



Evaluation of Different Hybrids of Chilli in Prayagraj Agro-Climatic Condition

**Shivam Kumar ^{a*}, Vijay Bahadur ^a, Samir Ebson Topno ^a,
V. M. Prasad ^a and Anita Kerketta ^a**

^a *Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj (U.P.), India.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i102771

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105171>

Original Research Article

Received: 17/06/2023

Accepted: 21/08/2023

Published: 25/08/2023

ABSTRACT

The present investigation entitled "Evaluation of different hybrids of chilli in prayagraj agro-climatic condition" was conducted from July 2022- January 2023. The experiment was laid out in a Randomised Block Design with 3 replications and 10 hybrids viz., LHC-Diya, LHC-4010, Beauty, NS-1101, Deva, NS-1701 DG, VNR-305, Chushul, Pushkar, Sonali. Experiment was done to understand the plant growth, fruit yield, quality and economics of Chilli using different hybrids under Allahabad agro-climatic conditions at the experimental field of the Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute (NAI), Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences (SHUATS), Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh. From the above experimental findings it is concluded that, all the characters viz., growth parameters, earliness parameters, yield parameters and qualitative parameters varied significantly. Further, while studying the plant height (F₁-Sonali) was found best, highest number of branches, maximum average fruit weight and maximum ascorbic acid was found in (F₁-NS-1701 DG), days to first flowering and days to 50% flowering was found minimum in (F₁-Pushkar), maximum number of fruits per plant, maximum fruit length and fruit girth was found in (F₁-VNR-305), highest yield per plant, highest yield per hectare and highest profit and maximum benefit cost ratio were found in (F₁-NS-1101), maximum TSS was found in (F₁- Beauty).

*Corresponding author: E-mail: agricultureshivam@gmail.com;

Keywords: Chilli; hybrid; evaluation; growth; yield; quality.

1. INTRODUCTION

Chilies are members of the solanaceae family and are endemic to tropical South America. Although most *Capsicum* species have $2n = 2x = 24$ chromosomes, wild species with $2n = 2x = 26$ chromosomes have been observed [1,2]. There are a few species with genomes that are $2n = 2x = 32$. *C. annuum* is said to have originated in semi-tropical Mexico. The origins of the four other domesticated species are thought to be in South America [3]. It was brought to Europe by Christopher Columbus in 1493 as a spicy spice that symbolised his quest's success. Pepper fruit nutritional contents vary depending on genotype and fruit maturation stage. In general, 100 g of green fruits contain 85.7 g of moisture, 2.9 g of protein, 0.6 g of fat, 1.0 g of minerals, 6.8 g of fiber, 3.0 grams of carbs, 30 mg of calcium, 24 mg of magnesium, 0.39 mg of riboflavin, 67 mg of oxalic acid, 0.9 mg of nicotinic acid, 80 mg of phosphorus, Antioxidant vitamins including Vitamin A, C, and E are abundant in the green fruits of hot and sweet peppers. In fact, Hungarian scientist Albert Szent Gyorgyi isolated vitamin C from *Capsicum* fruits for the first time in 1928, which helped him win the Nobel Prize in physiology and medicine in 1937. Chilli fruits are used to add pungency to food in both the green and mature stages. The fruit ranges in size from 1 to 20 cm long, with a thin, long form to a conical, thick-fleshed blocky shape. Chilli's popularity stems from its diverse form, size, and sensory characteristics such as colour, pungency, and piquancy, which make otherwise bland mass nutritious meat, cereal, and vegetable dishes more appealing. The majority of the kinds cultivated in the nation are pungent, with a range of pungency from highly strong to moderate. Chilli is employed in the food and beverage sectors as an oleoresin, which allows for improved colour and flavour dispersion in food. The presence of capsaicin causes the pungency [4]. Chili peppers come in a variety of shapes, sizes, colors, and heat levels, ranging from mild to extremely hot. They are commonly used in soups, stews, curries, sauces, marinades, and many other dishes. They can be consumed fresh, dried, or powdered and are often added to food to enhance its flavor and increase its heat level. (Srinivasan, K. 2016). Chili peppers have been an important part of human diets and traditional medicine for centuries. In many cultures, they are believed to have therapeutic properties and are used to treat

