

Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology

Volume 27, Issue 8, Page 133-144, 2024; Article no.JABB.119677 ISSN: 2394-1081

Development of Clustered Fruiting and High-yielding Variety in Brinjal (Solanum melongena L.) through Applied Plant Breeding

M. M. Pandya^{a*}, R. R. Acharya^a, N. A. Patel^a, K. B. Kathiria^b, A. S. Bhanvadia^c, D. P. Gohil^d, J. N. Patel^e and V. I. Joshi^e

^a Main Vegetable Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Anand-388 110, India.
^b University Bhavan, Anand Agricultural University, Anand-388 110, India.
^c Regional Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Anand-388 110, India.
^d Main Forage Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Anand-388 110, India.
^e Bidi Tobacco Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Anand-388 110, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i81128

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/119677

> Received: 01/05/2024 Accepted: 04/07/2024 Published: 13/07/2024

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

In brinjal, consumer preference hinges on multifaceted criteria encompassing nutritional content and culinary attributes, while farmers prioritize factors such as yield potential. In response to this dual demand spectrum, the cultivar "Anand Doli" was meticulously developed, and tailored to excel

*Corresponding author: E-mail: Pandyamihir1011@aau.in;

Cite as: Pandya, M. M., R. R. Acharya, N. A. Patel, K. B. Kathiria, A. S. Bhanvadia, D. P. Gohil, J. N. Patel, and V. I. Joshi. 2024. "Development of Clustered Fruiting and High-Yielding Variety in Brinjal (Solanum Melongena L.) through Applied Plant Breeding". Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology 27 (8):133-44. https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i81128.

in the unique agro-climatic conditions prevalent in middle Gujarat. Anand Doli, emerged from the controlled crossbreeding program involving Doli 5 x GOB 1 utilizing the pedigree method of plant breeding at the Main Vegetable Research Station of Anand Agricultural University, Anand, spanning the years 2017 to 2021. Anand Doli showcased a 44.70, 38.82, 17.72, 26.28, 40.74, and 40.20% higher fruit yield compared to controls GOB 1, GBL 1, GJB 2, GJLB 4, Doli 5, and Punjab Sadabahar, respectively. Fruits of this variety have club-shaped with a large pistil scar diameter and dark pink skin upon harvest. The calyx has strong creasing, and the fruit grows in clusters. This variety presents a promising option for middle Gujarat, offering improved yields and market preference.

Keywords: Anand doli; brinjal; club shape; clustering; yield.

1. INTRODUCTION

Brinjal (Solanum melongena L.), alternatively referred to as eggplant or aubergine, occupies the fifth position in significance within the Solanaceae family in subtropical and tropical regions [1]. Its cultivation and utilization trace back over 4000 years, particularly prominent in the South Asian subcontinent [2]. Research suggests that brinial's origin may be rooted in India, with indications pointing to the Indo-Burma region as its likely center of origin [3]. Alternatively, there is speculation about its secondary origin in China, adding to its taxonomic complexity within the economically vital Solanaceae family, characterized by a fundamental chromosome number of 2n=2x=24 [1]. Brinjal holds paramount importance as a vegetable crop, particularly in Southeast Asia and Africa, where it serves as a staple food source [4].

Brinjal exhibits remarkable adaptability to a broad spectrum of environmental conditions, thriving in tropical, humid, and equatorial climates prevalent across Africa, Asia, and the southern United States. Historically, brinjal cultivation was limited to regions devoid of temperate hills due to unfavourable temperature regimes. However, recent climatic shifts have rendered these temperate zones conducive to brinjal cultivation. The cultivation window from April to September ensures optimal crop longevity, facilitating the production of high-quality fruits with minimal susceptibility to insect infestation, particularly from borers. Despite being predominantly selfpollinated, brinjal demonstrates a significant potential for cross-pollination, attributed to pronounced heterostyly mechanisms favouring such interactions. Fruit set percentages vary across floral types, ranging from 70% to 86.70% in long-styled flowers and 12.5% to 55.60% in medium-styled flowers, reflecting genetic and environmental influences. Beyond its agronomic

significance, brinjal holds medicinal value in traditional systems like Ayurveda and Unani, where various plant parts are utilized for their therapeutic properties. These include asthma relief, appetite stimulation, and cardio-tonic effects attributed to its roots and fruits, as well as pain alleviation and anti-inflammatory properties associated with its leaves and seeds. Notably, white brinjal varieties are purported to offer benefits for diabetic patients, highlighting the diverse pharmacological potential inherent in brinjal cultivars.

