 | 12.9 ± 1 | 0.2 |
| Fs_Ht | 3.4 ± 0.3 | 3.3 ± 0.3 | 3.5 ± 0.4 | 3.4 ± 0.3 | 3.5 ± 0.3 | 3.7 ± 0.1 | 3.4 ± 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Ma_Sn | 4.1 ± 0.7 | 3.9 ± 0.7 | 4.0 ± 0.8 | 4.0 ± 0.8 | 4.0 ± 0.8 | 4.7 ± 0.1 | 4.0 ± 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Ma_FH | 2.0 ± 0.6 | 1.8 ± 0.6 | 2.0 ± 0.7 | 1.9 ± 0.7 | 2.0 ± 0.6 | 2.6 ± 0.2 | 2.0 ± 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Ma_Ht | 1.5 ± 0.3 | 1.4 ± 0.3 | 1.5 ± 0.4 | 1.4 ± 0.3 | 1.4 ± 0.3 | 1.8 ± 0.1 | 1.5 ± 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Ma_Wd | 2.4 ± 0.3 | 2.3 ± 0.3 | 2.4 ± 0.4 | 2.3 ± 0.4 | 2.4 ± 0.4 | 2.8 ± 0.2 | 2.4 ± 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Ar_Go_Me | 125.3±13.4 | 123.9±2.7 | 123.4±2.8 | 123.5±2.8 | 123.3 ± 3 | 120.6 ± 1 | 124.0 ± 7.6 | 0.7 |
| Mand_leng | 7.9 ± 0.6 | 7.8 ± 0.7 | 7.9 ± 0.7 | 7.9 ± 0.6 | 7.9 ± 0.7 | 8.5 ± 0.3 | 7.9 ± 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Occip_front | 21.5 ± 0.3 | 21.3 ± 0.3 | 21.4 ± 0.4 | 21.4 ± 0.4 | 21.4 ± 0.3 | 21.7±0.06 | 21.4 ± 0.3 | 0.4 |

Table 1. Comparing lateral skull parameters between the different age subgroups

Table 2. Comparing lateral skull parameters between men and women

| Parameter | Men | Women | P-value |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| Ba-ANS | 11.1 ± 0.42 | 10.1 ± 0.11 | < 0.001 |
| N-ANS | 5.8 ± 0.10 | 5.1 ± 0.33 | < 0.001 |
| Ba-N | 11.7 ± 0.18 | 10.3 ± 0.3 | < 0.001 |
| N-M | 13.6 ± 0.2 | 12.3 ± 1.05 | < 0.001 |
| FS-Ht | 3.8 ± 0.1 | 3.1 ± 0.1 | < 0.001 |
| Ma-SN | 4.8 ± 0.1 | 3.3 ± 0.2 | < 0.001 |
| Ma-FH | 2.6 ± 0.2 | 1.3 ± 0.3 | < 0.001 |
| Ma-Ht | 1.8 ± 0.1 | 1.1 ± 0.1 | < 0.001 |
| Ma-wd | 2.6 ± 0.2 | 1.3 ± 0.3 | < 0.001 |
| Ar-Go-Me | 121.8 ± 10.4 | 126.1 ± 0.61 | < 0.001 |
| Mand_leng | 8.5 ± 0.28 | 7.2 ± 0.10 | < 0.001 |
| Occipitofrontal | 21.8 ± 0.09 | 21.1 ± 0.1 | < 0.001 |

| Table 3. The especial cutoff points for late | eral skull parameters in men and women |
|--|--|
|--|--|

| Parameter | Cutoff Point for Men | Cutoff Point for Women |
|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Ba-ANS | > 10.6 | < 10.6 |
| N-ANS | > 5.5 | < 5.5 |
| Ba-N | > 11.2 | < 11.2 |
| N-M | > 13.0 | < 13.0 |
| FS-Ht | > 3.4 | > 3.4 |
| Ma-SN | > 4.0 | < 4.0 |
| Ma-FH | > 2.0 | < 2.0 |
| Ma-Ht | > 1.5 | < 1.5 |
| Ma-wd | > 2.5 | < 2.5 |
| Ar-Go-Me | < 123.8 | > 123.8 |
| Mand_leng | > 8.0 | < 8.0 |
| Occipitofrontal | > 21.7 | < 21.7 |

