

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 35, Issue 24, Page 123-130, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.111365 ISSN: 2320-7035

Influence of Integrated Nitrogen Management on Growth and Yield of Beet Root (*Beta vulgaris* L.) Var. Crimson Globe

V. K. Baria ^{a*}, H. H. Sitapara ^a, A. S. Thounaojam ^b and P. B. Goswami ^a

^a Department of Horticulture, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand-388 110, Gujarat, India. ^b Medicinal and Aromatic Plants Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Anand – 388 110, Gujarat, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i244304

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/111365

Original Research Article

Received: 20/10/2023 Accepted: 24/12/2023 Published: 27/12/2023

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at Horticultural Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand with a view to study the Influence of integrated nitrogen management on growth and yield of beet root (Beta vulgaris L.) var. Crimson Globe during rabi, year 2020-21. The experiment was arranged in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications which includes 10 treatments. The results revealed that significantly maximum plant height of 16.71, 35.20 and 35.25 cm at 25, 50 DAS and at harvest, respectively observed in T3 (60 kg N/ha + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + 5 t/ha Castor cake). Similarly, the same

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: viralbaria1998@gmail.com;

Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 24, pp. 123-130, 2023

treatment T3 was registered maximum number of leaves per plant at 25 (6.50), 50 (10.68) DAS and at harvest 14.30. The maximum fresh weight of leaves (224.45 g), dry weight of leaves (13.35 g), as well as leaf area (260.42 cm2) was also recorded with an application of 60 kg N/ha + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + 5 t/ha Castor cake. The yield attributing parameters viz. root length (16.23 cm), root diameter (7.85 cm), fresh weight of root (198.05 g), dry weight of root (18.75 g), root to shoot ratio (1.42), yield (21.91 kg/plot and 28.08 t/ha) and harvest index (55.68 %) was recorded in treatment T4 [60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5 ml/kg seed)].

Keywords: Organic fertilizers; crop growth; nitrogen management; inorganic fertilizers; soil fertility; organic manure.

1. INTRODUCTION

Beetroot (Beta vulgaris L.), commonly known as garden beet or table beet, stands out as a prominent root vegetable within the Chenopodiaceae family, sharing its botanical affiliation with spinach, palak, swiss chard, parsley, and celery. This versatile vegetable, characterized by its chromosome number of 2n=18. Its culinary appeal extends beyond the roots, encompassing nutrient-rich leaves suitable for consumption as a leafy green [1] Widely cultivated across diverse climates, beetroot has earned popularity for its sweet and earthy flavor, making it a sought-after ingredient in salads, soups, and juices. Beyond the kitchen, beetroot's historical significance includes traditional uses for medicinal purposes, such as supporting liver health and enhancing endurance [2]. Additionally, its adaptability to various soil conditions underscores its resilience as a globally cultivated vegetable. The captivating red hue of beetroot has transcended its culinary role, finding application in natural dyes for fabrics, adding a sustainable dimension to its versatile profile. Beetroot is a genuine energy booster for athletes due to its high levels of nitrates and sugar, making it one of the most effective real foods for enhancing performance, Yadav et al., [3]. Beet root originated in Western Europe and North Africa where they were grown to feed both by humans and livestock [4]. This crop is categorized as a biennial but is typically cultivated as a cool-season annual. It is mostly grown in northern and southern parts of India and all states of India but in small scale only. This versatile crop yields green tops and a swollen root, serving dual purposes as both a nutritious vegetable and a salad ingredient. Notably high in productivity, it generally thrives without succumbing to common pests and diseases [5]. Beetroot is a cool-weather crop that thrives in India's winter with temperatures between 18.3 to 21.1°C, producing high-quality,

red roots rich in sugar. Below 10°C, plants may wilt prematurely. In warmer conditions, beetroot can exhibit a zoning pattern with alternating white and colored circles when sliced.

Adequate nitrogen fertilizer promotes beetroot growth, yield, and quality [6]. Nitrogen is essential for plant growth and forms proteins, enzymes, and vitamins. However, excessive fertilizer use can lead to nitrogen overload in crops, particularly in vegetables, a primary source of dietary nitrates. Nitrate accumulation in beetroot poses health risks, as it can convert to nitrite when consumed, potentially causing methemoglobinemia [7].