Presently, brinjal cultivation is widespread across several nations including China, Pakistan, India, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, Nepal, Egypt, the United Arab Emirates, and other equatorial regions. According to FAOSTAT [5] data, global brinjal production stands at an estimated 54.08 million with Asian countries contributing tons, approximately 93% of this total output. In India, the cultivation area for brinjal in 2023 was recorded at 0.788 million hectares, vielding an estimated annual production of 12.76 million tonnes, achieving a productivity rate of 16.19 tonnes per hectare [6]. Key brinjal-producing states in India include West Bengal, Odisha, Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh, and Bihar. Notably, within Gujarat, brinjal ranks third in cultivation area and production, following potato and tomato. In the agricultural year 2022-23, brinjal was cultivated across 81,673 hectares in Gujarat, resulting in an annual production of 16.24 lakh tonnes and a productivity rate of 19.89 tonnes per hectare [7].

Brinjal exhibits extensive genetic diversity across various geographical regions, encompassing a wide array of traits such as fruit size, shape, colour, growth habit, canopy bearing, yield potential, and resistance to diseases and insect pests [8]. Moreover, this genetic variation extends to other critical attributes including vegetative growth, maturity, and the presence or absence of spines on leaves, stems, and fruit calyxes within indigenous brinjal populations [9]. Recent studies have highlighted distinct biochemical compositions among different brinjal cultivars. with oblong-fruited varieties showcasing higher levels of total soluble sugars, long-fruited types elevated while exhibit concentrations of free reducina sugars, anthocyanins, phenols, glycoalkaloids (e.g., solasodine), dry matter, and amide proteins [10].

Consumer preference hinges on multifaceted criteria encompassing nutritional content, visual appeal, and culinary attributes, while farmers prioritize factors such as yield potential and market suitability. In response to this dual demand spectrum, the cultivar "Anand Doli" was meticulously developed, and tailored to excel in the unique agro-climatic conditions prevalent in middle Gujarat.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental Materials and Design

The genotype AB 13-03, alternatively known as Anand Doli, emerged from the controlled crossbreeding program involving Doli 5 x GOB 1 utilizing the pedigree method of plant breeding at the main vegetable research station of Anand Agricultural University, Anand, spanning the years 2013 to 2019. The field experiment was executed following a randomized complete block design, comprising three replications, while GOB 1, GBL 1, GJB 2, GJLB 4, Doli 5 and Punjab Sadabahar were deployed as check varieties. Each experimental plot encompassed 30 to 40 plants, adhering to a spacing pattern of 90 x 60 across various years and locations. cm Transplanting involved the sowing of 2 seeding per hole, with subsequent thinning to a singular plant per hole once plants attained the 5-10 leaf stages.

2.2 Phenotyping and Statistical Analysis

Data were systematically collected encompassing a range of phenology traits, including the plant height (cm), branches per plant, fruits per plant, fruit length (cm), fruit girth (cm), fruit weight (g), days to first picking after transplanting, leaf: length (cm), leaf: width (cm), fruit: length of peduncle (cm), seeds per fruit, 1000 seed weight (g) and fruit yield q/ha. Additionally, biochemical attributes including dry matter (%), total soluble solids (%), acidity (%), total soluble sugar (%), glycoalkaloid (%), total phenol (%), protein (%) and anthocyanin (%) were meticulously recorded following standardized protocols at the Biochemistry Department, A.A.U., Anand. Subsequent statistical analyses were conducted utilizing the INDOSTATE software (IndoStat Inc., Hyderabad, India) within the Statistical Department at A.A.U., Anand.