4. Discussion

Physical anthropology was primarily introduced because of interesting to ethnical categorization as well as quantitative measurement of body dimensions. Thus, the factors dependent to geographical, ethnical, and anthropological indices determine diameters of human body. In this regard, anthropometric studies should be focused on especial demographic, geographical and ethnical subgroups. Because of the importance of determining these diameters in forensic medicine in each society, we aimed to determine some especial facial and cephalic diameters among Iranian population to discriminate male from female sex. The different methods for assessment of skull bones include morphological assessment that depend to experiences of the assessor and thus may be accompanied with a potential error. Therefore, the application of more accurate methods based on morphometric measurements is strongly recommended (Kanchan & Rajendra, 2005). In this regard, the use of morphologic measures for discrimination of sexes is one of the most common method (Kumar, Lone, & Patnaik, 2013). Even, the combination of two or more indicators can increase accuracy of this sex discrimination (Ingerslev & Solow, 1975). In fact, considering skull parameters along with pelvic or bones of extremities can achieve sex identification with the highest accuracy (Jacobson, 1995).

As shown in our study, the measurement of lateral skull diameters could perfectly differentiate male from female sex with high sensitivity and specificity. In fact, considering especial cutoff points for some diameters such as Ba_Ans, N_Ans, Ma_Sn, Ma_FH), Ma_Ht, Ma_Wd, Mand_leng, and Occip_front can differentiate sexs with high accuracy. In other words, using any of the indicators alone can be applicable for this purpose. Previous studies mostly obtained similar results on sex determination from skull parameters. In a study by Naikmasur et al (Venkatesh G Naikmasur, Rahul Shrivastava, & Sunil Mutalik, 2010), a total of 11 cephalometric parameters were traced on lateral cephalograms that among those, bizygomatic width, ramus height, depth of face contributed most for sexual dimorphism in the population with the discrimination accuracy ranged 81% to 88%. In a study by Mathur et al, 4 linear measurements including N-S, Me- Go, N - ANS and Co- Gn and 2 angular measurements including gonial angle and Mand pl angle were significantly different between the sexs, indicating the presence of sexual dimorphism in the skull (Mathur, Mahajan, Dandekar, Patil, & Mathur, 2014). In a study by Butt et al. the reliability of cephalogram in determining skull gender dimorphism was evaluated and it was found that the percentage of skulls correctly classified with this function was 94.2% (Butt & Ahmedb, 2016). In a study by Veyre et al (Veyre - Goulet et al., 2008), sex was determined with 95.6% accuracy using the 18 variables discriminant function that a subset of eight variables was selected and could predict sex with the same accuracy. In another study by Binnal et al (Binnal & Devi, 2012), among 9 cephalometric parameters used, seven were reliable in the identification of sex. That the derived discriminant function equation accurately identified 88% of the male study subjects as males and 84% of the female subjects as females. Patil et al also (Kanchan & Rajendra, 2005) showed that a discriminant function derived from 10 cephalometric variables provided 99% reliability in sex determination. In Hsiao et al (Chang et al., 1996) survey, the superciliary ridges, frontal sinuses, external occipital protuberance, and mastoid processes were adopted as objects of lateral radiographic cephalometric measurements. With discriminant functions created from 18 established cephalometric variables, a total of 100 cases were classified into two sexual groups with 100% accuracy in a random sample of Taiwanese adults. Study by Sprowl et al which was evaluated 24 factors, the landmarks contributing the most (P < 0.05) to sexual dimorphism were GMFH, IOpFH, ULTc, GPI, GSgN, FSHt and Tc. The results of this study confirm sexual dimorphism does exist in the skeleton as early as 6 years old (Sprowl, 2013).

In total, the obtained results can explain some important points. First, comparing different studies on various populations achieve similar results on sensitivity and accuracy of lateral cephalometric parameters in sex identification. In fact, almost all studies emphasized this fact that by employing any of these parameters, sex can be identified perfectly. The difference in the variables in studies are related to the ethnic population (Kanchan & Rajendra, 2005). Second, it seems that considering a combination of these parameters and definition of a combined scaling system consisting these parameters, sex discrimination in unidentified cadavers or mutilated corpses can be easily and quickly performed only on measurement of lateral skull diameters. In total, lateral cephalogram not only is ideal for the skull examination as it gives details of various anatomical points in a single radiograph, but also it easily provides architectural and morphological details of skull superstructures and intra-cranial details for sex comparisons.

Competing Interests Statement

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

References

Bass, W. M. (1971). Human osteology: A laboratory and field manual of the human skeleton.

