



The Role of Gut Microbiota-Derived Lithocholic Acid, Deoxycholic Acid and Their Derivatives on the Function and Differentiation of Immune Cells

Yoshimitsu Kiriyama ^{1,2,*} and Hiromi Nochi ¹

- Kagawa School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Tokushima Bunri University, Sanuki 769-2193, Japan; nochi@kph.bunri-u.ac.jp
- ² Institute of Neuroscience, Tokushima Bunri University, Sanuki 769-2193, Japan
- * Correspondence: kiriyamay@kph.bunri-u.ac.jp; Tel.: +81-87-899-7100; Fax: +81-87-894-0181

Abstract: A wide variety and large number of bacterial species live in the gut, forming the gut microbiota. Gut microbiota not only coexist harmoniously with their hosts, but they also induce significant effects on each other. The composition of the gut microbiota can be changed due to environmental factors such as diet and antibiotic intake. In contrast, alterations in the composition of the gut microbiota have been reported in a variety of diseases, including intestinal, allergic, and autoimmune diseases and cancer. The gut microbiota metabolize exogenous dietary components ingested from outside the body to produce short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) and amino acid metabolites. Unlike SCFAs and amino acid metabolites, the source of bile acids (BAs) produced by the gut microbiota is endogenous BAs from the liver. The gut microbiota metabolize BAs to generate secondary bile acids, such as lithocholic acid (LCA), deoxycholic acid (DCA), and their derivatives, which have recently been shown to play important roles in immune cells. This review focuses on current knowledge of the role of LCA, DCA, and their derivatives on immune cells.

Keywords: bile acids; DCA; LCA; isoalloLCA; isoDCA; FXR; RORγt; NR4A1; FOXP3; TGR5; Th1; Th17; Treg

1. Introduction

The mucous membranes of the oral cavity, stomach, gut, and skin form the boundary between the inside and outside of the human body. These mucosal and skin surfaces are inhabited by numerous bacteria [1,2]. In particular, a wide variety and large number of bacterial species live in the gut and form the gut microbiota. The estimated number of bacterial cells in the human body is approximately 3.8×10^{13} [1]. Furthermore, the estimated number of human cells is approximately 3×10^{13} . Thus, the number of bacteria in the human body is equivalent to the number of human cells. In addition, the total mass of bacteria in the human body is estimated to be approximately 0.2 kg [1]. Although a wide variety of bacteria are present in the human gut microbiota, the predominant bacteria are Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, Actinomycetes, Proteobacteria, and Velcomicrobia at the phylum level. Moreover, the environments of the small and large intestines are significantly different, with varying types of intestinal bacteria inhabiting each part. More specifically, the predominant bacterial families in the small intestine are Lactobacillaceae and Enterobacteriaceae, while those in the colon are Bacteroidaceae, Prevotellaceae, Rikenellaceae, Lachnospiraceae, and Ruminococcaceae [3]. Human gut microbiota and humans not only coexist, but they also influence each other. The composition of the gut microbiota in humans can be affected by environmental factors such as diet and antibiotic intake. The development of metagenomic analysis of the gut microbiota has allowed researchers to perform comparative analyses of the gut microbiota between healthy and diseased individuals, who have in turn identified a correlation between various diseases and changes in the gut microbiota composition.



Citation: Kiriyama, Y.; Nochi, H. The Role of Gut Microbiota-Derived Lithocholic Acid, Deoxycholic Acid and Their Derivatives on the Function and Differentiation of Immune Cells. *Microorganisms* 2023, 11, 2730. https://doi.org/10.3390/ microorganisms11112730

Academic Editor: Jason Ridlon

Received: 31 August 2023 Revised: 24 October 2023 Accepted: 7 November 2023 Published: 8 November 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). For instance, alterations in the composition of the gut microbiota have been reported in a variety of diseases, including intestinal diseases (e.g., inflammatory bowel disease and colorectal cancer), liver diseases (e.g., nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and liver cancer), systemic metabolic diseases (e.g., diabetes and atherosclerosis), immune-related diseases (e.g., allergic and autoimmune diseases), and neuropsychiatric diseases (e.g., Alzheimer's and depression) [4–6]. In addition, changes in the composition of gut microbiota have been associated with the persistence of symptoms in many patients with post-coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) symptoms, also known as acute post-COVID-19 syndrome (PACS) or long-term COVID [7–9].

