



Effect of Water Stress and Paclobutrazole on Proline and Total Antioxidant Activity in Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.)

J. J. Ghadiali ^{a++*}, S. J. Macwan ^{a#}, Y. M. Shukla ^{bt}
and K. B. Chaudhary ^{a‡}

^a Department of Plant Physiology, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, 388110, Gujarat, India.

^b B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, 388110, Gujarat, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. This study is the part of Ph.D. thesis research work of author JJG. The work was carried out in collaboration of first three authors JJG, SJM, YMS and fourth author KBC contributed during research analysis and manuscript preparation. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i123717

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/107604>

Original Research Article

Received: 12/08/2023

Accepted: 17/10/2023

Published: 22/12/2023

ABSTRACT

The present investigation entitled "Effect of Water Stress and Paclobutrazole on Proline and Total Antioxidant Activity in Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.)" was carried out during during the summer seasons of the year 2021 and 2022. Twelve treatments comprising of all possible combinations of

⁺⁺ Ph.D. (Agri.) Plant Physiology;

[#] Assistant Professor and Head;

[†] Principal and Dean;

[‡] Ph.D. Scholar;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: jatinghadiali@gmail.com;

three irrigation levels for water stress and four paclobutrazole (PBZ) levels were tested in a split plot design with three replications. Total antioxidant activity and proline content showed significantly higher with irrigation at 20 days interval. Whereas, minimum was recorded with irrigation at 10 days interval in pooled results. The significantly higher total antioxidant activity and proline content was recorded with application of PBZ @ 300 mg/ L during both the years and in pooled analysis, respectively, whereas a minimum was noted with control (No spray of PBZ).

Keywords: Total Anti-oxidant activity; proline; paclobutrazol; water stress; groundnut.

1. INTRODUCTION

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.), belongs to the legume family (Fabaceae). It's an annual herbaceous plant with prostrate growth, producing yellow, pea-like flowers that self-pollinate. Groundnut is a vital crop for its protein-rich seeds and oil content, cultivated worldwide. The groundnut seed is called kernel which is used in confectionary nut flour production, protein and peanut milk [1]. Groundnut is the major oilseed crop in India and it plays a significant role in bridging the vegetable oil deficit within the country.

Abiotic stress such as drought, soil salinity and extreme temperatures adversely affect the productivity and quality of groundnut. Drought stress is one of the major limitations to crop productivity. Gujarat possesses an arid to semi-arid climate, and frequently suffers from drought due to failure of monsoon and occurrence of heat waves. The trend for the last 35 years shows that drought occurs almost every year, and leads to severe water-scarcity in many parts of Gujarat [2].

Plants are subjected to various abiotic stresses resulted in production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as superoxide (O_2^-), hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), hydroxyl radicals (OH^\cdot) and singlet oxygen (1O_2) that can disturb plant cells homeostasis. To avoid this, plant adapts self defense system through accumulation of osmolytes and activation of antioxidative cascade [3]. The ability of different plants to tolerate environmental stress may be significantly influenced by the modification of the activity of these enzymes. To improve the tolerance ability of plants during stress conditions, application of paclobutrazole (PBZ) was widely reported.. The most prominent and likely hypothesis on increasing plant production and stress tolerance induced by PBZ has been attributed to it sustaining the endogenous cytokinin concentration, maintaining water status, improving nutrient uptake and carbohydrate

synthesis, improving chlorophyll biosynthesis, and promoting antioxidant capacity [4]. Therefore, the purpose of the current research was to assess the defensive interplaying roles of the PBZ application on groundnut under water stress conditions.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Experimental Site

The present research work entitled "Amelioration of water stress and effect of paclobutrazole on morpho-physiology, growth and yield in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.)" was carried out at Department of Plant Physiology, BACA and an experiment conducted at Regional Research Station, AAU, Anand during summer 2021 and 2022.

