



Evaluation of Different Approaches of Fertilizer Recommendation Using Soluble and Conventional Fertilizers on Cabbage (*Brassica oleraceae* var. *capitata*) Yield, Nutrient Requirement and Economics

R. Sundaresh^{a*} and P. K. Basavaraja^a

^a AICRP on STCR, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, UAS, GKVK, Bengaluru- 560065, Karnataka, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i92504

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/101788>

Original Research Article

Received: 17/05/2023

Accepted: 21/07/2023

Published: 03/08/2023

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at Zonal Agricultural Research Station, UAS, GKVK, Bengaluru, Karnataka to study the influence of different approaches of fertilizer application using soluble and conventional fertilizers on yield, nutrient requirement and economics of cabbage under drip fertigation. The results revealed that significant higher marketable cabbage yield of 62.55 t ha⁻¹ was recorded in LMH approach followed by STCR inorganic approach (62.03 t ha⁻¹) using soluble fertilizers and STCR integrated plant nutrition approach (61.31 t ha⁻¹) using soluble fertilizers. Similarly higher nutrient uptake (N, P₂O₅ and K₂O kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in LMH approach followed

*Corresponding author: E-mail: sundaresh92@gmail.com;

by STCR inorganic approach using soluble fertilizers and STCR integrated plant nutrition approach using soluble fertilizers. However, nutrient requirement (NR) of N, P₂O₅ and K₂O was highest in absolute control followed by LMH approach. Value cost ratio (VCR) worked out was found to be higher (13.37) in STCR inorganic approach using conventional fertilizers followed by STCR integrated approach using conventional fertilizers.

Keywords: STCR yield target; cabbage; soluble fertilizers; nutrient requirement; value-cost ratio.

1. INTRODUCTION

The farming community uses fertiliser nutrients in accordance with the crop's nutrient requirements by evaluating the soil fertility status. As a result, soil testing is regarded as a method for advising on fertiliser nutrient doses and types for various crops. Typically, recommendations for fertiliser nutrients for various crops were made using the available nutrient status of the soil, i.e. low, medium, and high. "Among the various methods of fertilizer recommendations the soil test crop response (STCR)- targeted yield approach is unique in the sense that this method not only indicates the soil test-based fertilizer dose but also the level of yield the farmer can hope to achieve if good agronomic practices are adopted in crop cultivation. However soil testing would become a useful tool when it is based on intimate knowledge of soil-crop-variety-fertilizer-climate and management practices interaction for a given situation" [1]. "Cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata*) is an important cole crop belongs to the family Brassicaceae. It is well recognized for its nutritive value and health benefits. It is used against ailments like gout, diarrhea, stomach and coeliac troubles. Cabbage has anti-cancer property, it protects against several cancers, especially lung, colon, breast, ovarian, bladder, bowel cancer due to the presence of indole-3-carbinol" [2].

"Water is the scarce input that can severely limit the agricultural production unless it is carefully conserved and managed. Therefore, the adoption of modern irrigation techniques is needed to be emphasized to increase water use efficiency and covering more area under cultivation. Fertigation through drip irrigation is the most effective and efficient way of supplying nutrients through water to crop plants and not only conserve the water but also boost the yield of vegetables by achieving higher nutrient use efficiency. Water-soluble fertilizers are fertilizer nutrients with different grades of NPK fertilizers that are completely water-soluble and characterized by high purity, no inert matter, low salt index and higher nutrient use efficiency that

ultimately improve yield and quality of the crop" [3-5].

"Soil test based fertilizer nutrient recommendation is based on the hypothesis that an increasing or decreasing the available nutrient in the soil will directly influence crop yield. The targeted yield concept was primarily based on the quantitative idea of the need for fertilizers in line with crop yield and nutritional requirements, the percentage of the soil nutrient available and the fertilizer applied" [6]. One of the site-specific nutrient application strategies is the targeted yield approach of the soil test crop response (STCR). Since fertiliser is an expensive input, it is imperative that it be used in a scientifically sound manner. As a comprehensive approach to fertiliser utilisation in this input utilisation, the STCR approach of fertiliser nutrient application plays a crucial role. In this approach, fertiliser nutrients are applied in accordance with yield targets, site specifications, crop specifications, and soil test values. However there is a need to evaluate the STCR-targeted yield approach in comparison with the other approaches for yield variation, nutrient uptake, nutrient requirement and economics so that its validity can be further scrutinized. By this background, the experiment was conducted to study the influence of different approaches of fertilizer application using soluble and conventional fertilizers on yield, nutrient requirement and economics of cabbage under drip fertigation.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted to evaluate the different approaches of fertilizer application during *Kharif* season of 2018-19 at Zonal Agricultural Research Station, University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bengaluru, Karnataka. The experimental site belonging to Vijayapura series was classified as *Kandic Paleustalf* and was sandy clay loam in texture with acidic pH (5.78) and electrical conductivity of 0.085 dS m⁻¹. The Initial soil organic carbon was low (4.50 g kg⁻¹), available nitrogen was low (266.16 kg ha⁻¹), available Bray's phosphorus (P₂O₅) was medium (56.93 kg ha⁻¹) and