However, various metabolites produced by the activity of the gut microbiota have also been found to affect their respective hosts. The gut microbiota metabolize exogenous dietary components ingested from outside the body to produce short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), such as propionic acid, butyric acid, and acetic acid, and amino acid metabolites, such as serotonin (5-HT), dopamine, histamine, and gamma-amino butyric acid (GABA). These SCFAs have a variety of important physiological and pathological roles [10,11]. In addition, 5-HT, dopamine, histamine, and GABA, which are involved in neurotransmission, are produced by the gut microbiota as amino acid metabolites [12,13].

Unlike SCFAs and amino acid metabolites stemming from diets, bile acids (BAs) are synthesized from cholesterol in the liver (Figure 1). The newly produced BAs in the liver are called primary BAs, and chenodeoxycholic acid (CDCA) and cholic acid (CA) are the major primary BAs in humans [14,15]. In addition to these two BAs, previous research has shown that muricholic acids and hyocholic acids are produced in mice [15,16] and pigs [17], respectively. The gut microbiota metabolize these primary BAs to produce secondary bile acids, such as lithocholic acid (LCA), deoxycholic acid (DCA), and ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) [18–20], which play important roles in mitochondria and autophagy at the cellular level [21,22]. Furthermore, they are physiologically and pathologically important to the host as well as to the composition of the gut microbiota [14,23]. In particular, among secondary BAs, LCA, DCA, and their derivatives have recently been shown to have a significant effect on immune cells [24–30]. This review focuses on current knowledge of the role of LCA, DCA, and their derivatives on immune cells.



Figure 1. Modification of exogenous dietary components and endogenous bile acids by the gut microbiota. The gut microbiota metabolize exogenous dietary components ingested from outside the body to produce short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), such as propionic acid, butyric acid, and acetic acid,

and amino acid metabolites, such as serotonin (5-HT), dopamine, histamine, and gamma-amino butyric acid (GABA). The gut microbiota also metabolize endogenous bile acids (BAs). Cholesterol is converted to cholic acid (CA) or chenodeoxycholic acid (CDCA) in the liver. These newly produced BAs in the liver are called primary BAs, which are then conjugated with glycine or taurine (G/T). Conjugated primary BAs are secreted into the intestine upon food intake, and they are subsequently deconjugated and then converted to secondary BAs. Approximately 95% of BA in the intestine is reabsorbed and transported to the liver, while the remaining BA is excreted in the feces. A portion of BA reabsorbed from the intestine is transferred to the systemic circulation.

2. LCA, DCA, and Their Derivatives from Gut Microbiota

Primary BAs, such as CDCA and CA, are primarily synthesized in the liver from cholesterol via two synthetic pathways, namely the classical (or neutral) pathway and the alternative (or acidic) pathway [14,31]. More than 16 enzymes, including cytochrome P450 7A1 (CYP7A1), CYP8B1, and CYP27A1, are involved in primary BA synthesis in the liver [32,33]. Primary BAs are then conjugated with glycine or taurine by bile acid-CoA amino acid N-acyltransferase in the liver [34], and they are transferred to hepatic bile canaliculi via the bile salt export pump or multidrug resistance-associated protein 2. Consequently, BAs in the gallbladder are secreted into the small intestine in response to food intake [35,36], whereas glycine or taurineconjugated BAs are deconjugated by bile salt hydrolases (BSHs) in gut bacteria (Figure 2) [37]. Various gut bacteria in the ileum and colon, including Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium, Enterococcus, Clostridium, and Bacteroides spp., have been shown to possess BSH enzyme activity [38–43]. Lachnoclostridium scindens (formerly Clostridium scindens), Peptacetobacter hiranonis (formerly Clostridium hiranonis), and Lachnoclostridum hylemonae (formerly Clostridium hylemonae) can 7α -dehydroxylation of BAs. 7α -dehydroxylation of the CDCA and CA is carried away by enzymes encoded in the bile acid-inducible (*bai*) operon to produce 3-oxo- Δ^4 -LCA and 3- ∞ o- Δ^4 -DCA, respectively [19,20,44]. Both 3-xo- Δ^4 -LCA and 3-oxo- Δ^4 -DCA are intracellular intermediates that are not released in appreciable amounts into the environment.