various ailments such as indigestion, arthritis, and inflammation. They have also been used as a natural remedy for pain relief and fever. (Topolska, K. 2015). Chilli's pungency is caused by a crystalline acid, volatile alkaloid called capsaicin, which is found in the fruit's placenta. It's also high in oleoresin, the complete flavour extract found in dried and crushed chillies. Chilli extracts with natural colour are also gaining popularity as a substitute for artificial colours in cuisine [5].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation entitled "Evaluation of different hybrids of chilli in prayagraj agro-climatic condition" was conducted from July 2022- January 2023. The experiment was laid out in a Randomised Block Design with 10 hybrids and 3 replications. Experiment was done to understand the plant growth, fruit yield, quality and economics of Chilli using different hybrids under Allahabad agro-climatic conditions at the experimental field of the Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute (NAI), Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences (SHUATS), Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh.

Prayagraj falls in central plain sub-zone of Agro-climatic zone V (Source: Perspective and Strategic Plan (PSP) for IWMP of Uttar Pradesh, Department of Land Development and Water Resources, Government of U.P.). The area is situated on the South of Prayagraj on the right bank of Yamuna at Rewa road at a distance of about 6 km from Prayagraj city. It is situated between the parallels of $20^{\circ} 33' 40''$ to $21^{\circ} 50'$ North latitude and $73^{\circ} 27' 58''$ to $73^{\circ} 56' 36''$ East longitude and at an altitude of 98 meters above mean sea level (MSL).

The area of Prayagraj district comes under sub-tropical climate prevailing in the South-East part of U.P with both the extremes in temperature, i.e the winter and the summer. In cold winters, the temperature sometimes is as low as 4°C - 5°C in the months of December- January and very hot summer with temperature reaching up to 46°C - 48°C in the month of May and June. The relative humidity ranges between 20 to 94 per cent. During winter, frost and during summer, hot scorching winds are also not uncommon. The average rainfall is around 1014.4 mm. Most of the precipitation is received through south -west

advancing monsoon with maximum concentration during July to September months with occasional showers in winter.

Observations were recorded at different stages of growth periods and studied for growth parameters like plant height, number of branches per plant, earliness parameters like days to first flowering, days to 50% flowering, yield parameters like number of fruits per plant, fruit length, fruit girth, fruit weight, fruit yield per plant, fruit yield per hectare and quality parameters total soluble solid and ascorbic acid content. The data were statistically analysed by the method suggested by Fisher and Yates, 1963. The details of hybrids used is given in Table 1. The height of five randomly selected plants from each plot was measured in cm with of a 100 cm meter scale from ground level to tip of the shoot at 30, 60 and 90 DAT stage. The numbers of branches per plant (plant) of five randomly selected plants arising from main shoot were counted and were averaged to represent numbers of primary branches per plant. Number of branches per plant basis was counted at 30, 60 and 90 DAT. The numbers of days taken from the date of sowing to the date at which first flower appeared in plants or date at which plants start flowering in whole plot were recorded as days to first flowering, similarly, was taken for days to first flowering and days to 50% flowering. The percentage of total soluble solids of the fruit was determined with the help of Portable Hand Refractometer. The sample of juice for this purpose was taken from the strained juice. The observed value of T.S.S. was recorded from the scale of the instrument (0-32 range). Vitamin C content or Ascorbic acid content in the pulp was estimated by using 2, 6 dichlorophenol indophenol dye as reported by Ranganna (1986).

Table 1. Details of hybrids used

| S. No. | Notation | Name of Hybrids |
|--------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | V ₁ | F ₁ -LHC- DIYA |
| 2 | V ₂ | F ₁ -LHC-4010 |
| 3 | V ₃ | F ₁ -BEAUTY |
| 4 | V ₄ | F ₁ -NS-1101 |
| 5 | V ₅ | F ₁ -DEVA |
| 6 | V ₆ | F ₁ -NS-1701 DG |
| 7 | V ₇ | F ₁ -VNR-305 |
| 8 | V ₈ | F ₁ -CHUSHUL |
| 9 | V ₉ | F ₁ -PUSHKAR |
| 10 | V ₁₀ | F ₁ -SONALI |