2.2 Details of Treatments and Statistical Design

Twelve treatments comprising of all possible combinations of three irrigation levels for water stress and four paclobutrazole levels were tested in a split plot design with three replications. Irrigation levels for water stress treatments were assigned into the main plots and paclobutrazole levels were allotted in sub plot as sub plot treatments. The treatments consisted of three irrigation levels for water stress viz., I₁: Irrigations at 10 days interval (10 irrigation); I₂: Irrigation at 15 days interval (8 irrigation) and I₃: Irrigation at 20 days interval (6 irrigation) and four levels of paclobutrazole (PBZ) viz., T₁: Control (No application of PBZ); T₂: PBZ @ 100 mg/L; T₃: PBZ @ 200 mg/L and T₄: PBZ @ 300 mg/L at 35 and 55 days after sowing (DAS). Twelve treatment combinations were evaluated in the present study viz., I₁T₁: Irrigation at 10 days interval + Control (No PBZ), I₁T₂: Irrigation at 10 days interval + PBZ @ 100 mg/L, I₁T₃: Irrigation at 10 days interval + PBZ @ 300 mg/L, I₁T₄: Irrigation at 10 days interval + PBZ @ 300 mg/L, I₂T₁: Irrigation at 15 days interval + Control (No PBZ), I₂T₂: Irrigation at 15 days interval + PBZ

@ 100 mg/L, I₂T₃: Irrigation at 15 days interval + PBZ @ 200 mg/L, I₂T₄: Irrigation at 15 days interval + PBZ @ 300 mg/L, I₃T₁: Irrigation at 20 days interval + Control (No PBZ), I₃T₂: Irrigation at 20 days interval + PBZ @ 100 mg/L, I₃T₃: Irrigation at 20 days interval + PBZ @ 200 mg/L, I₃T₄: Irrigation at 20 days interval + PBZ @ 300 mg/L. Paclobutrazole application was done through foliar spraying at 35 and 55 days after sowing.

2.3 Determination of the Total Antioxidant Activity

Antioxidant activity was measured using ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) method as described by Arnao et al. [5].

2.3.1 Sample extraction

For the preparation of sample 0.1 g of sample was taken in 10 ml centrifuge tubes containing 10 ml of 60% methanol at room temperature followed by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm and 10°C for 15 min. The supernatant was used directly for FRAP assay. (The principle of this method is based on the reduction of a ferric tripyridyl triazine complex to its ferrous, colored form in the presence of antioxidants).

2.3.2 Methodology

The FRAP reagent contained 2.5 ml of a 10 mM TPTZ (2,4,6- tripyridyls triazine, Sigma) solution in 40 mM HCL plus 2.5 ml of 20 mM FeCl₂·6H₂O and 25 ml of 0.3 M acetate buffer, pH 3.6 and was prepared freshly and warmed at 37 °C. Aliquots of 1 ml sample were mixed with 3.0 mL FRAP reagent and the absorbance of reaction mixture at 593 nm was measured using spectrophotometer after incubation at 37 °C for 10 minutes. Ferrous sulphate was used as the standard in the range of 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8 and 1.0 ml.

2.4 Determination of the Proline Content of Leaf (65 DAS)

2.4.1 Sample extraction

Fresh leaf samples (0.5 g) were collected, ground well in a mortar using pestle and extracted in 10 ml of three per cent sulphosalicylic acid. The extract was filtered through Whatman No. 1 filter paper and the filtrate was used for proline estimation.