There are both direct and indirect pathways to produce alloBAs, which are essentially BAs with a flat shape due to the trans-orientation of the A and B rings of BAs [45]. On the one hand, the direct pathway is conducted by a single bacterial strain, while on the other hand, the indirect pathway is carried out by multiple bacterial strains [19,45]. In the direct pathway, 5α -reductase and BaiA1 (3α -hydroxy bile acid-CoA-ester 3-dehydrogenase 1) convert 3-oxo- Δ^4 -LCA and 3-oxo- Δ^4 -DCA to alloLCA and alloDCA, respectively. AlloDCA is subsequently converted to isoalloDCA by 3α -HSDH and 3β -HSDH in Lachnoclostridium scindens. In addition, isoalloLCA can be indirectly produced from 3-oxo-LCA by eleven bacterial genera, namely Bacillus, Bacteroides, Bifidobacterium, Catenibacterium, Collinsella, Eggerthella, Lachnospira, Lactobacillus, Parabacteroides, Peptoniphilus, and Mediterraneibacter [29]. Moreover, a mixture of *Lachnoclostridium scindens*, which can produce LCA from CDCA [46], Eggerthella lenta, which can produce 3-oxo-LCA from LCA [47], and Parabacteroides merdae, which can produce isoalloLCA from 3-oxo-LCA [29] can synthesize isoalloLCA from LCA. In addition, 5β -reductase, 5α -reductase, and 3β -HSDH enzymes can synthesize isoalloLCA from 3-oxo-LCA, while 3-oxo- Δ^4 -LCA can be produced from 3-oxo-LCA by 5 β -reductase. 3-oxo-alloLCA is then produced from 3-oxo- Δ^4 -LCA by 5 α -reductase. IsoalloLCA is produced from 3-oxo-alloLCA by 3 β -HSDH [29,48]. 5 α -reductase converts 3-oxo- Δ^4 -DCA to 3-oxo-DCA and 5 β -reductase converts 3-oxo- Δ^4 -DCA to 3-oxo-alloDCA. 3 α -HSDH converts 3-oxo-DCA and 3-oxo-alloDCA to DCA and alloDCA, respectively [19,45]. IsoDCA and isoLCA are generated from DCA and LCA by 3β -HSDH, respectively [20]. The chemical structures of LCA, DCA, and their derivatives are shown in Figure 3.

Approximately 95% of BA in the intestine is reabsorbed and transported to the liver, and the remainder is excreted in the feces. LCA can be sulfonated and converted to LCA 3-sulfate (LCA-3-S) by sulformasferase in the liver [49,50].



Figure 2. The metabolism of bile acids by gut microbiota to produce LCA, DCA, and their derivatives. In the intestine, glycine or taurine-conjugated primary BAs (CDCA and CA) are deconjugated by gut bacteria. CDCA and CA are then converted to 3-oxo- Δ^4 -LCA and 3-oxo- Δ^4 -DCA, respectively. (a) 3-oxo- Δ^4 -LCA and 3-oxo- Δ^4 -DCA are converted to 3-oxoLCA and 3-oxoDCA, respectively, which are then converted to LCA and DCA, respectively. There are direct (b) and indirect (c) pathways to produce alloBAs. The direct pathway is conducted by a single bacterial strain, while the indirect pathway is carried out by multiple bacterial strains. In the direct pathway (b), 3-oxo- Δ^4 -LCA and 3-oxo- Δ^4 -DCA are converted to alloLCA and alloDCA, respectively, which are in turn converted to isoalloLCA and isoalloDCA, respectively. In the indirect pathway (c), 3-oxo- Δ^4 -LCA and 3-oxo- Δ^4 -DCA, respectively. 3-oxo- Δ^4 -DCA are then converted to alloBAs.



Figure 3. Chemical structures of LCA, DCA, and their derivatives.