ammonium acetate extractable potassium (K_2O) was low ($117.90 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$). In this experiment, different fertilizer recommendation approaches including water-soluble fertilizers and conventional fertilizers were compared to assess the response of cabbage crop to various approaches of fertilizer recommendation including the STCR targeted yield equation developed at the same centre for cabbage crop under fertigation. The experiment was laid out in randomized complete block design (RCBD) having seven treatments and replicated thrice. The treatment combinations include the STCR approach of fertiliser recommendations for a

targeted cabbage yield of 33 t ha^{-1} using inorganics such as only soluble fertilisers (calcium nitrate, mono potassium phosphate, mono ammonium phosphate, and sulphate of potash), only conventional fertilisers (urea, single super phosphate, and muriate of potash), and through integrated approach such as soluble fertilisers along with sheep manure at the rate of 25 t ha . Other treatment options include the standard package of practises' general blanket recommended dose, the LMH (Low-Medium-High) approach, which is frequently used in soil testing laboratories, and absolute control (Table 1).

Table 1. Treatments details used in the experiment [3]

T ₁	STCR approach at 33 t ha^{-1} through soluble fertilizers (Inorganics).
T ₂	STCR approach at 33 t ha^{-1} through soluble fertilizers and sheep manure (Integrated)
T ₃	STCR approach at 33 t ha^{-1} through conventional fertilizers (Inorganics).
T ₄	STCR approach at 33 t ha^{-1} through conventional fertilizers and sheep manure (Integrated)
T ₅	Package of practices (Recommended dose of fertilizers)
T ₆	LMH (Soil Testing Laboratory method)
T ₇	Absolute control

The following STCR fertilizer adjustment equation developed by AICRP on STCR, UAS, Bengaluru centre during 2017-18 under fertigation for Zone-5 was used for STCR treatments.

STCR- Inorganics (NPK alone) equation	STCR- IPNS (Integrated plant nutrient supply) equation
$FN = 4.4750 T - 0.1342 \text{ STV (KMnO}_4 - N)$	$FN = 4.1600 T - 0.1209 \text{ STV (KMnO}_4 - N) - 0.858 \text{ OM}$
$FP_2O_5 = 3.5822 T - 0.1954 \text{ (Bray's - P}_2O_5)$	$FP_2O_5 = 2.6736 T - 0.1248 \text{ (Bray's - P}_2O_5) - 0.256 \text{ OM}$
$FK_2O = 3.8005 T - 0.1140 \text{ (Am. Acetate - K}_2O)$	$FK_2O = 4.3324 T - 0.1119 \text{ (Am. Acetate - K}_2O) - 0.870 \text{ OM}$

Where, FN, FP_2O_5 and FK_2O are fertilizer N, P_2O_5 and K_2O in kg ha^{-1} respectively; T is the yield target in t ha^{-1} ; SN, SP and SK are available soil nutrients as $\text{KMnO}_4\text{-N}$, Bray's- P_2O_5 and $\text{NH}_4\text{OAc-K}_2O$ in kg ha^{-1} respectively and OM is amount of sheep manure (organic manure) added in t ha^{-1} .

Using this fertilizer adjustment equation the quantity of fertilizer nutrients required with or without FYM for achieving the target of 33 t ha^{-1} cabbage yield worked out. The quantity of fertilizer nutrients (NPK) applied for each treatment is mentioned in Table 2.