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Growth Parameters

3.1.1 Plant height (cm)

The maximum plant height at 30 DAT (63.27 cm) was recorded in the hybrid NS-1701 DG followed by CHUSHUL (54.53 cm). Plant height was found to be minimum (43.13cm) in the hybrid LHC-4010. The maximum plant height at 60 DAT (94.93 cm) was recorded in the hybrid SONALI followed by DEVA (93.93 cm). Plant height was found to be minimum (65.93cm) in the hybrid VNR-305. The maximum plant height at 90 DAT (120.33 cm) was recorded in the hybrid SONALI followed by DEVA (119.4 cm). Plant height was found to be minimum (94.13cm) in the hybrid LHC-DIYA. The hybrid SONALI gives maximum height due to favourable Prayagraj Agro-climatic conditions, better adaptability of the hybrids and genetic makeup of the hybrid to have wide adaptability. Similar findings were reported by Sreelathakumary and Rajamony [6], Smitha and Basavaraja [7], Ukkud et al. [8], Sandeep et al. [9], Tembhone et al. [10], Pramila et al. [11], Cheema et al. [12], Saravaiya et al. [13], Phulari [14] and Dhaliwal et al. [15] in chilli.

3.1.2 Number of branches per plant

The maximum number of branches at 30 DAT (8.73) was observed in the hybrid NS-1701-DG and was followed by (7.13) in LHC-DIYA while the minimum number of branches (4.8) was recorded in the hybrid SONALI. The maximum number of branches at 60 DAT (12.47) was observed in the hybrid NS-1701-DG and was followed by (10.4) in DEVA while the minimum number of branches (7.33) was recorded in the hybrid SONALI. The maximum number of branches at 90 DAT (11.07) was observed in the hybrid NS-1701-DG and was followed by (12.4) in DEVA while the minimum number of branches (8.4) was recorded in the hybrid SONALI. The hybrid NS-1701-DG gives maximum number of branches due to favourable Prayagraj Agro-climatic conditions, better adaptability of the hybrids and genetic makeup of the hybrid to have wide adaptability. Similar findings were reported by Smitha and Basavaraja [7], Ukkud et al. [8], Sandeep et al. [9], Pramila et al. [11], Amit et al. [16] and Vijaya et al. (2014) in Chilli.

3.2 Earliness Parameter

3.2.1 Days to first flowering

Days to first flowering ranged from PUSHKAR (46.33) to LHC-DIYA (60.67) with a mean of 52.53. The minimum number of days to first flowering observed in hybrid PUSHKAR (46.33 days) followed by VNR-305 (56.33 days). The maximum days to first flowering was observed in V1 LHC-DIYA (60.67 days). The hybrid PUSHKAR was found significantly superior in all the hybrids. The hybrid PUSHKAR gives minimum days to first flowering due to favourable Prayagraj Agro-climatic conditions, and genetic makeup of the hybrid to have wide adaptability. Similar findings were reported by Singh et al. [17], Islam et al. [18], Dhal et al. [19], Awasthi et al. [20], Molonaro et al. [21] in Chilli.

3.2.2 Days to 50% flowering

Days to 50% flowering ranged from PUSHKAR (61.33) to LHC-DIYA (75.67) with a mean of 67.53. The minimum number of days to 50% flowering observed in hybrid PUSHKAR (61.33 days) followed by VNR-305 (71.33 days). The maximum days to first flowering was observed in LHC-DIYA (75.67 days). The hybrid PUSHKAR was found significantly superior in all the hybrids. The hybrid PUSHKAR gives minimum days to 50% flowering due to favourable Prayagraj Agro-climatic conditions, and genetic makeup of the hybrid to have wide adaptability. Similar findings were reported by Farhad et al. [22], Tembhurne et al. [10], Singh et al. [17], Islam et al. [18], Awasthi et al. [20], Lohani et al. [23] in chilli.

3.3 Yield Parameter

3.3.1 Number of fruits per plant

Number of fruits per plant ranged from SONALI (128.52) to VNR-305 (360.54) with a mean of 245.90. Maximum number of fruits per plant was observed in hybrid VNR-305 with 360.54 fruits per plant and was superior over rest of the hybrids, followed by BEAUTY with 343.74 fruits per plant, while the minimum number of fruits per plant was observed in hybrid SONALI with 128.52 fruits per plant. The hybrid VNR-305 gives maximum number of fruits per plant due to favourable Prayagraj Agro-climatic conditions, and genetic makeup of the hybrid to have wide adaptability. Similar findings were reported by Sreelathakumary and Rajamony [6], Smitha and Basavaraja [7], Sandeep et al. [9], Tembhurne et

al. [10], Ajjapplavana and ChannaGoudra [9], Pramila et al in Chilli.