3. Role of LCA, DCA, and Their Derivatives on Immune cells

3.1. Antigen-Presenting Cells and Helper T Cell Subset

A cluster of differentiation (cd) molecules are extracellular proteins of T cells. T cells are broadly classified into helper T (Th) cells, which express CD4, and cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs), which express CD8. T cells that have just emerged from the thymus to peripheral tissues that have not yet encountered antigens are called naïve T cells. The differentiation of naïve Th CD4⁺ cells into various Th cell subsets begins when naïve CD4⁺ Th cells encounter antigen-presenting cells (APCs), such as dendritic cells (DCs) or macrophages. The subsets of CD4⁺ Th cells can be classified according to the extracellular marker proteins, transcription factors, nuclear receptors, and cytokines that they produce [51]. CD4⁺ Th cells are activated by the interaction between APC and naïve CD4⁺ Th cells, a process comprising a major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II molecule on an APC, which interacts with a T cell receptor on a naïve CD4⁺ Th cell. The MHC in humans is referred to as human leukocyte antigen (HLA), and HLA class II molecules consist of HLA-DP, HLA-DQ, and HLA-DR [52]. Naïve CD4⁺ Th cells can be differentiated into various CD4⁺ Th cell subsets via their interaction with APCs [53–55]. However, in addition to antigen presentation and recognition, T cell activation also requires co-stimulatory signaling. These co-stimulatory signaling pathways, also known as immune checkpoint pathways, are essential for the interactions between APCs and T cells, which subsequently facilitate the activation, proliferation, and differentiation of a naïve CD4⁺ Th cell. CD40, CD80, and CD86 are co-stimulatory molecules that provide co-stimulatory signals between APCs and CD4⁺ Th cells. CD40, also known as TNF Receptor Superfamily Member 5, is expressed on APCs, and its ligand is CD40 ligand (CD40L), also known as CD154, TNF ligand superfamily member 5, or TNF-related activation protein, is expressed on CD4⁺ Th cells. In addition, CD80, also known as B7-1, and CD86, also known as B7-2, are members of the B7 family of checkpoint proteins expressed on APCs, and their specific ligand is CD28 [56,57]. In addition, specific cytokines, which are secreted by DCs and other cells, induce stable expression of transcription factors and nuclear receptors (master regulators) that determine the differentiation of naïve T cells into specific Th subsets. CD4⁺ Th cell subsets include T helper 1 (Th1) [58], Th2 [58], Th9 [59,60], Th17 [61], Th22 [62], follicular helper T (Tfh) [63,64], and T-regulatory (Treg) cells [65,66]. LCA, DCA, and their derivatives have been shown to play crucial roles in influencing APCs (DCs and macrophages) (Table 1) and CD4⁺ Th cell subsets (Th1, Th17, and Treg cells) (Table 2). Essentially, APCs, Th1 cells, and Th17 cells promote immune responses, while Treg suppresses their responses [67].

Antigen-Presenting Cells	Bile Acids That Affect Antigen-Presenting Cells	Receptors for Bile Acids	Effects of Bile Acids
Dendritic cells	DCA, LCA	TGR5, FXR	Inductin of Th1 and Th17 differentiation
Macrophages	1SODCA LCA, TLCA	TGR5	Cytokine and chemokine secretion

Table 1. Bile acids and antigen-presenting cells.

T Cell Subsets	Differentiation Regulators	Characteristic Cytokines	Functions	Bile Acids That Affect T Cell Differentiation	Receptors for Bile Acids	Effects of Bile Acids
Th1	T-bet, STAT1, STAT4	IL-2, TNFα, IFNγ	cellular immunity, elimination of intracellular parasites	LCA	VDR	Th1 differentiation
Th17	RORγt, STAT3	IL-17A, IL-17F, IL-22	host defense against extracellular pathogens, barrier protection	3-oxoLCA, LCA-3-S	RORyt	Th17 differentiation
Treg	FoxP3, STAT5	IL-10, IL-35, TGF-β	suppressing immune responses, maintaining immune tolerance	isoallo LCA	NR4A1	Treg differentiation