Table 2. Details of initial soil test values and nutrients applied to cabbage through different approaches of fertilizer recommendation as per the treatments

Treatments	Initial soil test values (kg ha^{-1})			Sheep manure applied (t ha^{-1})	Fertilizer nutrient applied (kg ha^{-1})		
	N	P_2O_5	K_2O		N	P_2O_5	K_2O
T ₁	260.81	55.01	122.00	0	112	108	112
T ₂	270.84	45.22	126.50	25	59	77	104
T ₃	261.34	60.57	132.40	0	113	106	113
T ₄	270.35	69.97	121.75	25	59	74	109
T ₅	254.24	58.45	111.80	25	150	100	125
T ₆	275.60	54.08	116.60	25	167	100	163
T ₇	269.50	55.22	94.20	0	0	0	0

Twenty-two days old cabbage seedlings were transplanted to the experimental plots at a spacing of 45 cm X 30 cm. Conventional fertilizers as per the specific, treatments (T₃, T₄, T₅ and T₆) were applied on the day of transplanting. Nitrogen was applied as urea (50% as basal dose), phosphorus as single super phosphate (100% dose) and potassium as muriate of potash (100 % dose) before transplanting cabbage and after 30 days top dressing was done with remaining 50 percent of N dose. Soluble fertilizers for the specific treatments (T₁ and T₂) were applied through fertigation at 30 percent of recommended fertilizer doses as basal dose and remaining 70 percent dose as 10 percent at each time at 8 days interval. "The head yield per plot was computed based on net plot area occupied by cabbage crop and was converted into head yield in tons per hectare. At harvest random cabbage heads as per treatments were collected, dried, powdered and used for analysing the concentration of NPK by adopting the standard procedures outlined" by Jackson [7]. "Soil samples collected from the experimental plots after crop harvest were processed and analysed for available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium by following standard procedures" [7]. After analysing the major nutrient concentrations in cabbage samples, uptake of these nutrients by cabbage, nutrient requirement (NR), response yard stick (RYS) and value-cost ratio (VCR) were computed by using the standard formulae shown below:

Nutrient requirement (NR) (kg t⁻¹) = Total uptake of NPK(kg ha⁻¹) by cabbage / Head yield of cabbage (t ha⁻¹)

Response yard stick (kg kg⁻¹) = Yield response* (kg ha-1) / Total fertilizer applied (kg N, P₂O₅ and K₂O ha⁻¹)

*Yield response = Treated yield (kg ha⁻¹) - control yield (kg ha⁻¹).

VCR (value cost ratio) = Cost of Head yield above the control yield / Cost of fertilizer and sheep manure added

The data collected with respect to yield, nutrient uptake and available nutrient status were subjected to statistical analysis. The level of significance used in F and t-test was P= 0.05. Critical difference (CD) values were calculated for P= 0.05 whenever F-test was found significant.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cabbage yield:

Significantly higher marketable cabbage yield was recorded in T₆ (62.55 t ha⁻¹) which received the nutrient dose as per LMH approach (167:100:163 kg NPK ha⁻¹ along with sheep manure at 25 t ha⁻¹) through soil testing laboratory method followed by STCR inorganic approach (T₁) through soluble fertilizers (62.03 t ha⁻¹). "However, it was found to be on par with all the STCR target of 33 t ha⁻¹ treatments (both soluble and conventional fertilizer application through inorganic and integrated approach) including fertilizer nutrient application through package of practices (T₅) except absolute control (T₇) where no fertilizers or sheep manure was added. Increased fertilizer nutrient application along with 25 t ha⁻¹ of sheep manure results in increased nutrient availability at the vicinity of root surface of cabbage, which leads to increased uptake of all the essential plant nutrients. All these favourable conditions might have resulted in greater translocation and accumulation of carbohydrates and protein in cabbage. Ultimately resulted in improved yield parameters and yield of cabbage in LMH approach followed by STCR inorganic and integrated approach using soluble fertilizer for the target yield of 33 t ha⁻¹. [3] These results are in close agreement with those of Verma and Maurya (2013) and Harpal *et al.* [8] in cabbage crop. They reported that increased application of NPK fertilizers along with FYM enhances the availability of essential macronutrients in soils, which resulted in increased nutrient uptake and finally improves the yield of cabbage.