2.3 Genotypic Diversity Analysis

CTAB protocol of Doyle and Doyle [11] was used to extract the genomic DNA. PCR reaction was performed using AFLP marker and the result was observed using 3% agarose gel electrophoresis at department of Plant Biotechnology, A.A.U., Anand.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Yield Performance

The brinjal variety Anand Doli originated from the segregating population resulting from the Doli 5 x GOB 1 cross. Morphological characteristics of Anand Doli, alongside kharif season controls, are outlined in Table 1. Anand Doli displayed a higher number of fruits per plant (71.0), typically ranging from 5 to 6 fruits in each cluster (Table 1). In comprehensive evaluations across PET, SSVT, and LSVT, Anand Doli demonstrated substantial fruit yield advantages, exhibiting 27.19, 38.82, 4.84, 7.31, 9.46, 40.74, and 33.54% higher fruit yield compared to controls GOB 1, GBL 1, GJB 2, GJB 3, GJLB 4, Doli 5, and Punjab Sadabahar, respectively (Table 2). Moreover, within the Anand region, Anand Doli exhibited notable fruit yield enhancements, with 44.70, 38.82, 17.72, 26.28, 40.74, and 40.20% higher fruit yield compared to controls GOB 1, GBL 1, GJB 2, GJLB 4, Doli 5, and Punjab Sadabahar, respectively (Table 3). Additionally, in six assessments conducted at Anand, Anand Doli consistently appeared in the top nonsignificant group on four tests. Fruit yield performance data revealed impressive results, with Anand Doli achieving 634.90 g/ha against GOB 1 in two tests, 589.12 g/ha against GBL 1 in one test, 555.86 g/ha against GJB 2 in five tests, and 503.17 q/ha against Doli 5 (LC) and Punjab Sadabahar (NC) in three tests. Anand Doli emerges as a high-yielding variety characterized by club-shaped clustered fruiting, making it particularly suitable for farmers cultivating brinjal for culinary purposes as well as ravaiya and undhiya preparations.

Sr. No.	Characters	Anand Doli	GJB 2 (C)	GJLB 4	Doli 5 (LC)	P. Sadabahar (NC)
1	Plant height (cm)	91.2 (65.0-101.0)	61.8 (57.4-72.0)	74.8 (69.0-90.0)	74.9 (62.4-81.5)	67.2 (56.8-76.3)
2	Branches per plant	3.2 (2.6-4.0)	3.3 (2.7-4.2)	2.7 (2.0-3.6)	2.9 (2.3-3.3)	2.8 (2.7-3.3)
3	Fruits per plant	71.0 (64.5-78.6)	21.5 (17.4-23.3)	21.6 (18.0-22.8)	53.5 (48.0-58.0)	29.2 (26.4-37.6)
4	Fruit length (cm)	16.1 (14.0-17.0)	15.2 (13.8-16.2)	16.3 (15.3-17.5)	14.7 (13.0-16.3)	24.8 (20.9-26.5)
5	Fruit girth (cm)	12.9 (12.0-13.6)	18.3 (13.5-19.4)	18.1 (12.5-19.0)	12.3 (10.5-13.3)	11.8 (10.4-12.7)
6	Fruit weight (g)	40.4 (30.3-65.0)	104.9 (84.3-128.6)	103.6 (86.9-121.7)	39.3 (25.0-57.3)	73.3 (67.8-76.2)
7	Days to first picking after transplanting	52 (50-64)	65 (58-68)	62 (54-65)	55 (48-66)	60 (58-64)
8	Leaf: Length (cm)	10.3 (9.0-11.2)	12.0 (11.0-13.2)	14.2 (12.6-17.5)	9.9 (8.8-11.8)	16.3 (14.9-18.2)
9	Leaf: Width (cm)	8.3 (7.0-9.5)	7.5 (6.1-8.8)	10.2 (9.5-10.9)	6.6 (5.9-7.3)	10.0 (9.2-11.2)
10	Fruit: Length of peduncle (cm)	4.5 (3.7-5.2)	4.7 (4.2-5.0)	4.3 (4.1-4.6)	3.9 (3.6-4.2)	6.2 (5.5-6.8)
11	Seeds per fruit	608 (582-718)	1449 (1290-1670)	1388 (1280-1572)	754 (680-840)	353 (328-412)
12	1000 seed weight (g)	3.4 (3.0-3.5)	5.4 (5.2-5.8)	4.6 (4.4-4.8)	3.5 (3.3-3.7)	3.8 (3.5-4.0)

Table 1. Ancillary observations of economic attribute of proposed entry along with checks

Table 2. Yield performance of Anand Doli in comparison with check varieties in Gujarat state