The integrated nutrient management (INM) system combines inorganic and organic fertilizers to improve soil fertility and enhance crop production. This balanced approach enriches sandy loam soil with carbon and essential nutrients, increasing crop yields while maintaining soil fertility. Using organic fertilizers reduces reliance on chemical ones, benefiting both the environment and crop production. INM aims to optimize natural and man-made nutrient sources for efficient and eco-friendly agriculture, ensuring soil health for future generations.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Present investigation on "Influence of integrated nitrogen management on growth and yield of beet root (Beta vulgaris L.) var. Crimson Globe" was carried out during rabi season of the year at Horticultural Research 2020-21 Farm, Department of Horticulture. B. A. College of Agriculture, A. A. U., Anand. The experiment was conducted in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications, including following 10 treatments. The treatment were T_1 : 60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Vermicompost, T₂: 60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Castor cake; T₃: 60 kg N/ha + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + 5 t/ha Castor cake; T₄: 60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5

t/ha Vermicompost + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed): T₅: 60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Castor cake Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK + consortium (5ml/kg seed); T₆: 60 kg N/ha + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + 5 t/ha Castor cake + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed); T₇: 30 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed); T8: 30 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Castor cake + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed); T₉: 30 kg N/ha + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + 5 t/ha Castor cake + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed); T₁₀: 60 kg N/ha + 25 t/ha FYM (control).

The variety under study is Crimson Globe. Seeds were sown at a spacing of $30 \text{ cm} \times 10 \text{ cm}$, and thinning was carried out ten days after sowing to ensure proper spacing. Five plants per treatment plot were observed and recorded for growth and yield characteristics. The collected data for all studied characteristics were statistically analyzed using the Randomized Block Design (RBD) method as per [8] guidelines.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Growth and Yield Parameters

Growth parameter *viz.*, plant height and number of leaves at 25, 50 DAS and at harvest were found significant differences in the present investigation.

The maximum plant height (16.71, 35.20 and 35.25 cm) and no. of leaves (6.50, 10.68 and 14.30) at 25, 50 DAS and at harvest, respectively recorded in T_3 [(60 kg N/ha + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + 5 t/ha Castor cake)] and it was at par with T₄ (60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5 ml/kg seed) and T₂ (60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Castor cake) . The lowest plant height and no. of leaves was recorded in T₁₀ (60 kg N/ha + 25 t/ha FYM) under control.

The significantly maximum fresh weight of leaves (224.45 g), dry weight of leaves (13.35 g) and leaf area (144.98 cm²) was observed in treatment T_3 (60 kg N/ha + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + 5 t/ha Castor cake) while lower were observed in T_{10} (60 kg N/ha + 25 t/ha FYM) *i. e.* control.

The notable increase in plant height can be attributed to the supplementation of major and minor nutrients via various levels of organic manures. This enhancement boosted photosynthetic activity, chlorophyll formation, nitrogen metabolism, and auxin content in the plants, leading to improved plant height, increased leaf count, and overall robust vegetative growth. These findings align with similar results reported by Mbithi et al. [9] in beetroot, Jagadeesh et al. [10], and Jabeen et al. [11] in spinach beet.

The maximum fresh weight of leaves at harvesting stage it might be due to the more luxurious vegetative growth of this treatment as compared to the rest of treatments [12]. It was due to application of FYM and Vermicompost may enhance the nitrogen content in the plant which leads to the increase in the chlorophyll content of leaf and ultimately increases the fresh weight of leaves. The obtained results align closely with findings reported by Singh et al. [13] and Kirad et al. [14] in their studies on carrots. Increasing the rate of nitrogen fertilizer affected leaf dry mass because nitrogen stimulates plant vegetative growth and increases leaf area. As a result increased in leaf area enhance the rate of plant photosynthesis and thus increases dry matter production.

3.2 Yield Parameters

Root length (cm), root diameter (cm), fresh weight of root (g), dry weight of root (g), root to shoot ratio, yield (kg/plot), yield (t/ha) and harvest index (%) were significantly influenced by various treatments in the present experiment.

The maximum root length (16.23 cm), root diameter (7.85 cm), root to shoot ratio (1.42) , harvest index (55.68 %) was observed in T₄ (60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5 ml/kg seed)) and it was at par with T₇ (30 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5 ml/kg seed) and T₁ (60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Vermicompost) at harvest. The lowest was recorded in control (13.47 cm).

