

Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences

Volume 6, Issue 4, Page 441-448, 2023; Article no.AJRAVS.108619

Risk Factors Associated with Prevalence of Brucellosis and Bacteria in Fermented Cow Milk Obtained from Kajiado Central Sub-County in Kenya

Kagira J. M. ^{a*}, Hussein A. ^a, Kiptanui A. ^a, Lkurasian L. ^a, Kiarie J. ^b and Cheruiyot K. ^a

^a Department of Animal Sciences, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, P.O. Box- 62000-00200, Nairobi, Kenya. ^b Department of Medical Microbiology, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, P.O. Box-62000-00200, Nairobi, Kenya.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/108619

Original Research Article

Received: 02/09/2023 Accepted: 09/11/2023 Published: 16/11/2023

ABSTRACT

Cattle rearing is the most important activities practiced by Maasai pastoralists in Kajiado Central Sub-County, Kenya. However, its role has been hampered by occurrence of Brucellosis and other pathogenic conditions of important public health concern. The present study assessed the prevalence and risk factors of brucellosis, and presence of pathogenic bacteria in fermented cow milk obtained from respondents in Kajiado Central Sub-County. The study design was a cross-sectional where 114 fermented milk samples were sampled. From each household, one fermented milk sample was obtained and assessed for brucellosis using milk ring test. The milk was transported to the laboratory where presence of bacteria was determined using standard

Asian J. Res. Animal Vet. Sci., vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 441-448, 2023

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: jkagira@jkuat.ac.ke, jkagira@gmail.com;

bacteriological methods. Questionnaires were administered at household level to determine the risk factors associated with occurrence of brucellosis. The overall prevalence of brucellosis in the fermented cattle milk was 7.89%. Herding of cattle with other livestock and use of communal bulls in breeding had a close association (p≤0.05) with the prevalence of brucellosis. There were 7 bacterial species isolated from the milk and these included *Streptococcus* spp. (43.86%), *Lactobacillus* spp. (40.35%), *Klebsiella* spp. (15.79%), Coagulase Negative *Staphylococcus* (14.91%) *Pseudomonas* spp. (14.04%), *Staphylococcus aureus* (11.4%), *Salmonella typhi* (7.89%) and *Escherichia coli* (4.39%). The mean coliform count of the fermented milk ranged from 1.61×10¹ to 5.84×10¹ CFU/MI. In conclusion, the study showed that brucellosis is prevalent in the study area. There is a need to create awareness of occurrence of brucellosis and other pathogenic bacteria in fermented milk by addressing the observed risk factors.

Keywords Brucella spp.; cows; fermented milk; pathogenic bacteria; Kajiado; Kenya.

1. INTRODUCTION

Brucellosis is ranked as a top priority zoonotic disease due to the socio-economic burden and amenability to control [1,2[. However, as it is common with other neglected zoonotic diseases, establishing the true morbidity and socioeconomic impact of the disease is a challenge because of misdiagnosis and underreporting [1]. Studies in Kenya indicate the disease is endemic in humans and livestock although this varies with geographical region and livestock production system [2,3]. In domestic animals, the prevalence from pastoral and agro-pastoral herd range from 9.9-15% [4]. Identifying potential risk factors of brucellosis among the most vulnerable populations; primarily rural livestock keeping communities is important in defining control and prevention strategies [3]. In the pastoralist counties where the disease is endemic, there are limited scientific studies to determine the actual prevalence of brucellosis.

On the other hand, the indestion of viable pathogenic bacteria along with food products such as milk leads to food borne infection [5]. The bacteria previously isolated from milk of domestic animals amongst the pastoralists include Salmonella spp., Mycobacterium bovis, Corynebacterium spp., Clostridium perfringens, Yersinia enterocolitica, Coxiella burnetii, Brucella spp., Staphylococcus spp., Campylobacter jejuni, Pseudomonas spp., Shigella spp. and Escherichia coli [6-8]. These microorganisms are usually associated with food borne diseases and outbreaks (9). The occurrence of these pathogenic bacteria in milk is a serious public health concern especially where unpasteurized raw milk is drunk [10]. A recent study in Garissa County (Kenya) showed that prevalence of brucellosis in camel milk was 8% while other concurrent bacteria in the milk were

Pseudomonas spp. (32.2%), *Salmonella* spp. (30.5%), *Staphylococcus* spp. (21.2%), *Escherichia coli* (8.5%) and *Shigella* spp. (7.6%) [6].