Trial/	Location	Fruit yield (q/ha)									CD at 5%	CV%
Year		Anand Doli		Checks								
			GAOB 2 (a)	GOB 1 (b)	GBL 1 (c)	GJB 2 (d)	GJB 3 (e)	GJLB 4 (f)	Doli 5 (g)	P. Sada (h)	_	
PET I 2013-14	Anand	589.12°	586.80	-	424.38	-	-	-	-		82.77	9.35
LSVT	Anand	414.86 ^{bd}	376.98	275.54	-	284.72	-	-	-	-	82.74	15.92

Trial/	Location				Fr	uit yield (q/ha	a)				CD at 5%	CV%
Year		Anand Doli				Che	ecks				_	
			GAOB 2 (a)	GOB 1	GBL 1 (c)	GJB 2 (d)	GJB 3 (e)	GJLB 4 (f)	Doli 5 (g)	P. Sada (h)	_	
				(b)					-			
2014-15	Junagadh	295.95	382.37	330.25	-	371.40	367.04		-	-	103.83	15.95
	Navsari	266.00 ^b	250.00	197.00	-	251.00	220.00	-	-	-	59.70	13.88
	Jagudan	268.52 ^{bde}	277.26	121.91	-	220.94	117.03	-	-	-	45.14	13.85
	Mean	311.33	321.65	231.18	-	282.02	234.69					
	% increase ov	/er^	-	34.67	-	10.39	17.95					
LSVT	Anand	854.93 ^b	937.75	601.98	-	903.93	-	-	-	-	97.05	6.82
long	Junagadh	228.01	283.18	271.09	-	313.79	307.61	-	-	-	67.04	12.73
2015-16	Navsari	287.90	269.60	259.10	-	286.00	243.00	-	-	-	52.50	10.81
	Jagudan*	186.78	233.51	164.07	-	260.70	152.88	-	-	-	52.78	15.62
	Mean	456.95	496.84	377.39	-	501.24	275.31					
	% increase ov	/er^	-	21.08	-	-	-					
LSVT	Anand	440.05 ^g	-	-	-	438.27	-	414.48	344.39	353.68	66.93	9.15
long	Junagadh	278.40 ^h	-	-	-	246.40	-	276.70	-	230.70	42.10	8.25
2016-17	Navsari	218.70	-	-	-	300.90	-	273.30	-	-	56.80	12.30
	Jagudan*	170.99 ^{fh}	-	-	-	207.69	-	145.76	-	147.60	20.14	6.55
	Mean	312.38	-	-	-	328.52	-	321.49	344.39	292.19		
	% increase ov	/er^	-	-	-	-	-	-	27.78	22.94		
LSVT	Anand	544.24 ^{dfgh}	-	-	-	361.37	-	385.55	360.08	366.51	73.13	10.24
long	Junagadh	365.23 ^h	-	-	-	374.23	-	375.26	-	249.49	79.26	12.25
2017-18	Navsari	234.60	-	-	-	255.80	-	260.60	-	231.20	39.70	9.30
	Jagudan+	218.90	-	-	-	330.42	-	236.84	-	-	85.98	24.47
	Mean	381.36	-	-	-	330.47	-	340.47	360.08	282.40		
	% increase ov	/er^	-	-	-	15.40	-	12.01	51.14	35.04		
LSVT	Anand	525.21 ^{dfgh}	-	-	-	372.69	-	395.32	368.06	356.48	79.27	11.13
long	Mean	525.21	-	-	-	372.69	-	395.32	368.06	356.48		
2018-19	% increase ov	/er^	-	-	-	40.92	-	32.86	42.70	47.33		
Mean of		400.66	420.49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Anand D	oli over GAOB	2										
in 8 tests	6											
Mean of		373.74	-	293.84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Anand D	Anand Doli over GOB 1											
in 7 tests	6											
Mean of		589.12	-	-	424.38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Anand D	oli over GBL 1											