Significantly maximum fresh root weight (198.05 g) and dry weight of root (18.75 g) was recorded in treatment T₄ *i.e.* 60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + Seed treatment of

Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5 ml/kg seed). The minimum fresh root weight (114.49 g) and

dry root weight (10.42 g) was recorded with T_{10} *i.e.* 60 kg N/ha + 25 t/ha FYM (control).

Table 1. Influence of integrated nitrogen management on plant height and number of leaves of beet root var. Crimson Globe

Treatment		Plant height (cm)			Number of leaves per plant		
		At 25 DAS	At 50 DAS	At harve st	At 25 DAS	At 50 DAS	At harvest
T ₁	60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Vermicompost	14.48	29.45	30.90	5.31	7.82	10.79
T ₂	60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Castor cake	16.02	33.89	34.10	6.19	10.35	13.84
T ₃	60 kg N/ha + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + 5 t/ha Castor cake	16.71	35.20	35.25	6.50	10.68	14.30
T 4	60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed)	16.68	34.20	34.64	6.24	10.44	14.15
T ₅	60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Castor cake + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed)	15.40	32.55	32.98	5.77	8.62	11.81
T ₆	60 kg N/ha + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + 5 t/ha Castor cake + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed)	14.67	29.48	31.19	5.59	7.95	10.95
T ₇	30 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed)	15.24	32.32	32.88	5.76	8.38	11.38
T ₈	30 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Castor cake + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed)	14.80	31.68	31.89	5.67	8.29	11.29
T ₉	30 kg N/ha + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + 5 t/ha Castor cake + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed)	15.45	32.51	33.10	5.82	8.90	12.22
T ₁₀	60 kg N/ha + 25 t/ha FYM (control)	13.91	28.27	29.79	5.27	7.49	10.65
	S. Em. <u>+</u>	0.44	0.85	0.75	0.22	0.61	0.72
	C.D. (P=0.05)	1.25	2.42	2.14	0.65	1.75	2.06
	C.V. (%)	4.97	4.61	3.98	6.80	11.97	10.32

Table 2. Influence of integrated nitrogen management on fresh weight of leaves, dry weight of leaves and leaf area of beet root var. Crimson Globe

Treatment		Fresh weight of leaves (with pe tiole) (g)	Dry weight of leaves (g)	Leaf area per plant (cm ²)	
T ₁	60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Vermicompost	117.20	9.85	155.35	
T ₂	60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Castor cake	148.44	12.44	239.22	
T₃	60 kg N/ha + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + 5 t/ha Castor cake	224.45	13.35	260.42	
T4	60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed)	198.88	12.49	247.48	

Treatment		Fresh weight of leaves (with pe tiole) (g)	Dry weight of leaves (g)	Leaf area per plant (cm ²)	
T ₅	60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Castor cake + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed)	147.84	11.63	210.59	
T ₆	60 kg N/ha + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + 5 t/ha Castor cake + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed)	125.16	10.59	156.38	
T ₇	30 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed)	135.67	11.41	181.43	
T ₈	30 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Castor cake + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed)	131.81	10.86	170.92	
T9	30 kg N/ha + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + 5 t/ha Castor cake + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed)	147.94	11.67	219.95	
T ₁₀	60 kg N/ha + 25 t/ha FYM (control)	103.39	9.78	144.98	
S. Em		6.33	0.51	3.59	
C.D. (P=0.05)	18.02	1.46	10.22	
C.V. (%)	7.40	7.80	3.12	

The maximum root yield per plot (21.91 kg) and per hectare (28.08 t/ha) as influenced by various treatments was significantly recorded in T₄ with the application of 60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5 ml/kg seed) The lowest root yield was recorded in T₁₀ *i.e.* 60 kg N/ha + 25 t/ha FYM.

Enhanced plant growth and improved yield parameters may be due to increased photosynthate translocation from leaves to roots, resulting in longer roots. Organic nutrient sources likely reduced soil bulk density and increased soil porosity and water-holding capacity, promoting larger root diameter. These findings align with Vithwel and Kanaujia [15]. There was a positive correlation between plant height, leaf dry mass and total yield of beet root. There fore the maximum root to shoot ratio was observed in treatment with combination of FYM and Vermicompost. Similar findings have also been reported by Indikumari et al. [16]. The higher marketable root yield may be due to increased humus accumulation, which mobilized reserve food materials to the sink through enzyme activity. This was supported by a high C:N ratio and improved nutrient availability in a readily usable form. Nitrogen, a crucial component in chlorophyll, proteins, and amino acids, sees increased synthesis with higher soil nitrogen supply. This leads to improved nutrient availability and a balanced C:N ratio, promoting greater carbohydrate production and ultimately higher yields, as observed by Jose *et al.* in [17]. Better carbon assimilation and increased carbohydrate accumulation in the plants also played a role in this process.