An aliquot of 2.0 ml from each sample was taken in separate test tubes and to each test tube, 2.0

ml of acid ninhydrin reagent and 2.0 ml of glacial acetic acid were added and boiled on hot water bath for an hour. Then the test tubes were transferred to ice water bath for cooling and the contents of each test tube were transferred to a separating funnel. To this, 4.0 ml of toluene was added, shaken thoroughly and allowed to form two separate layers. The upper toluene layer containing the colour complex due to proline-ninhydrin interaction was taken into a separate test tube and the colour was read in ultra-spec double beam spectrophotometer at 520 nm [6].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The observations recorded were analyzed statistically and presented and discussed under the following heads:

3.1 Effect of Water Stress on Total Antioxidant Activity and Proline Content of Leaf

3.1.1 Effect of water stress on total antioxidant activity

The results regarding total antioxidant activity at 65 DAS (2.30, 2.32 and 2.31%) showed that significantly higher with irrigation at 20 days interval (I₃) during 2021, 2022 and in pooled results (Table 1), respectively. Whereas, minimum total ant-oxidant activity at 65 DAS (0.94, 0.97 and 0.95%) was recorded with irrigation at 10 days interval (I₁) in pooled results, respectively.

Data clearly indicated that the significantly higher total antioxidant activity at harvest (2.16, 2.07 and 2.12%) was recorded with irrigation at 20 days interval (I₃) during the year 2021, 2022 and in pooled data, respectively which was found statistically at par with I₂ in both the years. While, minimum total antioxidant activity at harvest (0.76, 0.87 and 0.81%) was observed with irrigation at 10 days interval (I₁) during both the years and in pooled mean, respectively.

Water stress can lead to reduced photosynthetic activity in leaves, resulting in the accumulation of excess energy in the chloroplasts. This excess energy can lead to the generation of ROS through a process known as photooxidative stress. To counteract this, plants increase their antioxidant activity to scavenge the excess ROS and protect the photosynthetic machinery. The activation of both enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants helps the plant cope with the

oxidative stress and maintain cellular integrity and function. Chakraborty et al. [7] observed that in 25-30 days water deficit stress conditions during peg as well as pod development stages antioxidant enzymes activity of superoxide dismutase, peroxidase, catalase, ascorbate peroxidase and glutathione reductase activity was increased in peanut. Sunitha et al. [8] also observed higher antioxidant activity as water stress increases.

3.1.2 Effect of Water Stress on Proline Content of Leaf

The significantly (Table 2) higher proline content of leaf at 65 DAS (243.83, 242.45 and 243.14 µg/g fr. wt) was recorded with irrigation at 20 days interval (I₃) in the years 2021, 2022 and pooled data, respectively. The treatment irrigation at 10 days interval (I₁) resulted minimum proline content of leaf at 65 DAS (176.69, 172.18 and 174.44 µg/g FW) during both the years 2021, 2022 and pooled data, respectively. Madhusudhan and Sudhakar [9] reported similar results reveal a significant increase in the proline content the leaves groundnut under water-stressed conditions. Shinde et al. [3] examined results of thirty days grown seedlings of groundnut which were subjected to water stress by withholding an irrigation for 15 days recorded similar trends of increase in proline concentration as stress increases. Nautiyal et al. [10] observed increase

in proline concentration in the treatments in which water cut was 50 percent compared to the fully irrigated treatments. Solanki and Sarangi [11] investigated changes in free proline in the leaves of drought susceptible (JL-24) and drought tolerant (K-1375) varieties of peanut plants exposed to different durations of drought stress. They observed that the increase in the proline content under increasing drought stress (21 days) was found to be more than 2 folds in the tolerant variety when compared with susceptible variety. These results are in conformity with the results Davari et al. [12], Solichatun et al. [13], Yooyongwech et al. [14], Sunitha et al. [8,] Rady and Maybelle [15], Mohamed et al. [16] and Azevedo neto et al. [2].