3.3.2 Average fruit length (cm)

Average fruit length ranged from VNR-305 (6.63 cm) to NS-1701-DG (9.68 cm) with a mean of 7.64 cm. Maximum average fruit length was observed in hybrid NS-1701-DG with 9.68 cm fruits and was superior over rest of the hybrids, followed by NS-1101 with 9.63 cm fruits, while the minimum average fruit length was observed in hybrid VNR-305 with 6.63 cm fruits. The hybrid NS-1701-DG gives maximum average fruit length due to favourable Prayagraj Agro-climatic conditions, and genetic makeup of the hybrid to have wide adaptability. Similar findings were reported by Sreelathakumary and Rajamony [6], Smitha [7], Dahal et al. [24], Tembhurne et al. [10], Pramila et al. [11], Cheema et al. Chattopadhyay et al. [25], Saravaiya et al. [13], Shiva et al. [26], Dhaliwal et al. [15], Lakshmanan [27], Vijaya et al. (2014) in Chilli.

3.3.3 Average fruit girth (mm)

Average fruit girth ranged from DEVA (2.46 mm) to VNR-305 (3.40 mm) with a mean of 2.88 mm. Maximum average fruit girth was observed in hybrid VNR-305 with 3.40 mm fruits and was superior over rest of the hybrids, followed by LHC-4010 with 3.36 mm fruits, while the minimum average fruit girth was observed in hybrid DEVA with 2.46 mm fruits. The hybrid VNR-305 gives maximum average fruit girth due to favourable Prayagraj Agro-climatic conditions, and genetic makeup of the hybrid to have wide adaptability. Similar findings were reported by Kumari et al. (2017) in chilli.

3.3.4 Average fruit weight (gm)

Average fruit weight ranged from LHC-DIYA (1.66 gm) to NS-1101 (3.82 gm) with a mean of 2.49 gm. Maximum average fruit weight was observed in hybrid NS-1101 with 3.82 gm fruits and was superior over rest of the hybrids, followed by NS-1701-DG with 2.84 gm fruits, while the minimum average fruit weight was observed in hybrid LHC-DIYA with 1.66 gm fruits. The hybrid NS-1101 gives maximum average fruit weight due to favourable Prayagraj Agro-climatic conditions. Similar findings were reported by Sreelathakumary and Rajamony [6], Tembhurne et al. [10], Sarkar et al. [28], Cheema et al. [12], Chattopadhyay et al. [25], Amit et al. [16], Rohini and Lakshmanan [27] and Vijaya et al. (2014) in chilli.

Table 2. Growth and earliness parameters of chilli genotypes under Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions

| Hybrids | Plant height (cm) | | | Number of branches (cm) | | | Days to first flowering | Days to 50% flowering |
|------------|-------------------|-------|--------|-------------------------|-------|--------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| | 30DAT | 60DAT | 90DAT | 30DAT | 60DAT | 90 DAT | | |
| LHC DIYA | 53.53 | 72.8 | 94.13 | 7.13 | 9.07 | 10.13 | 60.67 | 75.67 |
| LHC-4010 | 43.13 | 72.8 | 97.2 | 6.07 | 9.07 | 9.73 | 55.67 | 70.67 |
| BEAUTY | 48.2 | 71.13 | 96.2 | 5.93 | 9.27 | 10.2 | 52.67 | 67.67 |
| NS-1101 | 54.27 | 70.4 | 96.33 | 6.4 | 9.47 | 10.33 | 55 | 70 |
| DEVA | 54.47 | 93.93 | 119.4 | 6.73 | 10.4 | 12.4 | 51.33 | 66.33 |
| NS-1701 DG | 63.27 | 88.93 | 115 | 8.73 | 12.47 | 11.07 | 47.33 | 62.33 |
| VNR-305 | 44.4 | 65.93 | 98.53 | 5.87 | 8 | 8.93 | 56.33 | 71.33 |
| CHUSHUL | 54.53 | 76 | 99.47 | 6.6 | 9 | 9.8 | 52.33 | 67.33 |
| PUSHKAR | 43.6 | 82.27 | 102.8 | 5.67 | 8.53 | 9.53 | 46.33 | 61.33 |
| SONALI | 44.47 | 94.93 | 120.33 | 4.8 | 7.33 | 8.4 | 47.67 | 62.67 |
| F-Test | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| S.E (d) | 0.87 | 2.088 | 2.16 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 0.45 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| C.D (@5%) | 1.83 | 4.42 | 4.58 | 0.59 | 0.62 | 0.94 | 2.18 | 2.18 |
| C.V. | 2.10 | 3.24 | 2.55 | 5.31 | 3.88 | 5.43 | 2.41 | 1.87 |