In Kajiado County (Kenya), the local Maasai pastoralists depends on cow's milk as a major source of proteins and other nutrients, where some drinks it raw while others ferment it using traditional gourds to improve the nutritive value [11]. Where fermented milk is prepared from raw milk, there are possibilities that it will still be containing pathogenic bacteria which were present in the raw milk [12]. The local veterinary and medical officers indicate that risk factors for brucellosis are common (unpublished data) but they have not been well documented. The current study aimed at determining the prevalence, risk factors of brucellosis, and other pathogenic bacteria in fermented cow milk from Kajiado Central Sub-County.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area

The study was undertaken in Kajiado Central Sub-County (Kenya) which is a semi-arid area, having an average annual temperature of 18.9°C [13]. This area receives about 500 mm of rainfall annually, most of it falling in April [13]. The Sub-County human population is 161,862 and has area of 4,239.50km² with number of households being 37,238 [13]. The study was carried out in different wards in the study, and these included: Purko, Ildamat, Dalalekutuk and Matapato North wards. The latest census shows that the number of cattle in Kajiado Central Sub County was 95,534 [13].

2.2 Study Design and Sampling Strategy

The design of the current study was crosssectional. The sample size was estimated using the formula by described previously [14]. Tin the formula, the estimated brucellosis prevalence was 8.0% based on a previous study in a pastoralist area in Kenya [15]. Based on the formula [14], a minimum of 113 respondents were to be sampled. In the current study, 114 households were randomly selected from different wards in the sub-county. The 114 households were obtained from a sampling frame that was developed with the help of animal health officers in charge of the wards.

2.3 Questionnaire Survey

The questionnaires, which were translated to the local *Swahili* language, were administered in to respondents at farm level to gather information on biodata, livestock species kept, occurrence of livestock abortion, retained placenta in the livestock, consumption of unpasteurized milk, breeding system, herd size, herding system, and farm management activities.

2.4 Milk Sample Collection and Determination of Prevalence of Brucellosis in Fermented Cattle Milk

A total of 114 traditional fermented (*Kule naoto* in local language) milk samples (15 ml) were collected into sterile universal bottles, labeled, and then put in a cool box and transported to the JKUAT Microbiology laboratory where it was kept at temperature of 4°C.

The milk ring test was carried out for detection of brucellosis [16]. Briefly, the test was conducted by addition of 30 µl of antigen to 2 ml of fermented cow milk. The milk/antigen mixture was incubated for an hour at 37°C, and a control sample was also subjected to similar conditions. A positive reaction was indicated by the formation of a dark blue ring above the white milk column. The test was considered negative if the underlvina milk remained color of homogeneously dispersed in the milk column [17].

2.5 Determination of Other Bacterial Microorganisms Present in Cow Milk

Each milk sample was cultured in six different agars (namely Mannitol Salt Agar (Hi media Ltd), Eosin Methylene Blue (Hi media Ltd), Salmonella Shigella Agar (Hi media Ltd), Nutrient Agar (Hi media Ltd), Pseudomonas Isolation Agar (Hi media Ltd), Man Raogosa Sharpe Agar (Hi media Ltd), Blood Agar (Hi media Ltd), Mac Conkey Agar (Hi media Ltd) and Nutrient Agar (Hi Media Ltd)) as described previously [18]. Cultures were then put into an incubator for 24-48 hours at 37°C. Bacterial identification of isolated bacteria was done based on colony morphological features and gram staining reactions on pure cultures and biochemical tests such as indole, catalase, and oxidase tests.