Post harvest soil nutrient status:

Available nitrogen content in soil after harvest of cabbage crop varied considerably among the different treatments of fertilizer recommendations. Significantly higher (281.83 kg ha⁻¹) available nitrogen was recorded in LMH approach (T₆) followed by T₅ where nutrients were applied as per package of practices as compared to inorganic approach of nutrient application (T₁, and T₃). Interestingly, it has been found to be on par with treatments of integrated approach of nutrient application (T₂, T₄ and T₅). Significantly, lower soil available nitrogen (259.47 kg ha⁻¹) was noticed in absolute control (T₇). Soil available nitrogen after harvest of cabbage was improved in all the treatments of fertilizer nutrient application (both integrated and inorganic

Table 3. Influence of different approaches of fertilizer application on cabbage yield, post-harvest soil nutrient status, nutrient uptake and nutrient requirement

Harvests Treatments	Cabbage yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Post harvest soil nutrient status (kg ha ⁻¹)			Nutrient uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)			Nutrient requirement (kg t ⁻¹)		
		N	P ₂ O ₅	K ₂ O	N	P ₂ O ₅	K ₂ O	N	P ₂ O ₅	K ₂ O
T ₁ – STCR (Inorganics through soluble fertilizers)	62.03	263.69	71.69	127.8	138.29	42.91	134.51	2.23	0.69	2.16
T ₂ - STCR (Integrated through soluble fertilizers and SM)	61.31	272.32	79.58	144.03	133.79	42.66	132.92	2.18	0.71	2.17
T ₃ - STCR (Inorganics through conventional fertilizers)	59.09	267.56	67.12	138.74	105.35	31.77	103.20	1.78	0.53	1.75
T ₄ - STCR (Integrated through conventional fertilizers and SM)	58.52	276.86	83.14	140.76	121.78	34.42	111.88	2.08	0.58	1.91
T ₅ - Package of practices	60.42	278.18	83.32	148.45	125.22	36.71	116.07	2.07	0.61	1.92
T ₆ - LMH (STL)	62.55	281.83	84.15	162.24	145.74	50.02	134.96	2.33	0.80	2.18
T ₇ - Absolute control	18.84	259.47	50.33	87.4	52.42	16.12	47.33	2.78	0.82	2.51
SEm±	2.89	3.96	5.94	7.43	8.86	4.73	10.69	0.24	0.09	0.20
CD @ 5 %	8.92	12.21	18.3	22.9	27.31	14.59	32.92	NS	NS	NS

Note: *STCR (Soil Test Crop Response) targeted yield of cabbage at 33 t ha⁻¹, SM: Sheep manure, LMH: Low, Medium, High. STL: Soil testing laboratory method

approach) except in absolute control (T_7) where soil available nitrogen was reduced over its initial content (Table 3). Significantly higher available nitrogen in LMH approach after harvest of cabbage was attributed to direct application of increased fertilizer dose of nitrogen (167 kg ha^{-1} of N) coupled with addition of sheep manure contributed to increased available nitrogen through mineralisation process followed by T_5 (package of practices) owing to the direct application of 150 kg ha^{-1} of N through fertilizer coupled with contribution of N from sheep manure. In both the STCR integrated approaches using soluble and conventional fertilizers (T_2 and T_4) the improved available nitrogen after harvest of cabbage crop was mainly due to mineralization of applied sheep manure along with direct addition of inorganic nitrogen fertilizers which contributed to the pool of available nitrogen and also improved water and nutrient holding capacity in integrated approach in contrast with other STCR inorganic treatments (T_1 and T_3). The decreased in available nitrogen content in absolute control was mainly due to loss of native N through crop removal and leaching of NO_3^- -N during rainfall.

Due to different nutrient recommendation approaches, the phosphorus content of post-harvest soil was significantly different (Table 3). Significantly higher available phosphorus content in soil (84.15 kg ha^{-1}) was observed in T_6 (LMH approach) followed by T_5 (package of practices). However, it was found to be on par with all the treatments of fertilizer nutrient recommendations excluding absolute control (T_7), which has significantly lower available phosphorus content (50.33 kg ha^{-1}) in soil. There was improvement in available phosphorus content after harvest of cabbage in all the treatments of various fertilizer nutrient recommendations except absolute control where there was decrease over its initial content. The significantly higher available phosphorus in LMH approach (T_6) followed by T_5 (package of practices) might be due to application of higher dose of phosphatic fertilizers ($100 \text{ kg P}_2\text{O}_5 \text{ ha}^{-1}$) and sheep manure at 25 t ha^{-1} that have led to the build-up of phosphorus in soil through mineralization process and direct application of phosphatic fertilizers. The decreased available phosphorus was recorded in absolute control (no fertilizers or sheep manure was applied) after harvest of cabbage was due to crop removal.