3.2. Role of LCA, DCA, and Their Derivatives on DCs

Feeding diets containing DCA and LCA have been shown to reduce Th1 cells, Th17 cells, and CD11c⁺ MHC class II high DCs in the spleen of experimental autoimmune uveitis model mice. Moreover, DCA has been found to inhibit the secretion of proinflammatory cytokines, such as IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-12, IL-23, and TNF- α , from lipopolysaccharide (LPS)activated DCs [28]. DCA also inhibits the expression of CD40, CD80, CD86, and MHC class II in mouse DCs, but also the expression of CD40, CD86, and HLA-DR, which is a HLA class II molecule, in human DCs [28]. Although LPS-activated DCs induce the differentiation of naïve CD4⁺ T cells into Th1s or Th17s, DCA treatment impedes Th1 and Th17 differentiation [27]. In addition, DCA induces Takeda G protein receptor 5 (TGR5), also known as G protein-coupled bile acid receptor 1 (GPBAR1) or membranetype receptor for bile acids (M-BAR), in LPS-activated DCs and inhibits the activation of nuclear factor-KB (NF-KB) via activation of TGR5 [27]. Thus, suppression of NF-KB activity via TGR5 activation by DCA may inhibit the expression of proinflammatory cytokines, such as IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-12, and TNF- α , and cell surface markers, including CD40, CD80, CD86, MHC class II, and HLA-DR, in DCs (Figure 4) [27]. Moreover, LCA induces TGR5 and farnesoid X receptor (FXR), also known as NR1H4 [68], in DCs and inhibits the expression of proinflammatory cytokines, such as IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-12, IL-23, and TNF- α , cell surface markers, including CD40, CD80, CD86, and MHC class II, via TGR5 in DCs. In addition, LCA-treated DCs impede the differentiation of naïve CD4⁺ Th cells into Th1 or Th17. In contrast, LCA inhibits the expression of proinflammatory cytokines via FXR. However, TGR5-mediated suppression of inflammatory cytokine expression by LCA is more potent than FXR-mediated suppression of inflammatory cytokine expression by LCA (Figure 4) [28].



Figure 4. Role of LCA, DCA, and their derivatives on immune cells. Dendritic cells (DCs): DCA inhibits DC activity via TGR5, which in turn impedes the expression of proinflammatory cytokines, such as IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-12, and TNF- α , and differentiation of naïve CD4⁺ Th cells into Th1 and Th17. LCA inhibits the expression of proinflammatory cytokines via TGR5 and FXR, and LCA-treated DCs reduce the differentiation of naïve CD4⁺ Th cells into Th1 or Th17 cells. In contrast, isoDCA induces Treg differentiation in the presence of DCs via FXRs in DCs. Macrophages (M φ): LCA and taurine-conjugated LCA (TLCA) inhibit the expression of proinflammatory cytokines via TGR5. TLCA also inhibits the expression of chemokines, such as CCL2, CCL 3, CCL4, CCL5, CXCL9, and CXCL10 from macrophages via TGR5. In addition, LCA decreases the expression of hepatic M1 macrophages, which are responsible for the manifestation of proinflammatory effects, and increases hepatic M2 macrophages, which are responsible for anti-inflammatory effects. Th1 cells: LCA inhibits the differentiation of Jurkat cells into Th1 cells by inhibiting T-bet expression. In addition to VDR, this inhibition may involve other receptors (?) as well. Th17 cells: 3-oxoLCA and LCA 3-sulfate (LCA-3-S) inhibit the transcription activity of RORyt and Th17 differentiation with reduced IL-17a production. Treg cells: Human FOXP3 and mouse Foxp3 gene promoter regions are located in region of approximately 500 base pairs (bp) upstream of the transcription start site (TSS). In addition to the FOXP3 promoter region, the conserved noncoding sequences (CNSs), CNS0, CNS1, CNS2, and CNS3, contribute to the promotion of FOXP3 gene expression. IsoalloLCA increases mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (mtROS) levels, which in turn increases acetylated histone 3 lysine 27 (H3K27Ac) in the promoter region of the *FoxP3* gene, leading to the induction of the *FoxP3* gene. In addition, the increase in H3K27Ac levels in the promoter region by isoalloLCA is dependent on the presence of CNS3. IsoalloLCA also induces Foxp3 expression and Treg differentiation via NR4A1, and NR4A1 binds to 00 bp upstream of the Foxp3 transcriptional start site.