2.6 Deermination of Coliforms in Fermented Cattle Milk

The microbiological quality of fermented milk product was further assessed by spread plate method [19]. The Violet Red Bile Glucose Agar detect used to and enumerate was milk [19]. Enterobacteriaceae in fermented Briefly, 1 ml of the serial dilution was transferred to petri dishes using two plates for each dilution. The dishes were inverted and incubated for 24 hours at 37°C for coliform growth. The colony forming units (CFU) were then enumerated.

2.7 Data Analysis and Management

The collected data was coded and entered in MS Excel (MS Excel, 2016, Microsoft, USA). They were analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (IBM SPSS Statistics 26.0, Microsoft, USA) to generate descriptive statistics (means, percentages, frequencies). The Chisquare test and ANOVA were used to determine the relationship between prevalence of pathogenic bacteria and various risk factors. A significance level of 0.05 was used for all statistical tests.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Characteristics and Knowledge of Brucellosis amongst Respondents

The characteristics of the sampled farmers are shown in Table 1. Most (68%) of the respondents were women and 40% of these respondents had not attained formal education. The majority (81%) of respondents kept a combination of cattle and other livestock species. These animals were reared in an extensive system of production. Over half (50%) of the respondents were not aware of the occurrence of brucellosis within the community. In terms of risks to transmission of brucellosis, 50% of the respondents consumed fermented milk which was prepared from raw milk. Farmers processed the milk through boiling (30%), while others

(34%) undertook a combination of both boiling and fermentation. For the fermentation of milk. the respondents used the traditional gourd (Enkunkuri) which was smoked using traditional herbs, such as Lantana ukambensis and Olea europaea for fermentation and storage of the fermented milk.

A large number (70%) of respondents had experienced cases of retained placenta amongst their livestock. The placenta was either thrown away to the bush (30%), buried (14%) or given to the doas (70%) for consumption. The respondents associated brucellosis in their herds as causing infertility (18%), abortions (30%), retained afterbirth (40%) and still births (26%).

3.2 Prevalence of Brucellosis

Out of the 114 cattle fermented milk examined, 9 (7.89%) samples were positive for brucellosis (Table 2). For the 9 positive cattle milk samples, 3 (10.0%) originated from Purko Ward, 1 (9.6%) from Dalalekutuk Ward and 5 (8.6%) from Matapato North Ward (Table 2).

The prevalence of brucellosis was the highest (14%) in milk from cows from farms where the communal bull was used for breeding. Lower prevalence (3.12%) was found in milk from cattle where individual bull was used. The prevalence of brucellosis was higher (8.5%) in milk from cows where the herd size was above 100 than those below 100 (4.16%). Herd size was found to (P≥0.05) be insignificant contributor of prevalence of brucellosis. The prevalence of brucellosis was found to be higher (p<0.05) in milk from cows where cattle were herded with other livestock species (8.7%) as compared to those of not herded with other livestock (4.5%).

3.3 Bacteria Isolated from Fermented **Cattle Milk**

There were 7 bacterial species isolated namely Streptococcus spp. (43.86%), Lactobacillus spp. (40.35%), Klebsiella spp. (15.79%), Coagulase Negative Staphylococcus (14.91%)Pseudomonas spp. (14.04%), Staphylococcus aureus (11.4%), Salmonella typhi (7.89%) and Escherichia coli (4.39%) (Table 3).

Table 1. Characteristics and knowledge of occurrence of brucellosis amongst respondentsfrom Kajiado Central Sub County (Kenya)

Attributes	Category	Frequency (N)	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	46	40
	Female	68	60
Level of education	Never gone to school	40	35
	Primary	30	26
	Secondary	33	27
	Tertiary	11	12
Method of processing	Boiling	30	26
fresh milk	Fermentation of raw milk	50	50
	Boiling, Fermentation	34	28
Knowledge on brucellosis	Yes	64	56
	No	50	44
Cases of retained	Yes	80	70
afterbirth in cattle	No	34	30
Disposal of placenta	Throw away in the bush	30	26
	Bury	14	12
	Give to dogs	70	62
Effects of brucellosis in	Infertility	18	16
the animals	storm abortions	30	26
	Retained afterbirth	40	35
	Stillbirths	26	23