Trial/ Location	Fruit yield (q/ha)									CD at 5%	CV%
Year	Anand Doli	Checks							_		
		GAOB 2 (a)	GOB 1 (b)	GBL 1 (c)	GJB 2 (d)	GJB 3 (e)	GJLB 4 (f)	Doli 5 (g)	P. Sada (h)	_	
in 1 tests											
Mean of	373.04	-	-	-	355.82	-	-	-	-	-	-
Anand Doli over GJB 2 i 14 tests	in										
Mean of	269.28	-	-	-	-	250.94	-	-	-	-	-
Anand Doli over GJB 3	3										
in 5 tests											
Mean of	372.35	-	-	-	-	-	340.17	-	-	-	-
Anand Doli over GJLB	4										
in 7tests											
Mean of	503.17	-	-	-	-	-	-	357.51	-	-	-
Anand Doli over Doli 5 i	n										
3 tests											
Mean of	397.96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	298.01	-	-
Anand Doli over											
P. Sada in 6 tests											
% Increase over check	۲^	-	27.19	38.82	4.84	7.31	9.46	40.74	33.54		-
Frequency in top non-	7/15	4/8	0/7	0/1	6/14	0/5	4/7	0/3	0/6	-	-
signi, groups											

Note: (1) a, b, c, d, e, f, g and h indicates the significant superior than respective check. ^=on the basis of correspondence mean

(2) * and + were not included in the mean due to below state average yield and high CV%, respectively

Table 3. Performance of Anand Doli for fruit yield (q/ha) under state varietal trials at Anand

Trial/Year	Fruit yield (q/ha)								CD at	CV%	
	Anand Doli	Checks									
		GAOB 2 (a)	GOB 1 (b)	GBL 1 (c)	GJB 2 (d)	GJLB 4 (e)	Doli 5 (f)	P. Sada (g)			
PETI	589.12°	586.80	-	424.38	-	-	-	-	82.77	9.35	
2013-14											
LSVT	414.86 ^{bd}	376.98	275.54	-	284.72	-	-	-	82.74	15.92	
2014-15											
LSVT	854.93 ^b	937.75	601.98	-	903.93	-	-	-	97.05	6.82	
2015-16											
LSVT (Long) 2016-17	440.05 ^{fg}	-	-	-	438.27	414.48	344.39	353.68	66.93	9.15	

Trial/Year				Fruit yield	(q/ha)				CD at	CV%	
	Anand Doli				Checks				5%		
		GAOB 2 (a)	GOB 1 (b)	GBL 1 (c)	GJB 2 (d)	GJLB 4 (e)	Doli 5 (f)	P. Sada (g)			
LSVT (Long) 2017-18	544.24 ^{defg}	-	-	-	361.37	385.55	360.08	366.51	73.13	10.24	
LSVT (Long) 2018-19	525.21 ^{defg}	-	-	-	372.69	395.32	368.06	356.48	79.27	11.13	
Mean over respective	check										
Mean of Anand Doli over GAOB 2 in 3 tests	619.64	633.84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mean of Anand Doli over GOB 1 in 2 tests	634.90	-	438.76	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mean of Anand Doli over GBL 1 in 1 tests	589.12	-	-	424.38		-	-	-	-	-	
Mean of Anand Doli over GJB 2 in 5 tests	555.86	-	-	-	472.20	-	-	-	-	-	
Mean of Anand Doli over GJLB 4 in 3 tests	503.17	-	-	-	-	398.45	-	-	-	-	
Mean of Anand Doli over Doli 5 in 3 tests	503.17	-	-	-	-	-	357.51	-	-	-	
Mean of Anand Doli over P. Sada in 3 tests	503.17	-	-	-	-	-	-	358.89	-	-	
% Increase over chec	k	-	44.70	38.82	17.72	26.28	40.74	40.20		-	
Frequency in top non-signi. groups	4/6	3/3	0/2	0/1	0/5	0/3	0/3	0/3	-	-	

Note:- a, b, c, d, e, f and g indicates the significantly superior than respective check

3.2 Morphological Characters

The fruit morphology of the Anand Doli variety exhibits a club-shaped structure, characterized by a large diameter of the pistil scar and a dark pink colouration of the skin upon commercial harvesting. Notably, the calyx displays strong creasing, and the fruiting pattern is clustered, as depicted in Table 4 and Figs. 1 and 2. The morphological diversity of Anand Doli is comprehensively outlined in Table 4, following the DUS guidelines. Furthermore, the National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources (NBPGR) has designated the variety with the National Identity number IC 630750.