IsoDCA leads to CD4⁺ T cell differentiation into Tregs in the presence of DCs, which, although dependent on FXR in the DCs, does not depend on naïve CD4⁺ Th cells. Despite the fact that the detailed mechanism by which isoDCA induces Treg differentiation is unknown, it is believed that isoDCA induces Treg cells by repressing genes whose expression is regulated by FXR in DCs (Figure 4) [24].

3.3. Role of LCA, DCA, and Their Derivatives on Macropahges

LCA and DCA both inhibit the secretion of TNF α from human macrophages, which are differentiated from human monocytes by the macrophage colony-stimulating factor and IFN- γ [69]. Furthermore, LCA significantly inhibits IL-1 β secretion from mouse macrophages activated by nigericin, which induces IL-1 β and IL-18 secretion via the Nod-Like Receptor family pyrin domain containing 3 (NLRP3) inflammasome [70,71] and LPS. The inhibition of IL-1 β secretion by LCA and taurine-conjugated LCA (TLCA) is mediated by TGR5 (Figure 4) [25]. In contrast, DCA and taurine-conjugated DCA (TDCA) induce IL-1 β secretion from mouse and human macrophages activated only by LPS [72]. TLCA also inhibits the expression of cytokines, such as IL-12 and TNF α , from LPS-activated human macrophages and also the expression of chemokines, CCL2, CCL 3, CCL4, CCL5, CXCL9, and CXCL10 from LPS-activated human macrophages. Downregulation of these chemokines by TLCA is regulated via the PKA pathway, which is likely activated by TGR5, but not the EPAC pathway (Figure 4). In addition, TLCA inhibits the migration of human NK cells to LPS-activated human macrophages [73].

Oral administration of LCA in mice changes the population of hepatic Kupffer cells (KCs), which are intraperitoneally injected with carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄). Furthermore, LCA decreases hepatic M1 macrophages and increases hepatic M2 macrophages, which are responsible for the development of proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory effects, respectively (Figure 4) [74].

3.4. Role of LCA and DCA on Th1 Cells

Th1 cells mainly secrete interleukin-2 (IL-2), tumor necrosis factor α (TNF- α), and interferon (IFN)- γ , and they are involved in cellular immunity and the elimination of intracellular parasites. T-bet, also known as T-box transcription factor 21, signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 (STAT1), and STAT4 are involved in the differentiation of naïve CD4⁺ Th cells into Th1 cells and sustention to be Th1 cells. T-bet is considered a master regulator of Th1 cells [75].

LCA inhibits the expression of proinflammatory cytokines, such as IFN γ and TNF α , in Jurkat cells (human immortalized T lymphocyte cells) activated by phorbol myristate acetate (PMA)/ionomycin, mouse naïve CD4⁺ Th cells activated by PMA/ionomycin, and human naïve CD4⁺ Th cells activated by the CD3/CD28/CD137-coated Dynabeads T-activator [76]. LCA also impedes the differentiation of Jurkat cells into Th1 cells by inhibiting STAT1 and T-bet expression. These transcription factors are responsible for the differentiation of CD4 Th cells into Th1 cells and for IFN γ induction [77,78]. Jurkat cells express certain receptors for BAs, namely S1PR2, PXR, and VDR. VDR knockdown by small interfering RNA (siRNA) results in partial rescue from IFN γ and T-bet inhibition in Jurkat cells activated by PMA/ionomycin. S1PR2 activates kinases, including extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1 (ERK1) and ERK2, by phosphorylation [30]. However, LCA inhibits the phosphorylation of both ERK1 and ERK2 [76]. Thus, the inhibition of differentiation into Th1 cells by LCA may involve other receptors along with VDR (Figure 4). In addition, LCA and DCA decrease the spleen weight of mice and also decrease the number of Th1 and Th17 cells in the spleen [27].