Table 2. Prevalence of brucellosis as categorized by wards

Ward	Total sampled	No. Positive	Prevalence (%)
Purko	30	3	10.0
Illdamat	15	0	0.0
Dalalekutuk	11	1	9.6
Matapato North	58	5	8.6
Total	114	9	7.9

Isolate	Number of positive samples	Frequency (%)
Streptococcus spp.	50	43.86
Lactobacillus spp.	46	40.35
Klebsiella spp.	18	15.79
Coagulase Negative Staphylococcus	17	14.91
Pseudomonas spp.	16	14.04
Staphylococcus aureus	13	11.40
Salmonella typhi	9	7.89
Escherichia coli	5	4.39

Table 3. Proportion of bacteria isolated from fermented cattle milk (n = 114)

Table 4. Total coliform count isolated from locally collected fermented milk as categorized from each Ward

Location (Ward)	Number of Samples	Mean TCC (Cfu/ml)
Purko	30	5.84×10 ¹
Ildamat	15	1.61×10 ¹
Dalalekutuk	11	3.55×10 ¹
Matapato North	58	2.61×10 ¹

TCC: Total Coliform Count; Cfu/ml: Coliform Forming Unit by milliliter

3.4 Total Coliform Count Isolated of Locally Collected Fermented Milk

The total coliform counts are shown in Table 4. The mean coliform count ranged from 1.61×10^1 to 5.84×10^1 CFU/MI. Milk from Purko ward had higher (5.84×10^1) coliform counts than those from the other wards.

4. DISCUSSION

The current study evaluated the prevalence of brucellosis and other pathogenic bacteria isolated from fermented cattle milk consumed by households in Kajiado Central Sub-County. The study showed the prevalence of brucellosis was 7.9% and varied according to the wards of origin. This rate is lower than those found previously by Ndarathi & Waghela [4] in Kenya. They showed that seroprevalence of brucellosis in cattle from pastoral and agro-pastoral herd to range from 9.9-15%. Using the milk ring test, a study done by Chota et al. [20] in West Pokot Kenya, reported the cattle milk to have a prevalence of between 21.9% and 21.2%. Other studies have reported lower values of brucellosis prevalence in other parts of Kenya with different climatic conditions [21]. The present study demonstrated considerable levels of exposure to Brucella spp. in lactating herds, a finding that shows the public health risk posed by the disease in the study areas. A recent study in camels in Kenya showed the prevalence of brucellosis of 8% [5]. The occurrence of brucellosis is influenced by various factors including tests used in diagnosis, livestock production systems, and variation of risk factors in different countries. In this study, the farmers reported that infertility, abortion, and reduced milk production was common amongst their cattle. These clinical signs have been associated with brucellosis in pastoral systems [22-24].

In this study, the prevalence of brucellosis was found to be higher in farms using community bull than those using individual bull. Previous studies have shown that brucellosis was more prevalent on farms where communal bulls were used for breeding [25,26]. Bull exchange for breeding and introduction of new animals to the herd are major risk factors for occurrence of brucellosis [27,28]. When used for breeding, an infected bull can spread the disease to other cows. The current study also showed that milk from households herding cattle and other livestock species had more brucellosis cases than those keeping cattle only. It is possible that herding of these animals together increases the chance of cross-species transmission of Brucella organisms [29].

In the present study, *Streptococcus* spp., *Lactobacillus* spp., *Klebsiella* spp., Coagulase Negative Staphylococcus, *Pseudomonas* spp., *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella typhi* and *Escherichia coli* were isolated from the traditional fermented Maasai milk. Apart from *Lactobacillus* spp., which is a commensal in milk and facilitates the process of fermentation, the rest of the bacteria could be pathogenic. A similar range of pathogenic bacteria were observed in studies carried out in Egypt [30] and Sudan [31] and the

authors attributed the presence of these bacteria to absence of hygienic conditions during milking and cleaning of utensils. The prevalence of *Streptococcus* spp. in this study was close to that reported by Mathaara et al., [32] (25%) in the same study area in Kenya. However, the prevalence of *Lactobacillus* spp. here was lower than that reported by the investigators in the same study (56.1%) [32].