When a plant experiences water stress, the availability of water in the soil decreases, leading to reduced water uptake by the roots. As a result, the plant cells lose water, causing cellular dehydration and increased osmotic stress. To counteract this, plants accumulate compatible solutes like proline, which helps maintain cellular turgor pressure and prevents water loss from the cells. Proline is one of the most important compatible osmolytes in water deficit stressed plants. Proline may act as a non-toxic osmolyte in the cytoplasm and sustains the composition of macromolecules and organelles. Its accumulation supports to maintain turgor and stimulates continued growth under water stress. Proline acts as a scavenger of ROS, neutralizing

Table 1. Effect of water stress and paclobutrazole (PBZ) on total antioxidant activity in groundnut during 2021, 2022 and pooled analysis

| Treatments | Total antioxidant activity (%) | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------|--------|------------|------|--------|
| | 65 days after sowing (DAS) | | | At harvest | | |
| | 2021 | 2022 | Pooled | 2021 | 2022 | Pooled |
| I ₁ Irrigation at 10 days interval (10 irrigation) | 0.94 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.76 | 0.87 | 0.81 |
| I ₂ Irrigation at 15 days interval (8 irrigation) | 1.58 | 1.69 | 1.64 | 1.41 | 1.46 | 1.43 |
| I ₃ Irrigation at 20 days interval (6 irrigation) | 2.30 | 2.32 | 2.31 | 2.16 | 2.07 | 2.12 |
| S.Em. ± | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.06 |
| C.V.% | 6.37 | 7.66 | 7.07 | 6.51 | 5.80 | 6.16 |
| T ₁ CONTROL(No PBZ) | 1.21 | 1.34 | 1.28 | 1.04 | 1.12 | 1.08 |
| T ₂ PBZ @ 100 mg/ L | 1.55 | 1.54 | 1.55 | 1.39 | 1.42 | 1.41 |
| T ₃ PBZ @ 200 mg/ L | 1.72 | 1.82 | 1.77 | 1.55 | 1.59 | 1.57 |
| T ₄ PBZ @ 300 mg/ L | 1.94 | 1.93 | 1.93 | 1.79 | 1.73 | 1.76 |
| S.Em. ± | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.05 |
| Interaction | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| C.V.% | 4.46 | 6.65 | 5.70 | 5.88 | 5.01 | 5.46 |

Table 2. Effect of water stress and paclobutrazole (PBZ) on proline content of leaf in groundnut during 2021, 2022 and pooled analysis

| Treatments | | Proline content of leaf ($\mu\text{g/g}$ FW) at 65 days after sowing (DAS) | | |
|----------------|--|---|--------|--------|
| | | 2021 | 2022 | Pooled |
| I ₁ | Irrigation at 10 days interval (10 irrigation) | 176.69 | 172.18 | 174.44 |
| I ₂ | Irrigation at 15 days interval (8 irrigation) | 189.79 | 187.41 | 188.60 |
| I ₃ | Irrigation at 20 days interval (6 irrigation) | 243.83 | 242.45 | 243.14 |
| S.Em. \pm | | 1.75 | 1.41 | 1.12 |
| C.D. at 5% | | 6.87 | 5.53 | 3.66 |
| C.V.% | | 2.98 | 2.43 | 2.72 |
| T ₁ | CONTROL (No application of PBZ) | 191.65 | 189.39 | 190.52 |
| T ₂ | PBZ @ 100 mg/ L | 203.17 | 200.06 | 201.62 |
| T ₃ | PBZ @ 200 mg/ L | 207.58 | 204.02 | 205.80 |
| T ₄ | PBZ @ 300 mg/ L | 211.35 | 209.25 | 210.30 |
| S.Em. \pm | | 1.22 | 0.89 | 0.75 |
| C.D. at 5% | | 3.63 | 2.63 | 2.16 |
| Interaction | | NS | NS | NS |
| C.V.% | | 1.80 | 1.32 | 1.58 |

them and protecting the cellular structures from oxidative damage. In water stress, as protein folding can be disrupted due to dehydration, the increased levels of proline help maintain protein stability and function.