Table 3. Yield and quality parameters of chilli genotypes under Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions

| Hybrids | No. of fruit per plant | Fruit length (cm) | Fruit girth (cm) | Fruit weight (g) | Fruit yield per plant (Kg) | Fruit yield per hectare (t/ha) | Total soluble solid (^o BRIX) | Ascorbic acid (mg/100gm) |
|------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| LHC DIYA | 270.66 | 6.64 | 2.53 | 1.66 | 0.45 | 12.5 | 6.2 | 66.67 |
| LHC-4010 | 257.58 | 6.73 | 3.36 | 2.62 | 0.67 | 18.70 | 6.67 | 71.33 |
| BEAUTY | 343.74 | 6.67 | 3.02 | 2.67 | 0.92 | 25.56 | 7.27 | 76.67 |
| NS-1101 | 292.82 | 9.63 | 2.93 | 3.82 | 1.12 | 31.11 | 4.13 | 82.67 |
| DEVA | 240.66 | 6.64 | 2.46 | 1.72 | 0.41 | 11.39 | 6.07 | 86.67 |
| NS-1701 DG | 231.6 | 9.68 | 2.93 | 2.84 | 0.66 | 18.24 | 6.47 | 90.67 |
| VNR-305 | 360.54 | 6.63 | 3.40 | 2.61 | 0.94 | 26.11 | 6.87 | 61.33 |
| CHUSHUL | 147.88 | 9.57 | 2.78 | 2.71 | 0.40 | 11.20 | 5.27 | 65.33 |
| PUSHKAR | 185.08 | 7.44 | 2.90 | 2.2 | 0.41 | 11.30 | 5.67 | 68 |
| SONALI | 128.52 | 6.75 | 2.54 | 2.05 | 0.27 | 7.41 | 6.2 | 73.33 |
| F-Test | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| S.E (d) | 28.091 | 0.22 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 2.27 | 0.11 | 0.76 |
| C.D (@5%) | 13.268 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 1.07 | 0.24 | 1.62 |
| C.V. | 6.608 | 1.64 | 1.30 | 2.40 | 7.56 | 7.56 | 2.27 | 1.26 |

3.3.5 Average fruit yield per plant (Kg)

Average fruit yield per plant ranged from SONALI (0.27 Kg) to NS-1101 (1.12 Kg) with a mean of 0.624Kg. Maximum average fruit yield per plant was observed in hybrid NS-1101 with 1.12 Kg fruits and was superior over rest of the hybrids, followed by VNR-305 with 0.94 Kg fruits, while the minimum average fruit yield per plant was observed in hybrid SONALI with 0.27 Kg fruits. The hybrid NS-1101 gives maximum average fruit yield per plant due to favourable Prayagraj Agro-climatic conditions, and genetic makeup of the hybrid to have wide adaptability. Similar findings were reported by Purad et al. [29], Srinivas et al. [30], Dhal et al. [19], Awasthi et al. [20], Molonaro et al. [21] in chilli.

3.3.6 Average fruit yield per hectare (t/ha)

Average fruit yield per hectare ranged from SONALI (7.41 t/ha) to NS-1101 (31.11 t/ha) with a mean of 17.36 t/ha. Maximum average fruit yield per hectare was observed in hybrid NS-1101 with 31.11 t/ha fruits and was superior over rest of the hybrids, followed by VNR-305 with 26.11 t/ha fruits, while the minimum average fruit yield per hectare was observed in hybrid SONALI with 7.41 t/ha fruits. The hybrid NS-1101 gives maximum average fruit yield per hectare due to favourable Prayagraj Agro-climatic conditions. Similar findings were reported by Smitha and Basavaraja [7], Tembhumne et al. [10], Thul et al. [31], Saravaiya et al. [13], Vijaya et al. (2014), Amit et al. [16] in chilli.

3.4 Quality Parameter

3.4.1 Total soluble solid (°Brix)

Fruit content total soluble solid ranged from NS-1101 (4.13) to BEAUTY (7.27) with a mean of 6.08. The maximum Total Soluble Solid content in fruit was recorded in hybrid BEAUTY with 7.27 and was superior over rest of the hybrids, followed by VNR-305 with 6.87, while minimum Total Soluble Solid content in fruit was recorded in hybrid NS-1101 with 4.13. The hybrid BEAUTY gives maximum Total Soluble Solid content in fruit due to favourable Prayagraj Agro-climatic conditions, and genetic makeup of the hybrid to have wide adaptability. Similar findings were reported by Awasthi et al. [20], Molonaro et al. [21], Kumar et al. [32] in chilli.