The findings of this study indicate that the fermented milk harbored more coagulase negative Staphylococci as compared to Staphylococcus aureus. The occurrence of Staphylococcus spp. was high compared to those reported by others [33] in indigenous fermented milk from cattle in other parts of Kenya. Indigenous fermented milk often has high bacterial load due to low hygiene and sanitation practices during handling and processing [34.35]. Other studies have reported the occurrence of low hygiene practices in African milk value chains [36,37]. The presence of Staphylococcus spp. in milk could also have originated from the use of milk from animals having clinical or subclinical mastitis. In Kajiado County, the prevalence of cattle mastitis is quite high and caused by a wide spectrum of pathogens including Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus agalactae, E. coli, Klebsiella spp., Pseudomonas spp. [38,39]. Thus, it is possible that these bacteria could be found in fermented milk, if the latter is not well handled and processed.

In previous studies, the occurrence of E. coli in with milk has been associated fecal contamination and this often leads to milk spoilage. In many African countries, indigenous fermented milk is processed, transported and stored in reusable containers made from locally available materials such as wood fiber, clay pots containers [40]. and plastic According to Mathaara et al. [32], amongst the Maasais, sources of contaminations in kulenaoto traditional milk include starter cultures, the cow udder and the utensils used in preparation.

In our study, the mean coliform count ranged from 1.61×10^1 to 5.84×10^1 CFU/MI which is close to that reported by Cissé et al. [41]. From this study, the overall mean of the total coliforms was below the reference point of 100 cell/ml which could be the effect of fermentation process where the level of lactic acid bacteria increases the acidity of the milk that makes growth conditions of microorganisms other than lactic acid bacteria increasingly unfavorable.

5. CONCLUSION

The present study showed that brucellosis was present in some of the fermented milk consumed by the respondents in the study area. This raises a possibility of transmission of brucellosis to humans through milk consumption. Further, the fermented milk which is prepared from raw milk, harbored pathogenic bacteria such as Klebsiella Streptococcus spp., spp., Staphylococcus spp., Pseudomonas spp., S. typhi and E. coli. Public health education on milk hygiene and occurrences of milk-borne diseases should be conducted in the study area with an aim of improving hygiene, milk preparation and modern breeding of cattle. Future studies on prevalence of brucellosis in humans in the study area should be done to determine the endemicity of the disease in the locality.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study was financially supported by the Grand Challenges Africa program (GCA/AMR/rnd2/079). The authors would like to appreciate the respondent farmers, Sub-County animal health officers and the technologists at Microbiology Medical of Jomo Kenvatta Agriculture University of and Technology involved in the study.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Munyua P, Bitek A, Osoro E, Pieracci EG, Muema J, Mwatondo A, Thumbi SM Prioritization of zoonotic diseases in Kenya. Plos One. 2015;11(8), 2016; e0161576.
- McDermott J, Grace D, Zinsstag J. Economics of brucellosis impact and control in low-income countries, Revue scientific queet technique (International Office of Epizootics. 2013;32(1):249-261.
- Arimi SM, Koroti E, Kang'ethe EK, Omore AO, McDermott JJ. Risk of infection with Brucella abortus and Escherichia coli O157: H7 associated with marketing of unpasteurized milk in Kenya. Acta Tropica. 2005;96(1):1-8.
- 4. Ndarathi CM Waghela S. Brucellosis in Maasai livestock in Kajiado district in

Kenya. Indian J. Anim. Sci. 1991;62:156-163.