These results are in accordance with findings of Ashwini [9] who reported that the increased

available P in the soil after harvest of finger millet crop due to application of FYM along with chemical fertilizers. Similarly, Basavaraja *et al.* [10] revealed the higher available phosphorus in STCR- IPNS approach due to acidulation of soil by the applied organic matter which helped in solubilizing the fixed P thereby enhanced the available P. Application of nutrient doses as per LMH approach (T_6) recorded significantly higher available potassium content in soil after harvest of cabbage followed by T_5 (package of practices) and STCR integrated approach through conventional fertilizer (T_4) as compared to STCR inorganic approach including both soluble and conventional fertilizers (T_1 and T_3). However, it was found to be statistically on par with all the treatments of integrated approach of nutrient recommendations (T_2 , T_4 and T_5). In all the treatments of different fertilizer nutrient recommendations there was an improvement in the available potassium content after harvest of cabbage except in absolute control (T_7) where there was a reduction over its initial content (Table 3).

Significantly higher available potassium in soil after harvest of cabbage crop in LMH approach (T_6) followed by package of practices (T_5) was attributed to application of higher doses of potassium ($163 \text{ kg K}_2\text{O ha}^{-1}$ in T_6 and $125 \text{ kg K}_2\text{O ha}^{-1}$ in T_5) through conventional fertilizers along with 25 t ha^{-1} of sheep manure, whereas in STCR integrated approaches of nutrient recommendations through soluble and conventional fertilizers (T_2 and T_4) increased available potassium after harvest of cabbage was due to direct contributed to the pool of available potassium in soil through direct application of potassium fertilizers through soluble fertilizers and mineralisation of sheep manure. Many studies conducted in different parts of India reported the buildup of available potassium due to application of farmyard manure in combination with inorganic fertilizers. [11]. Higher K availability in soil might be due to additive effect of organic manures along with inorganic fertilizers, which increased exchangeable K by reducing K fixation, leading to increased available K [12].

Significantly higher nitrogen uptake ($145.74 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) was recorded in LMH approach (T_6) followed by STCR target of 33 t ha^{-1} through inorganic approach using soluble fertilizers (T_1) as compared to STCR target of 33 t ha^{-1} through inorganic approach using conventional fertilizers (T_3) and T_7 (absolute control). However, it was on par with all the treatments except T_3 and T_7 .

Significantly, lower uptake of nitrogen (52.42 kg ha^{-1}) was recorded in absolute control (T_7). Due to different nutrient recommendation approaches, the phosphorus uptake by cabbage crop was significantly differed among the treatments (Table 3). Significantly higher phosphorus uptake (50.02 kg ha^{-1}) was recorded in T_6 (LMH approach) followed by T_1 (STCR target of 33 t ha^{-1} through inorganic approach using soluble fertilizers) (42.91 kg ha^{-1}) as compared to STCR target of 33 t ha^{-1} through inorganic approach using conventional fertilizers (T_3), STCR target of 33 t ha^{-1} through integrated approach using conventional fertilizers (T_4) and T_7 (absolute control). Significantly, lower uptake of phosphorus (16.12 kg ha^{-1}) was noticed in absolute control (T_7). Statistically significant difference was observed with respect to potassium uptake by cabbage crop as influenced by different fertilizer nutrient recommendation approaches (Table 3). Significantly higher potassium uptake ($134.96 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) was recorded in T_1 (STCR target of 33 t ha^{-1} through inorganic approach using soluble fertilizers) followed by T_6 (LMH approach). However, it was found to be on par with all the treatments of various fertilizer recommendation approaches except absolute control (T_7) where no fertilizers or sheep manure was applied, which recorded significantly lower uptake of potassium (47.33 kg ha^{-1}).

Nutrient requirement of Cabbage:

The results of the present study shows that nutrient requirement (NR) of nitrogen was

highest (2.78 kg t^{-1}) in Absolute control (T_7) followed by LMH approach (2.33 kg t^{-1}) and lowest nutrient requirement of nitrogen was recorded in STCR targeted yield of 33 t ha^{-1} through inorganics using conventional fertilizers (T_3). Highest nutrient requirement of phosphorus (0.82 kg t^{-1}) was recorded in Absolute control (T_7) followed by LMH approach ($0.80 \text{ kg P}_2\text{O}_5 \text{ t}^{-1}$) and lowest nutrient requirement of phosphorus was recorded in STCR targeted yield of 33 t ha^{-1} through inorganics using conventional fertilizers (T_3). The nutrient requirement of potassium was found to be highest in (2.51 kg t^{-1}) in Absolute control (T_7) followed by STCR targeted yield of 33 t ha^{-1} through inorganics using soluble fertilizers (T_1) and lowest potassium requirement (1.75 kg t^{-1}) of cabbage was recorded in STCR targeted yield of 33 t ha^{-1} through inorganics using conventional fertilizers (T_3).