3.4.2 Ascorbic acid content (mg/100g)

Fruit content ascorbic acid (mg/100g) ranged from VNR-305 (61.33) to NS-1701-DG (90.67)

with a mean of 74.27. The maximum Total Soluble Solid content was recorded in hybrid NS-1701-DG with 90.67 and was superior over rest of the hybrids, followed by DEVA with 86.67, while minimum Total Soluble Solid content was recorded in hybrid VNR-305 with 61.33. The hybrid NS-1701-DG gives maximum ascorbic acid content in fruit due to favourable Prayagraj Agro-climatic conditions, and genetic makeup of the hybrid to have wide adaptability. Similar findings were reported by Srinivas et al. [30], Awasthi et al. [20], Molonaro et al. [21], Kumar et al. [32] in chilli [33-35].

4. CONCLUSION

From the above experimental finding it is concluded that, all the characters viz., growth parameters, earliness parameters, yield parameters and qualitative parameters varied significantly. Further, while studying the plant height (F_1 -Sonali) was found best, highest number of branches, maximum average fruit weight and maximum ascorbic acid was found in (F_1 -NS-1701 DG), days to first flowering and days to 50% flowering was found minimum in (F_1 - Pushkar), maximum number of fruits per plant, maximum fruit length and fruit girth was found in (F_1 - VNR-305), highest yield per plant, highest yield per hectare and highest profit and maximum benefit cost ratio were found in (F_1 -NS-1101), maximum TSS was found in (F_1 - Beauty).

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Ajjappalavara PS, Channagoudra RF. Studies on variability, heritability and genetic advance in chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). Asian J. Horti. 2009;4(1):99-101.
2. Pickersgill B. Genetic resources and breeding of *Capsicum* spp. Euphytica. 1991;96:129-133.
3. Denevan WM. Cultivated landscapes of Native Amozonic and the Andes: Triumph over the Soil. Oxford University Press (Oxford, UK and New York), USA. 2001;432.
4. Parthasarathy VA, Chempakam B, Zachariah JT. Chemistry of Spices. Indian Institute of Spices Research Calicut, Kerala, India. 2008;260-280.