Combining chemical fertilizers with organic manures improves overall crop growth by delivery. synchronizing nutrient This synchronized supply enhances the availability of essential resources for both vegetative and reproductive structures, ultimately leading to an increased harvest index. Shah et al. [18] also reported the highest harvest index when Urea and farmyard manure (FYM) were used together. Applying organic manures to soil enhances its physical condition by improving particle aggregation, Samandasingh et al. [19]. These aggregates impact soil fertility, water retention, gas diffusion, and root growth, benefiting overall plant development

	tment	Root length (cm)	Root diameter (cm)	Fresh weight of root (g)	Dry weight of root (g)
T ₁	60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Vermicompost	15.74	7.42	169.06	16.93
T ₂	60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Castor cake	14.52	6.95	146.05	12.19
T ₃	60 kg N/ha + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + 5 t/ha Castor cake	14.38	6.93	135.58	11.14
T ₄	60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed)	16.23	7.85	198.05	18.75
T ₅	60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Castor cake + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed)	14.74	7.06	158.63	14.59
T ₆	60 kg N/ha + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + 5 t/ha Castor cake + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed)	14.56	7.02	146.11	12.74
T ₇	30 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + Seed treatmentof Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed)	15.78	7.55	180.99	17.00
T ₈	30 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Castor cake + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed)	15.06	7.31	163.17	16.69
T9	30 kg N/ha + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + 5 t/ha Castor cake + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed)	13.93	6.50	127.65	10.95
T ₁₀	60 kg N/ha + 25 t/ha FYM (control)	13.49	6.04	114.49	10.42
S. E		0.39	0.17	4.19	0.35
C.D. (P=0.05)		1.12	0.49	11.94	1.02
C.V.	(%)	4.59	4.22	4.71	4.38

Table 3. Influence of integrated nitrogen management on root length, root diameter, fresh and
dry weight of beet root var. Crimson Globe

Table 4. Influence of integrated nitrogen management on root to shoot ratio, yield (kg/plot),yield (t/ha) and harvest index of beet root var. Crimson Globe

Treatment		Root to Shoot ratio	Yield (kg/plot)	Yield (t/ha)	Harvest index (%)
T ₁	60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Vermicompost	1.34	19.75	25.32	54.18
T ₂	60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Castor cake	1.14	18.26	23.41	50.89
T₃	60 kg N/ha + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + 5 t/ha Castor cake	1.09	17.64	22.61	49.72
T ₄	60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg	1.42	21.91	28.08	55.68

Baria et al.; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 24, pp. 123-130, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.111365

Treatment		Root to Shoot ratio	Yield (kg/plot)	Yield (t/ha)	Harvest index (%)
	seed)				
T₅	60 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Castor cake + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed)	1.18	18.40	23.58	52.02
T ₆	60 kg N/ha + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + 5 t/ha Castor cake + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed)	1.16	18.31	23.47	51.12
T 7	30 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed)	1.35	19.81	25.39	54.49
T ₈	30 kg N/ha + 12.5 t/ha FYM + 5 t/ha Castor cake + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed)	1.27	18.66	23.92	52.59
Т9	30 kg N/ha + 5 t/ha Vermicompost + 5 t/ha Castor cake + Seed treatment of Anubhav Bio NPK consortium (5ml/kg seed)	0.97	16.90	21.66	44.74
T ₁₀	60 kg N/ha + 25 t/ha FYM (control)	0.86	15.06	19.30	38.93
S. En	n. <u>+</u>	0.03	0.71	0.91	0.97
C.D.	(P=0.05)	0.09	2.02	2.58	2.76
C.V. ((%)	4.64	6.66	6.64	3.33

4. CONCLUSIONS

The current study concludes that the most effective treatment for maximizing beetroot growth and root yield is the combined application of 60 kg of nitrogen, 12.5 tons of farmyard manure (FYM), and 5 tons of vermicompost per hectare, along with seed treatment using Anubhav Bio NPK consortium at a rate of 5 ml/kg of seed. This combination resulted in the highest beetroot growth and root yield.