Cabbage yield response:

The yield response indicates the additional yield obtained over control plot due to fertilizer nutrients application through different approaches. The highest yield response of 43.71 t ha^{-1} was noticed where NPK fertilizers along with sheep manure was applied as per LMH approach followed by 43.19 t ha^{-1} in targeted yield of 33 t ha^{-1} through inorganics using soluble fertilizers (T_1). However, the lower yield response of 39.68 t ha^{-1} was recorded in STCR target of 33 t ha^{-1} through integrated approach using conventional fertilizers (T_4).

Table 4. Cabbage crop response, response yard stick and value cost ratio of cabbage crop production as influenced by various approaches of fertilizer nutrient application

Treatment details	Cabbage yield	Yield response	RYS (kg kg ⁻¹)	VCR
T_1 – STCR (Inorganics through soluble fertilizers)	62.03	43.19	130.48	1.69
T_2 - STCR (Integrated through soluble fertilizers and SM)	61.31	42.47	176.76	1.91
T_3 - STCR (Inorganics through conventional fertilizers)	59.09	40.25	121.32	13.37
T_4 - STCR (Integrated through conventional fertilizers and SM)	58.52	39.68	164.47	5.24
T_5 - Package of practices	60.42	41.58	110.89	5.09
T_6 - LMH (STL)	62.55	43.71	102.37	5.13
T_7 - Absolute control	18.84	-	-	-

Note: *STCR (Soil Test Crop Response) targeted yield of cabbage at 33 t ha^{-1} , SM: Sheep manure, LMH: Low, Medium and High, STL: Soil testing laboratory method, RYS: Response yard stick, VCR: Value cost ratio

Response yard stick (RYS):

Response yardstick indicates how efficiently the crop to get maximum economic produce utilizes the applied fertilizer nutrients in total. Yield obtained in kg per kg of NPK applied in that particular ratio of each treatment. The higher response yard stick ($176.76 \text{ kg kg}^{-1}$) was noticed in STCR target yield of 33 t ha^{-1} through integrated approach using soluble fertilizers (T_2) followed by targeted yield of 33 t ha^{-1} through integrated approach ($164.47 \text{ kg kg}^{-1}$) using conventional fertilizers (T_4). The lower RYS ($102.37 \text{ kg kg}^{-1}$) was recorded in LMH approach (T_6).

Value cost ratio (VCR):

The higher value cost ratio (VCR) of 13.37 was recorded where fertilizer nutrients were applied through STCR inorganic approach for a yield target of 33 t ha^{-1} using conventional fertilizers (T_3) followed by 5.24 in STCR target of 33 t ha^{-1} through integrated approach using conventional fertilizers (T_4). The lower value cost ratio of 1.69 was recorded in STCR inorganic approach for a yield target of 33 t ha^{-1} using soluble fertilizers (T_1).

The higher yield response obtained in LMH approach followed by STCR inorganic approach through soluble fertilizers as compared to other approaches of fertilizer recommendations was due to higher cabbage yield obtained in these approaches (Table 4). However, response yard stick (RYS) worked out was found to be higher in STCR target of 33 t ha^{-1} with integrated approach using soluble fertilizers ($176.76 \text{ kg kg}^{-1}$) followed by STCR target of 33 t ha^{-1} with integrated approach ($164.47 \text{ kg kg}^{-1}$) using conventional fertilizers.

Higher RYS in STCR target of 33 t ha^{-1} with integrated approach using both soluble and conventional fertilizers indicated that the NPK fertilizer nutrients were applied in a balanced way in right proportion and in right place as per the crop need without any nutrient losses through excessive usage and was effectively utilized by the crop to achieve the yield target as compared to other treatments. The results of this study are in accordance with Basavaraja *et al.* (2017) reported that application of NPK fertilizers were efficiently utilized by the crop under STCR approach compared to other approaches in maize crop due to balanced and precise dose of

NPK fertilizer application based on soil test and yield targets.