- 5. Aneja RP. Technology of Indian milk products. Dairy India Yearbook; 2002.
- Noor M, Rotich V, Kiarie JW, Cheruiyot K, Kagira JM. Prevalence, risk factors associated with brucellosis and presence of pathogenic bacteria isolated from camel milk in Garissa County, Kenya. South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology. 2020;6(4):42–52.
- 7. Fadaei, A. Bacteriological quality of raw cow milk in Shahrekord, Iran. Veterinary World. 2014;7(4):240-243.
- Olatunji EA, Ahmed I, Ijah UJ. Evaluation of microbial qualities of skimmed milk (nono) in Nasarawa State, Nigeria. In Proceeding of the 14th Annual Conf. of Ani. Sc. Asso. of Nig. (ASAN) LAUTECH O gbomoso; 2009.
- Bouazza F, Hassikou R, Ohmani F, Hmmamouchi J, Ennadir J, Qasmaoui A, Khedid K. Hygienic quality of raw milk at Sardi breed of sheep in Morocco. African Journal of Microbiology Research. 2012;6(11):2768-2772.
- Claeys WL, Cardoen S, Daube G, De Block J, Dewettinck K, Dierick K, Herman L. Raw or heated cow milk consumption: Review of risks and benefits. Food Control, 2013;31(1):251-262.
- 11. Onyango CA, Gakuya LW, Matooke FM, Maina JM, Nyaberi MO, Makobe M, Mwaura F. Preservative effect of various indigenous plants on fermented milk from Maasai community of Kajiado County. Journal of Applied Biosciences. 2014;73:5935-5941.
- 12. Granet HM, Wetlesen A, Mutukumira AN, Rukure G, Narvhus JA. Occurrence of pathogenic bacteria in raw milk, cultured pasteurised milk and naturally soured milk produced at small-scale dairies in Zimbabwe. Food Control. 2009;14(8):539-544.
- 13. KNBS. Kenya population and housing census results. Kenya National Bureau of Statistics; 2019.
- Martin JA. Structural equation modeling: A guide for the perplexed. Child Development. 1987;33-37
- 15. Megersa B, Biffa D, Abunna F, Regassa A, Godfroid Skjerve Sero J, Ε. epidemiological study of livestock brucellosis in pastoral region. а Epidemiology & Infection. 2012;140 (5):887-896.

- Noriello S. Laboratory –Acquired brucellosis. Emerging infectious Diseases. 2004;10:1848-1850.
- Al-Mariri A, Ramadan L, Akel, R. Assessment of milk ring test and some serological tests in the detection of Brucella melitensis in Syrian female sheep. Tropical Animal Health and Production. 2011;43(4):865-870.
- 18. Ahern H. Microbiology: A Laboratory Experience. Open SUNY Textbooks; 2018.
- Punch JD, Olson Jr. JC. Comparison between standard methods procedure and a surface plate method for estimating psychrophilic bacteria in milk. Journal of Milk and Food Technology. 1964;27(2):43-47.
- Chota AC, Magwisha HB, Stella B, Bunuma EK, Shirima GM, Mugambi JM, Gathogo S. Prevalence of brucellosis in livestock and incidences in humans in east Africa. African Crop Science Journal. 2016;24(1),45.
- 21. Kang'ethe EK, Arimi SM, Omore AO, McDermott JJ, Nduhiu JG, Macharia JK, Githua A. Testing for antibodies to brucella abortus in milk from consumers and market agents in Kenya using milk ring test and enzyme immunoassay. The Kenya Veterinarian. 2016;27:18–21.
- 22. Singh BB, Dhand NK, Gill JPS. Economic losses occurring due to brucellosis in Indian livestock populations. Preventive Veterinary Medicine. 2015;119(3-4), 211-215.
- 23. Makita K, Fèvre EM, Waiswa C, Eisler MC, Thrusfield M, Welburn SC. Herd prevalence of bovine brucellosis and analysis of risk factors in cattle in urban and peri-urban areas of the Kampala economic zone, Uganda. BMC Veterinary Research. 2011;7(1):1-8.
- 24. Abebe G, Yami A. Sheep and goat production handbook for Ethiopia. Ethiopia Sheep and Goat Productivity Improvement programme (A Yami, RC Merkel, editors) Ethiopia Sheep and Goat Productivity Improvement programme (ESGPIP), Ethopia. 2008;71.
- 25. Bakhtullah FP, Shahid M, Basit A, Khan MA, Gul S, Wazir I, Raqeebullah KR. Sero–prevalence of brucellosis in cattle in southern area of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. Res. J. Vet. Pract, 2014;2(4):63-66.
- 26. Ebrahim WOMK, Elfadil AAM, Elgadal AA. Seroprevalence and risk factors of anti-

brucella antibodies in cattle in Khartoum State, the Sudan. Journal of Advanced Veterinary and Animal Research. 2016;3(2):134-144.