Biggerstaff, R. H. (1977). Craniofacial characteristics as determinants of age, sex, and race in forensic dentistry. Dent Clin North Am, 21(1), 85-97.

- Binnal, A., & Devi, B. Y. (2012). Identification of sex using lateral cephalogram: Role of cephalofacial parameters. *J. Indian Acad. Oral Med. Radiol, 24*(4), 280-283. https://doi.org/10.5005/jp-journals-10011-1313
- Bruner, E. (2004). Geometric morphometrics and paleoneurology: brain shape evolution in the genus Homo. *Journal of Human Evolution*, 47(5), 279-303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2004.03.009
- Butt, S., & Ahmedb, I. (2016). Reliability of Cephalogram in Determining Skull Gender Dimorphism. *Iran J* Ortho, InPress. https://doi.org/10.17795/ijo-5321
- Chang, H.-P., Liu, K.-M., & Hsiao, T.-H. (1996). Sex determination by discriminant function analysis of lateral radiographic cephalometry. *Journal of Forensic Science*, *41*(5), 792-795.
- Ingerslev, C., & Solow, B. (1975). Sex differences in craniofacial morphology. *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica*, 33(2), 85-94. https://doi.org/10.3109/00016357509026347
- Iscan, M. Y., & Steyn, M. (2013). The human skeleton in forensic medicine. Charles C Thomas Publisher.
- Jacobson, A. (1995). Radiographic cephalometry: from basics to videoimaging. Quintessence Publishing (IL).
- Kumar, M., Lone, M., & Patnaik, V. (2013). Determination of sex by discriminant function analysis: a cephalometric study. *Int J Pure App Biosci, 1*(18), e21.
- Mathur, R. U., Mahajan, A. M., Dandekar, R. C., Patil, R. B., & Mathur, R. (2014). Determination of Sex using Discriminant Function Analysis in Young Adults of Nashik: A Lateral Cephalometric Study. J. Adv. Med. Dent. Sci., 2(1), 21-25.
- Naikmasur, V. G., Shrivastava, R., & Mutalik, S. (2010). Determination of sex in South Indians and immigrant Tibetans from cephalometric analysis and discriminant functions. *Forensic Sci Int*, 197(1-3), 122 e121-126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2009.12.052
- Naikmasur, V. G., Shrivastava, R., & Mutalik, S. (2010). Determination of sex in South Indians and immigrant Tibetans from cephalometric analysis and discriminant functions. *Forensic Science International*, 197(1), 122. e121-122. e126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2009.12.052
- Paiva, L. A. S. d., & Segre, M. (2003). Sexing the human skull through the mastoid process. *Revista do Hospital das Clínicas*, 58(1), 15-20. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0041-87812003000100004
- Patil, K. R., & Mody, R. N. (2005). Determination of sex by discriminant function analysis and stature by regression analysis: a lateral cephalometric study. *Forensic Sci Int*, 147(2-3), 175-180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2004.09.071
- Patil, K. R., & Mody, R. N. (2005). Determination of sex by discriminant function analysis and stature by regression analysis: a lateral cephalometric study. *Forensic Science International*, 147(2), 175-180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2004.09.071
- Robinson, M. S., & Bidmos, M. A. (2009). The skull and humerus in the determination of sex: reliability of discriminant function equations. *Forensic Science International*, 186(1), 86. e81-86. e85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2009.01.003
- Sassouni, V. (1963). Dentofacial radiography in forensic dentistry. J dent Res, 42(1), 274-302. https://doi.org/10.1177/00220345630420012901
- Scheuer, L. (2002). Application of osteology to forensic medicine. *Clinical Anatomy*, 15(4), 297-312. https://doi.org/10.1002/ca.10028
- Sprowl, A. E. (2013). Sex Determination Using Discriminant Function Analysis in Hispanic Children and Adolescents: A Lateral Cephalometric Study.
- Steyn, M., & Işcan, M. Y. (1998). Sexual dimorphism in the crania and mandibles of South African whites. *Forensic Science International*, 98(1), 9-16. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-0738(98)00120-0
- Veyre Goulet, S. A., Mercier, C., Robin, O., & Guerin, C. (2008). Recent human sexual dimorphism study using cephalometric plots on lateral teleradiography and discriminant function analysis. *Journal of forensic sciences*, 53(4), 786-789. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1556-4029.2008.00759.x

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).