Value cost ratio (VCR) worked out was found to be higher (13.37) in STCR target of 33 t ha^{-1} through inorganic approach using conventional fertilizers (T_3) followed by STCR target of 33 t ha^{-1} through integrated approach using conventional fertilizers (T_4). This higher VCR in these treatments was mainly associated with lower levels of NPK fertilizer application (conventional fertilizers) and no sheep manure application resulted in higher yields. Even though higher yields were recorded in STCR integrated and inorganic approaches, using soluble fertilizers the VCR was lower mainly due to high cost of soluble fertilizers and sheep manure. These results are in accordance with Basavaraja *et al.* (2017) in finger millet crop, reported higher VCR in STCR inorganic approach over integrated approach due to high cost of FYM. Government of India provided subsidy for conventional fertilizers (Urea, SSP and MOP) whereas soluble fertilizers did not receive any subsidy from government despite of its higher nutrient use efficiency. It is one of the reason for high VCR in conventional fertilizers applied plots under inorganic and integrated approach as compared to STCR soluble fertilizer treatments under inorganic and integrated approach.

4. CONCLUSION

The fertilizer recommendations based on STCR approach for specific targeted yield of cabbage through fertigation using soluble fertilizers under integrated approach provides balanced way of supplying water and nutrients without any excessive or under usage of fertilizer nutrients without compromising on yield. Value cost ratio was found higher (13.37) in STCR inorganic approach using conventional fertilizers followed by STCR integrated approach using conventional fertilizers. Hence, this approach can be used successfully to maintain soil sustainability and achieving higher productivity.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. KANWAR JS. Soil testing service in India-retrospect and prospect. Proceedings. International Symposium on Soil Fertility

- Evaluation, New Delhi, India. 1971;1:103-113.
2. FAO. Statistical database Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy; 2000.
 3. Sundaresh R, Basavaraja PK, Chikkarammappa T, Saqeebulla M, Gangamrutha GV. Response of growth, yield attributes and yield of cabbage (*Brassica oleraceae* var. *capitata*) to different approaches of fertilizer recommendation in eastern dry zone of Karnataka, India. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2019;8(6):645-649.
 4. Thakur S, Sharma AK, Thakur K, Sharma S, Gudeta K, Hashem A, Avila-Quezada GD, Moubayed NM, Abd_Allah EF. Differential responses to integrated nutrient management of cabbage–capsicum–radish cropping sequence with fertilizers and plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Agronomy. 2023;13(7):1789.
 5. Qi Y, Wu Z, Zhou R, Hou X, Yu L, Cao Y, Jiang F. Nitrogen reduction with bio-organic fertilizer altered soil microorganisms, improved yield and quality of non-heading Chinese cabbage (*Brassica campestris* ssp. *chinensis* Makino). Agronomy. 2022;12(6):1437.
 6. Ramamoorthy B, Narasimham RL, Dinesh RS. Fertilizer application for specific yield targets of sonara-64 wheat. Indian Farm. 1967;17(5):43-45.
 7. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis, Prentice Hall of India, Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. 1973;498.
 8. Harpal S, Pradeep KB, Joginder S, Pawan K. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata*) and soil fertility. J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem. 2018;7(2):1767-1769.
 9. Ashwini Y. Evaluation of STCR targeted yield approach on ragi crop yield, soil properties, nutrient uptake and nutrient use efficiency. M.Sc (Agri.) Thesis (Unpub.), Univ. Agric. Sci., Bengaluru; 2007.
 10. Basavaraja PK, Saqeebulla MH, Dey P, Patil S. Evaluation of different approaches of fertilizer recommendation on finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L) yield, nutrient requirement and economics. Int. J. Farm Sci. 2017;7(2):102-107.
 11. Apoorva KB. STCR approach for optimizing integrated plant nutrient supply for ragi (*Eleusine coracana* L. Garten.) productivity in Alfisols. M.Sc. (Agri) Thesis (Unpub.), Univ. Agric. Sci., Bengaluru; 2008.
 12. Ramachandrappa BK, Sathish A, Dhanapal GN, Balakrishna reddy PC, Shankar MA, Srikanth babu PN. Potassium nutrition on yield and economics of rainfed finger millet in eastern dry zone of Karnataka. Indian J. Soil Cons. 2014;42(2):188-195.

© 2023 Sundaresh and Basavaraja; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/101788>