3.3 Nutritional Quality

The variety contains higher Dry matter (%) (14.32), Acidity (%), (0.11), Glycoalkaloid (%), (0.005), Total phenol (%) (0.087), Protein (%) (0.82) as compared to the checks GJB 2, GJLB 4, Doli 5, and Punjab Sadabahar (Table 5). Higher dry matter content in brinjal offers such as enhanced advantages texture. prolonged shelf life, and improved taste and flavour. For instance, a study by Kumar et al. [12] demonstrated that brinjal varieties with higher dry matter content exhibited superior post-harvest losses. texture and reduced Additionally, increased acidity in brinial contributes to its characteristic tangy taste and is associated with better culinary quality. Research by Raju et al. [13] highlighted the positive correlation between acidity levels and sensory attributes like taste and overall acceptability in brinjal varieties. Moreover, a higher percentage of glycoalkaloids in brinjal confers resistance against pests and diseases. Studies by Singh et al. [14] have shown that brinjal varieties with elevated of glycoalkaloids exhibit enhanced levels resistance to insect pests such as shoot and fruit borers. Furthermore, a higher total phenol content in brinjal offers potential health benefits due to its antioxidant properties. Research conducted by Mishra et al. [15] demonstrated that brinjal varieties rich in phenolic compounds possess greater antioxidant activity, which may help in combating oxidative stress-related diseases. Lastly, an increased protein content in brinjal enhances its nutritional value. Studies by Yadav et al. [16] reported that brinjal varieties with higher protein content meetina contribute to dietarv protein requirements and support muscle growth and repair.

3.4 Biotic Stress Tolerance

Anand Doli exhibits reduced incidence rates of Little leaf disease (%) in contrast to the control varieties GJB 2, GJLB 4, Doli 5, and Punjab Sadabahar at Anand (Table 6) [17-20].

3.5 Molecular Characterisation

The DNA fingerprinting analysis utilizing the AFLP marker delineated distinct genetic profiles among the brinjal varieties, particularly highlighting the aenetic uniqueness of variety Anand Doli in comparison to its reference varieties, namely GOB 1, GBL 1, GJB 2, GJLB 4, Doli 5, and Punjab Sadabahar (Fig. 3).

Table 4. Morphophysiological observations of proposed entry	Anand Doli along with checks
(As per DUS Guidelines)	

Sr.	Characteristics	AB 13-03	GJB 2 (C)	GJLB 4 (C)	Doli 5 (LC)	P. Sada (NC)
1	Seedling: Anthocyanin colouration of hypocotyl	Absent	Absent	Present	Absent	Absent
2	Stem: Anthocyanin colouration	Absent	Absent	Present	Absent	Absent
3	Stem: Pubescence	Medium	Weak	Medium	Medium	Weak
4	Leaf: Length	Medium	Medium	Medium	Small	Medium
5	Leaf: Width	Small	Small	Medium	Small	Medium
6	Leaf: Margin	Dentate	Entire	Sinuate	Sinuate	Entire
7	Leaf: Blistering	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent
8	Leaf: Spininess	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent
9	Leaf: Blade colour	Green	Purple	Green	Green	Purple
10	Leaf: Intensity of colour of blade	Dark	Medium	Violet	Medium	Medium
		Green		Green	Green	
11	Leaf: Colour of vein	Dark	Purple	Light	Purple	Purple
		Purple		Purple		
12	Leaf: Intensity of colour of veins	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium

Sr.	Characteristics	AB 13-03	GJB 2 (C)	GJLB 4 (C)	Doli 5 (LC)	P. Sada (NC)
13	Inflorescence: Number of flowers	>3	>3	>3	>3	>3
14	Flower: Size	Medium	Medium	Small	Medium	Medium
15	Flower: Colour	Purple	Purple	Light purple	Purple	Purple
16	Fruit: General shape	Club Shaped	Ellipsoid	Ellipsoid	Club Shaped	Ellipsoid
17	Fruit: Diameter of pistil scar	Large	Small	Medium	Medium	Medium
18	Fruit: Shape of apex	Rounded	Rounded	Rounded	Rounded	Indented
19	Fruit: Colour of skin at commercial	Dark	Purple	Light	Pink	Purple
	harvesting	Pink		Purple		
20	Fruit: Intensity of purple colour of skin	Light	Light	Light	Light	Dark
21	Fruit: Stripes	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent
22	Fruit: Patches	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent
23	Fruit: Glossiness at harvest maturity	Strong	Medium	Strong	Medium	Medium
24	Fruit: Size of calyx	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium
25	Fruit: Colour of calyx	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green
26	Fruit: Intensity of colour of calyx	Strong	Medium	Strong	Strong	Medium
27	Fruit: Spininess of calyx	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent
28	Fruit: Ribs	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent
29	Fruit: Creasing of calyx	Strong	Weak	Weak	Weak	Weak
30	Fruit: Colour of flesh	Whitish	Whitish	Whitish	Whitish	Whitish
31	Fruit: Length of peduncle	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Long
32	Fruiting: Pattern	Cluster	Solitary	Solitary	Cluster	Solitary
33	Plant: Growth habit	Erect	Semi	Semi	Erect	Erect
			spreading	spreading		
34	Plant: Spread (distance between two extremes leaf tips at widest point	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium
35	Fruit: Colour of skin at physiological maturity	Brown	Brown	Brown	Brown	Brown
36	Time of physiological ripeness	Early	Medium	Early	Early	Medium