- 27. Alhaji NB, Wungak YS, Bertu WJ. Serological survey of bovine brucellosis in Fulani nomadic cattle breeds (*Bos indicus*) of North-central Nigeria: Potential risk factors and zoonotic implications. Acta Tropica, 2016;153:28-35.
- 28. Berhe Υ. G. Belihu K. Asfaw Seroepidemiological investigation of bovine brucellosis in the extensive cattle production system of Tigray region of Ethiopia. International Journal of Applied Research Veterinary in Medicine, 2007:5(2):65.
- 29. Verger JM, Garin-Bastuji B, Grayon M, Mahé AM. La brucellose bovine à Brucellamelitensisen France. In Annales de Recherches Vétérinaires 1989;20(1): 93-102.
- Ahmed W, Neubauer H, Tomaso H, El Hofy FI, Monecke S, Abdeltawab AA, Hotzel H. Characterization of Staphylococci and Streptococci isolated from milk of bovides with mastitis in Egypt. Pathogens. 2020;9:381.
- 31. Abdelgadir WS, Ahmed TK, Dirar HA. The traditional fermented milk products of the Sudan. International Journal of Food Microbiology. 1998;44:1-13.
- 32. Mathara JM, Schillinger U, Kutima PM, Mbugua SK, Holzapfel WH. Isolation, identification, and characterisation of the dominant microorganisms of kulenaoto: The Maasai traditional fermented milk in Kenya. International Journal of Food Microbiology. 2004;94(3):269-278.
- Wambui J, Njage PMK, Tasara T, Buys EM. Meta-analysis, and meta-regression indicate dynamic prevalence and moderators of foodborne pathogens in African indigenous fermented milk. Microorganisms. 2019;7(11):563.
- Paudyal N, Anihouvi V, Hounhouigan J, Matsheka MI, Sekwati-Monang B, Amoa-Awua W, Fang W. Prevalence of

foodborne pathogens in food from selected African countries–A meta-analysis. International Journal of Food Microbiology. 2017;249:35-43.

- 35. Manguiat LS, Fang TJ. Microbiological quality of chicken-and pork-based streetvended foods from Taichung, Taiwan, and Laguna, Philippines. Food Microbiology. 2013;36(1):57-62.
- 36. Odongo NO, Matofari JW. Lamuka PO, Abey KA. Knowledge and practices of food hygiene and safety among camel milk handlers in the pastoral camel value chain in Kenva. African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development. 2017;17(1): 11803-11821.
- Muloi D, Alarcon P, Ombui J, Ngeiywa KJ, Abdullahi B, Muinde P, Fèvre EM. Value chain analysis and sanitary risks of the camel milk system supplying Nairobi city, Kenya. Preventive Veterinary Medicine. 2018;159:203-210.
- Ngotho M, Kagira J, Nkoiboni D, Njoroge J, Maina N. Risk factors associated with subclinical mastitis and antibacterial resistance in small-holder dairy farms of Kajiado North Sub-County, Kenya. Journal of Veterinary Physiology and Pathology. 2022;1(3):49-55.
- Mbindyo CM, Gitao GC, Mulei CM. Prevalence, etiology, and risk factors of mastitis in dairy cattle in Embu and Kajiado Counties, Kenya. Veterinary Medicine International. 2020;1–12.
- 40. Nyambane B, Thari WM, Wangoh J, Njage PM. Lactic acid bacteria and yeasts involved in the fermentation of amabere amaruranu, a Kenyan fermented milk. Food Science & Nutrition. 2014;(6):692-699.
- 41. Cissé H, Muandze-Nzambe JU, Somda NS, Sawadogo A, Drabo SM, Tapsoba F, Savadogo A. Assessment of safety and quality of fermented milk of camels, cows, and goats sold and consumed in five localities of Burkina Faso. Veterinary World. 2019;12(2):295.

© 2023 Kagira et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/108619