5. Katheek R, Prasad B, Adinarayana VM, Narayana GS. Evaluation of Chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) Genotypes for Yield and Yield Attributes in Allahabad Agro-Climatic Conditions. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2018;7:773-776.
6. Sreelathakumary I, Rajamony L. Variability, heritability and genetic advance in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Journal of Tropical Agriculture. 2006;42:35-7.
7. Smitha RP, Basavraja N. Variability and correlation studies in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Karnataka J. Agri. Sci. 2006;19(4):888-891.
8. Ukkund KM, Madalageri MB, Patil MP, Mulage R, Kotikal YKS. Variability studies in green Chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Karnataka J. Agri. Sci. 2007;20 (1):102-104.
9. Sandeep OP, Bidari BI, Shashidhara GB, Hanamashetti SI. Evaluation of chilli genotypes for Ghataprabha Left Bank Command area in Karnataka. Asian Journal of Horticulture. 2008;3(2):356-60.
10. Tembhurne BV, Kuchanur PH. Varietal performance, genetic variability and correlation studies in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2010;21(4).
11. Pramila, Singh DK, Jain SK. Evaluation of exotic and indigenous genotypes of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) under foot hills of himalayas during summer season. Pantnagar Journal of Research. 2009;7(1):77-80.
12. Cheema DS, Jindal SK, Dhaliwal MS. Evaluation of chilli hybrids developed by using genetic male sterility. Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences. 2010;39(3/4):321-5.
13. Saravaiya SN, Koladiya PB, Patel HB, Patel DA, Parmar VL, Patel JB. Evaluation of different genotypes of chilli through IET under south Gujarat conditions. Asian Journal of Horticulture. 2011;6(1):71-3.
14. Phulari SS. Mean performance of major morphological characters in varieties of *Capsicum annuum* and *Capsicum frutescens*. Indian Streams Res. J. 2012;2(6):1-5.
15. Dhaliwal MS, Garg N, Jindal SK, Cheema DS. Heterosis in chilli (*Capsicum annuum*L.) using genetic male sterility. J. Res. Punjab agric. Univ. 2014;51(3):255-61.
16. Amit K, Ahad I, Kumar V, Thakur S. Genetic variability and correlation studies for growth and yield characters in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Journal of Spices & Aromatic Crops. 2014 Jul 1;23(2);104-177
17. Singh P, Jain PK, Ahirwar MK. Evaluation of different chilli Genotypes for Growth, Phenological Behaviour and Physical fruit Parameters in Kymore plateau region of Madhya Pradesh. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2019;8(10):264-7.
18. Islam MS, Akter N, Jui S. Variability of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) genotypes for yield and yield attributes. Asian Journal of Research in Botany. 2020; 3(4):33-7.
19. Dhal N, Prasad VM, Topno SE, Bahadur V, Marker S. Varietal trails of chilli (*Capsicum* spp.) varieties on the basis of growth and yield in Prayagraj Agroclimatic condition. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(10):424-6.
20. Awasthi M, Singh D, Bahadur V. Varietal evaluation of chilli (*Capsicum annuum*) for growth, yield and quality in Prayagraj Agro climatic condition. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(10):1267-9.
21. Molonaro AO, Topno SE, Anita Kerketta. "Varietal evaluation of Chilli (*Capsicum annuum*) under Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions."; 2022.
22. Farhad M, Hasanuzzaman M, Biswas BK, Azad AK, Arifuzzaman M. Reliability of yield contributing characters for improving yield potential in chilli (*Capsicum annuum*). International Journal of Sustainable Crop Production. 2008;3(3):30-8.
23. Lohani S, Yagya KC, Shrestha SL. Evaluation of Hot Pepper Genotypes (*Capsicum annuum*) in Western Terai of Nepal. Nepalese Horticulture. 2022; 16(1):91-8.
24. Dahal KC, Sharma MD, Dhakal D, Shakya SM. Evaluation of heat tolerant chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) genotypes in Western terai of Nepal. J. Int. Agric. Anim. Sci. 2006;27:59-64.
25. Chattopadhyay A, Sharangi AB, Dai N, Dutta S. Diversity of genetic resources and genetic association analyses of green and dry chillies of Eastern India. Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research. 2011;71(3):350.
26. Shiva KN, Zachariah TJ, Leela NK, Mathew PA. Performance of paprika and paprika alike chillies (*Capsicum annuum* L.) under warm humid tropics. Journal of Spices & Aromatic Crops. 2013;22(2).

27. Rohini N, Lakshmanan V. Evaluation of chilli hybrids for yield and related traits. Trends in Biosciences. 2014;7(22):3635-3638.
28. Sarkar S, Murmu D, Chattopadhyay A, Hazra P, Chandra B, Viswavidyalaya K, Bengal W. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis of some morphological characters in chilli. Journal of Crop and Weed. 2009;5(1):157-61.
29. Purad PB, Arumugam T, Karthikeyan M. Growth and performance of different chilli genotypes for yield and yield attributing characters. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2019;8(4):210-3.
30. Srinivas J, Ravinder Reddy K, Saidaiah P, Anitha PK, Pandravada SR. Performance of chilli genotypes for yield and yield attributes of fruit quality in southern Telangana, India. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;6(11):469-77.
31. Thul ST, Lal RK, Shasany AK, Darokar MP, Gupta AK, Gupta MM, Verma RK, Khanuja SP. Estimation of phenotypic divergence in a collection of Capsicum species for yield-related traits. Euphytica. 2009;168:189-96. DOI:10.1007/10681-009-9882.
32. Kumar, Rohit. "Evaluation trial on hybrid chilli genotype under Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions (*Capsicum annuum* L.); 2022.
33. Dhaliwal MS, Garg N, Jindal SK, Cheema DS. Growth and yield of elite genotypes of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) in diverse agro climatic zones of Punjab.J. Spices and Aromatic Crops. 2015;24(2):83-91.
34. Tong N, Bosland PW. Observations on interspecific compatibility and meiotic chromosome behaviour of *Capsicum buforum* and *C. lanceolatum*. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution. 2003;50:193:199.
35. Ajjappalavara PS, Channagoudra RF. Studies on variability, heritability and genetic advance in chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). Asian J. Horti. 2009;4(1):99-101.

© 2023 Kumar et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105171>