Table 5. Biochemical parameters of brinjal genotype Anand Doli along with checks recorded atAnand during 2018-19

Sr.	Characteristics	Anand Doli	GJB 2 (C)	GJLB 4 (C)	Doli 5 (LC)	P. Sada (NC)
1	Dry matter (%)	14.32	10.59	11.36	12.22	11.71
2	Total soluble solids (%)	5.07	5.17	5.17	4.87	4.93
3	Acidity (%)	0.11	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.06
4	Total soluble sugar (%)	3.16	3.86	3.35	3.16	4.39
5	Glycoalkaloid (%)	0.005	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.004
6	Total phenol (%)	0.087	0.055	0.055	0.071	0.046
7	Protein (%)	0.82	0.62	0.58	0.74	0.70
8	Anthocyanin (%)	0.122	0.068	0.150	0.107	0.149





Fig. 1. Fruits and its Cross section view of Anand Doli



Fig. 2. Plant with Fruits of Anand Doli variety

Table 6. Major biotic stress incidence in genotype Anand Doli along with checks under fieldcondition at Anand

Major disease	Year	Anand Doli	GJB 2 (C)	GJLB 4 (C)	Doli 5 (LC)	P. Sada (NC)
Little leaf	2016-17	2.17	2.00	4.17	1.43	3.45
disease reaction	2017-18	3.00	3.65	2.55	4.88	3.00
(%)	2018-19	1.00	4.50	5.10	6.00	5.40
	Range	1.00-3.00	2.00-4.50	2.55-5.10	1.43-6.00	3.00-5.40
Number of	2016-17	3.95	3.00	7.85	3.54	7.74
jassids/leaf	2017-18	3.78	2.68	5.12	2.27	4.21
	2018-19	2.54	3.43	2.51	5.01	5.71
	Range	2.54-3.95	2.68-3.43	2.51-7.85	2.27-5.01	4.21-7.74
Number of	2016-17	6.58	5.90	9.05	5.36	8.03
whiteflies/leaf	2017-18	3.36	4.12	4.16	4.34	4.88
	2018-19	3.29	4.62	4.95	4.43	6.80
	Range	3.29-6.58	4.12-5.90	4.16-9.05	4.34-5.36	4.88-8.03
Fruit damage by	2016-17	3.53	3.29	12.16	5.23	8.40
fruit and shoot	2017-18	3.74	3.41	9.45	3.46	4.78
borer (%)	2018-19	4.07	3.39	9.50	3.68	4.83
	Range	3.53-4.07	3.29-3.41	9.45-12.16	3.46-5.23	4.78-8.40



Fig. 3. DNA fingerprinting report of brinjal variety Anand Doli generated by AFLP marker system