CONFERENCE DISCLAIMER

Some part of this manuscript was previously presented and published in the conference: 6th International Conference On Strategies and Challenges in Agricultural and Life Science for Food Security and Sustainable Enviro nment (SCALFE-2023) dated from April 28-30, 2023 in Himachal Pradesh University, Summer Hill, Shimla, India, Web Link of the proceeding: https://www.shobhituniversity.ac.in/pdf/Souvenir-Abstract%20Book-Shimla-HPU-SCALFE-2023.pdf

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Szopinska AA, Gaweda M. Comparison of yield and quality of red beet roots cultivated using conventional, integrated and organic method. Journal of Horticulture Research. 2013;21(1):107-114.
- 2. Kumar A, Sreeramulu KR, Kushala G. Effect of microbial inoculants on the quality of beetroot (*Beta vulgaris* L). International Journal of Plant Protection. 2015;8(2): 364-367.
- 3. Yadav M, Chawla H, Parle M, Sharma K. Beetroot: A health promoting functional food. Inventi Rapid: Nutraceuticals. 2016;1:1-5.
- 4. Patel KR, Patel MM. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield, quality and economics of fodder sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris*) Varieties. International

Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science. 2019;8(01):77-82.

- 5. Ado PO. Beetroot cultivation. Beet root and Eggplant Newsletter. 1999;18:21 24.
- 6. Das D, Dwivedi BS, Meena MC, Singh VK, Tiwari KN. Integrated nutrient management for improving soil health and crop productivity. Indian Journal of Fertilizers. 2015;11(4):64–83.
- Hemmat A, Vahid A, Farshad D, Abdolali, S. Effect of different levels of nitrogen fertilizer on yield, nitrate accumulation and several quantitative attributes of five Iranian spinach accessions. American Journal of Agricultural and Economic Science. 2010;8(4):468-473.
- Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statisstical methods of agricultural workers. Indian council of agricultural research, New Delhi; 1967.
- Mbithi MA, Mwanarusi S, Mwangi M. Effect of different rates of vermicompost on growth and yield of beetroot (*Beta vulgaris* L.). *Egerton Journal of Science and Technology*. 2015; 15: 30-43.
- Jagadeesh C, Madhavi M, Siva-Prasad M, Padmaja VV. Effect of organic manures on growth and yield attributes of beetroot cv. Crimson Globe. Intern. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci. 2018;7(11):3538-3553.
- 11. Jabeen A, Narayan S, Hussain K, Khan FA, Ahmad A, Mir SA. Organic production of spinach beet (*Beta vulgaris* var. bengalensis) through the use of manures and biofertilizers. Journal of Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2017;6(6):10-13.
- 12. Ajay KJ, Rangaswamy E, Shivabasu K, Sreeramulu KR. Effect of Microbial

Inoculants on the Nutrient Uptake and Yield of Beetroot (*Beta vulgaris* L.). *Current Agriculture Research Journal*. 2014; 2(2): 123-130.

- Singh DP, Kumar S, Sutanu M, Vijay PK. Studies on integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of carrot (*Daucus carota* L.). International Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 2017;51(8):2187-2188.
- 14. Kirad KS, Barch S, Singh DB.Integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of carrot. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science. 2010; 23(3): 542 -543.
- 15. Vithwel, Kanaujia SP. Integrated nutrient management on productivity of carrot and fertility of soil. SAARC Journal of Agriculture. 2013;11(2):173-181.
- Indikumari D, Haripriya K, Rajeswari R. Effect of organic nutrients and biostimulants on yield characters of beetroot (*Beta vulgaris* L.) Plant Archives. 2016;16(1):399-402.
- Jose D, Shanmugavelu KG, Thamburaj S. Studies on the efficiency of organic vs inorganic form of nitrogen in brinjal. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 1988; 45:100-103.
- Shah STH, Zamir MS, Waseem M, Ali A, Tahir M, Khalid W. Growth and yield response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) to organic and inorganic sources of Nitrogen. Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Science. 2009;7(2):108-111.
- 19. Samandasingh, Virendrakumar, Singh, Y. Organic manures the only curve for better crop and soil health. Farmer and Parliament. 1988;23:29-30.

© 2023 Baria et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/111365