3.2 Effect of Paclobutrazole on Total Antioxidant Activity and Proline Content of Leaf

3.2.1 Effect of paclobutrazole on total antioxidant activity

Total antioxidant activity at 65 DAS (Table 1) was recorded significantly higher (1.94, 1.93 and 1.93%) with application of PBZ @ 300 mg/l (T₄) during 2021, 2022 as well as in pooled results, respectively. It was at par with T₃ in the year 2022. While, minimum total antioxidant activity at 65 DAS (1.21, 1.34 and 1.28 %) were recorded with control (T₁) during 2021, 2022 and in pooled results, respectively.

Significantly higher total antioxidant activity at harvest (1.79, 1.73 and 1.76%) was recorded with application of PBZ @ 300 mg/L (T₄) during the year 2021, 2022 and in pooled mean, respectively. While, minimum total anti-oxidant activity at harvest (1.04, 1.12 and 1.08%) was noted in control (T₁) during both the years and in pooled mean, respectively.

Paclobutrazole can lead to the accumulation of reactive oxygen species in plant cells. ROS are highly reactive molecules generated as by-products of various metabolic processes,

including those affected by paclobutrazol. The accumulation of ROS can trigger oxidative stress, leading to the activation of antioxidant defence mechanisms. In response to increased ROS levels caused by PBZ, plants often induce the synthesis and activation of antioxidant enzymes. Chen et al. [17] reported that the application of paclobutrazol increased the antioxidant enzyme activity in groundnut plants. PBZ affects hormone levels in plants, including abscisic acid (ABA). ABA is involved in the regulation of stress-responsive genes, including those related to antioxidant synthesis. PBZ-induced changes in ABA levels may influence the expression of antioxidant genes and impact the total antioxidant activity of plants. Similar results were reported by Sofy et al. [18].

3.2.2 Effect of paclobutrazol on proline content of leaf

The results presented in Table 2 indicated that the significantly higher proline content of leaf at 65 DAS (191.65, 189.39 and 190.52 $\mu\text{g/g}$ FW) was recorded with application of PBZ @ 300 mg/L (T₄) during both the years and in pooled analysis, respectively. Whereas, minimum proline content of leaf at 65 DAS (211.35, 209.25 and 210.30 $\mu\text{g/g}$ FW) was noted with control (T₁) during the years 2021, 2022 and pooled results, respectively.

Paclobutrazole application has been found to increase the accumulation of proline. This effect is often associated with the plant's response to stress conditions, especially water stress. PBZ-

induced water stress can trigger the synthesis of proline as a protective mechanism to counteract cellular dehydration and maintain osmotic balance. Similar results were reported by Davari et al. [12], Solichatun et al. [13], Rady and Maybelle [15] and Mohamed et al. [16].

3.3 Interaction Effect of Water Stress and Paclobutrazole on Total Antioxidant activity and Proline Content of Leaf

Interaction effect of water stress and paclobutrazole levels was found non-significant with respect to total antioxidant activity at 65 DAS as well as at harvest during individual year as well as pooled basis. However, contrasting results were reported by many researchers regarding positive interaction of applications of PBZ during water stress conditions. Mohamed et al. [16] observed that in 50 mg/ L paclobutrazole-treated tomato plants grown under 60% field capacity, proline was recorded 1.52-fold compared to control. Similarly, increase in antioxidant enzymes also recorded by them that helps to minimize the negative impacts of water stress. Davari et al. [12] pointed out that application of PBZ under drought enhances the proline content as well as photosynthesis pigments. Chen et al. [17] also reported similar results in groundnut and they concluded that PBZ helped the plants to cope with water stress [19].