4. CONCLUSION

Anand Doli, with a high fruit yield of 634.90 g/ha, demonstrated significant advantages over controls such as GOB 1, GBL 1, GJB 2, GJLB 4, Doli 5, and Punjab Sadabahar, displaying 27.19% to 40.74% higher yields in various evaluations. Within the Anand region, it showed even greater enhancements, ranging from 17.72% to 44.70% higher yields compared to the same controls. The fruit morphology is characterized by a club-shaped structure with large pistil scar diameter and dark pink skin colouration upon commercial harvesting. Notably, it exhibits strong creasing of the calyx and a clustered fruiting pattern. Moreover, Anand Doli has higher levels of Dry matter (14.32%), Acidity (0.11%), Glycoalkaloid (0.005%), Total phenol (0.087%), and Protein (0.82%) compared to control varieties. Additionally, it has lower incidence rates of Little leaf disease. These attributes, coupled with multifaceted consumer preferences and farmer priorities, position Anand Doli as a promising choice for cultivation.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Taher DT, Solberg SO, Prohens J, Chou YY, Rakha M, Wu TH. World vegetable center eggplant collection: origin, composition, seed dissemination and utilization in breeding. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2017;8:1484. Available:https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.0148 4
- Dunlop F. Revolutionary Chinese cookbook: Recipes from hunan province. Ebury Press; 2006.
- Sato Y, Itagaki S, Kurokawa T, Ogura J, Kobayashi M, Hirano T, Sugawara M, Iseki K. *In vitro* and *in vivo* antioxidant properties of chlorogenic acid and caffeic acid. International Journal of Pharmaceutics. 2011;403:136-138.
- 4. Kalloo G. Vegetable Breeding. CRC Press. 1988;3.
- 5. FAO. FAOSTAT. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy; 2020.

Available: http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#home

- NHB. National Horticulture Board. Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare Government of India; 2023. Available:https://www.nhb.gov.in/StatisticsView er.aspx?enc=MWoUJibk35dW2g36TUJWAoZq ESmAYFi7h2irlsmjIINTcFI1rG/kLbq8ZQbWUvu M
- 7. Anonymous. Director of Horticulture, Government of Gujarat; 2023. Available:https://doh.gujarat.gov.in/Images/dire ctorofhorticulture/pdf/statistics/apy_2023.pdf
- Kumar G, Meena BL, Kar R, Tiwari SK, Gangopadhyay KK, Bisht IS, Mahajan RK. Morphological diversity in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) germplasm accessions. Plant Genetic Resources. 2008;6(3):232-236.
- Pujer PB. Morphological, molecular characterization and genetic analysis for growth, yield and quality traits of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) (Doctoral dissertation, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot); 2018.
- Tirkey M, Saravana S, Pushpa Lata. Studies on variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and its attributes in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Journal of Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2018;7(9):1543-1552.
- 11. Doyle JJ, Doyle JL. Isolation of plant DNA from fresh tissue. Focus. 1990;12:13–15.
- Kumar A, Singh R, Yadav HK. Influence of dry matter content on the postharvest quality of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018; 7(1):1055-1058.
- Raju CVS, Gajbhiye PN, Reddy KRN, Chinnamuthu CR, Rao PS, Kumar MV. Studies on variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and quality traits in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2020;9(7):98-102.
- Singh R, Singh AK, Singh VP. Evaluation of brinjal genotypes for resistance against shoot and fruit borer under field condition. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2019;8(12):77-79.
- Mishra S, Sharma A, Verma P. Study of antioxidant activity of different brinjal varieties. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2017;9(45):3306-3310.
- Yadav SK, Kumar A, Singh VK. Evaluation of genetic variability and character association in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2016; 5(6):220-222.
- 17. Roy, Bidhan, Vikash Kumar, Subba Rao LV. Uttar Lakshmi: A Medium Duration High Yield Potential Rice (*Oryza Sativa* L.) Variety. Journal of Experimental Agriculture International. 2020;42(9):174-83.

Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2020/v42i 930598.

- Akter Md., Babul Md., Tariqul Islam, Md. Monjurul Alam Mondal, Md. Imdadul Hoque, Md. Kamruzzaman, Mahmudul Hassan Nafis, Afia Sultana, and Md. Shamsher Ali. Morpho-Physiological Basis of Yield Performance of Early Maturing Rice Varieties in Bangladesh. Annual Research & Review in Biology. 2019;32 (5):1-13. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/arrb/2019/v32i 530097.
- Kiær LP, Skovgaard IM, Østergård H. Grain yield increase in cereal variety mixtures: A meta-analysis of field trials. Field Crops Research. 2009;114(3):361-73.
- Yao F, Huang J, Cui K, Nie L, Xiang J, Liu X, Wu W, Chen M, Peng S. Agronomic performance of high-yielding rice variety grown under alternate wetting and drying irrigation. Field crops research. 2012; 126:16-22.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/119677