4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our study unequivocally demonstrates a significant increase in both proline content and total antioxidant activity under water stress conditions, highlighting the adaptive responses of the studied system to mitigate the adverse effects of water stress conditions.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Woodroof JG. Peanut production and processing products, AV1 publication, West Port, USA. 1966.
2. Azevedo Neto AD, Nogueira RJMC, Melo Filho PA, Santos RC. Physiological and biochemical responses of peanut

- genotypes to water deficit, Journal of Plant Interactions. 2010;5(1):1-10.
3. Shinde SS, Kachare DP, Satbhai RD, Naik RM. Water stress induced proline accumulation and antioxidative enzymes in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). Legume Research-An International Journal. 2018;41(1):67-72.
4. Kamran M, Ahmad S, Ahmad I, Hussain I, Meng X, Zhang X, & Han Q. Paclobutrazol application favors yield improvement of maize under semiarid regions by delaying leaf senescence and regulating photosynthetic capacity and antioxidant system during grain-filling stage. Agronomy. 2020;10(2):187.
5. Arnao MB, Cano A, Acosta M. The hydrophilic and lipophilic contribution to total antioxidant activity. Food chemistry. 2001;73, 239-244.
6. Bates, R., Waldren, R. P., & Teare I. D. (1973). A rapid determination of free proline for water stress studies. *Plant and Soil*, 39, 205-207.
7. Chakraborty K, Singh AL, Kalariya KA, Goswami N. Physiological responses of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) cultivars to water deficit stress: status of oxidative stress and antioxidant enzyme activities. Acta Botanica Croatica. 2015;74(1):123-142.
8. Sunitha V, Vanaja M, Sowmya P, Razak SA, Kumar GV, Anitha Y, Lakshmi NJ. Variability in response of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) genotypes to moisture stress and stress release. International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management. 2015;6(2):240-249.
9. Madhusudhan KV, Sudhakar C. Differential responses of growth, antioxidant enzymes and osmolytes in the leaves of two groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) cultivars subjected to water stress. *Journal of Stress Physiology & Biochemistry*. 2023;19(3):110-124.
10. Nautiyal PC, Kulkarni G, Singh AL, Basu MS. Evaluation of water-deficit stress tolerance in Bambara groundnut land races for cultivation in sub-tropical environments in India. Indian Journal of Plant Physiology. 2017;22:190-196.
11. Solanki JK, Sarangi SK. Effect of drought stress on proline accumulation in peanut genotypes, International Journal of Advanced Research. 2014;2(10):301-309.

12. Davari K, Rokhzadi A, Mohammadi K, & Pasari, B. Paclobutrazol and amino acid-based biostimulant as beneficial compounds in alleviating the drought stress effects on Safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.). Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. 2021;1-17.
13. Solichatun S, Khasanah FU, Pitoyo A, Etikawati N, Mudyantini W. Exogenous application of paclobutrazol promotes water-deficit tolerance in pepper (*Capsicum annuum*). Cell Biology and Development. 2021;5(1):1-6.
14. Yooyongwech S, Samphumphuang T, Tisarum R, Theerawitaya C, Cha-Um S. Water-deficit tolerance in sweet potato [*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam.] by foliar application of paclobutrazol: role of soluble sugar and free proline. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2017;8:1400.
15. Rady MM, Maybelle SG. Improving barley yield grown under water stress conditions. Research Journal of Recent Sciences. 2012;1(16): 2277-2250.
16. Mohamed GF, Agamy RA, Rady MM. Ameliorative effects of some antioxidants on water-stressed tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) plants. Journal of Applied Sciences Research. 2011;7(12):2470-2478.
17. Chen D, Shao Q, Yin L, Younis A, Zheng B. Polyamine function in plants:metabolism, regulation on development, and roles in abiotic stress responses. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2019;9:1945.
18. Sofy MR, Elhindi KM, Farouk S, Alotaibi MA. Zinc and paclobutrazol mediated regulation of growth, upregulating antioxidant aptitude and plant productivity of pea plants under salinity. Plants. 2020;9(9):1-15.
19. Bandyopadhyay N, Bhuiyan C, Saha AK. Drought mitigation: Critical analysis and proposal for a new drought policy with special reference to Gujarat (India). Progress in Disaster Science. 2020;5:1-13.

© 2023 Ghadiali et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/107604>*