3.5. Role of LCA, DCA, and Their Derivatives on Th17 Cells

Th17 cells mainly secrete IL-17A, IL-17F, and IL-22. Th17 cells play crucial roles in host defense against extracellular pathogens, particularly in mucosal and epithelial barriers. In contrast, abnormal activation of Th17 cells is associated with various autoimmune diseases, such as Crohn's disease, rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis, and multiple sclerosis [79]. Retinoid-related orphan receptor gamma t (ROR γ t) and STAT3 are involved in the differentiation of naïve CD4⁺ Th cells into Th17 cells and the sustention to be Th17 cells. ROR γ t is considered a master regulator of Th17 cells. The differentiation of Th17 cells in the intestine is mediated by gut bacteria and their metabolites, such as secondary BAs and SCFAs [80–82]. 3-Oxo-LCA binds to ROR γ t, inhibiting its transcription activity. In addition, 3-oxo-LCA selectively inhibits Th17 differentiation with reduced IL-17a production. In contrast, the binding affinity of structurally similar BAs, 3-oxo-CA and 3-oxo-DCA, is approximately 20-fold weaker than that of 3-oxo-LCA. In addition, 3-oxo-CA and 3-oxo-DCA slightly inhibit differentiation into Th17 cells. Therefore, 3-oxo-LCA can inhibit Th17 differentiation by binding to and inhibiting ROR γ t (Figure 4). In contrast, 3-oxo-LCA has no effect on Th1 or Th2 differentiation [26]. It has been recently reported that the binding ability of LCA 3-sulfate (LCA-3-S) to ROR γ t is more potent than that of 3-oxo-LCA. Moreover, LCA-3-S inhibits Th17 differentiation via binding to ROR γ t (Figure 4). However, LCA-3-S has no effect on the differentiation of Th1, Th2, and Treg cells [83].

3.6. Role of LCA, DCA, and Their Derivatives on Treg Cells

Tregs mainly secrete suppressive cytokines, such as IL-10, IL-35, and transforming growth factor- β , and play important roles in suppressing immune responses as well as establishing and maintaining immune tolerance. There are three types of Tregs, namely thymus-derived Tregs (tTregs), peripherally derived Tregs (pTregs), and in vitro-induced Tregs (iTregs). Forkhead box P3 (FoxP3), which is a transcription factor and a master regulator of Tregs, and STAT5 are involved in the differentiation of naïve CD4⁺ Th cells into Tregs and the sustention to be Tregs. Tregs suppress immune responses by secreting suppressive cytokines, directly suppressing effector T cells, which are activated Th cells and CTLs, starvation of cytokines from T effector cells, such as IL-2, and modulating maturation and function of APCs [84]. The induction of differentiation to Tregs in the gut is essential for the establishment of immune tolerance to gut bacteria, a symbiotic relationship between host and gut bacteria, and maintaining the gut microbiota. In addition, the induction of Tregs in the gut is essential for facilitating tolerance against food antigens.

IsoalloLCA selectively upregulates the expression of FoxP3 via the induction of FoxP3 mRNA in mouse naïve CD4⁺ Th cells (Figure 4) [26,29]. However, other LCA derivatives, such as isoLCA and alloLCA, have not been found to enhance FoxP3 expression in mouse naïve CD4⁺ Th cells [26]. 3-oxo-alloLCA, which is an intermediate metabolite that produces isoalloLCA from CDCA, can weakly induce FoxP3 expression and differentiation into Treg cells [29]. Human FOXP3 and mouse Foxp3 gene promoter regions are located in a region of approximately 500 base pairs (bp) upstream of the transcription start site (TSS) [85,86]. In addition to the FOXP3 promoter region, the conserved noncoding sequences (CNSs), CNS0, CNS1, CNS2, and CNS3, contribute to the promotion of *FOXP3* gene expression. CNS0 is located upstream of the promoter region and functions as an inducer and stabilizer of FOXP3 gene expression. CNS1 and CNS2 are located between TSS and exon 1 of the FOXP3 gene, and they are responsible for FOXP3 gene induction and stabilizing FOXP3 gene expression, respectively. In contrast, CNS3 is located in the first intron of the FOXP3 gene, and it is responsible for the induction of FOXP3 gene expression [87–92]. Acetylated histone 3 lysine 27 (H3K27Ac) is an active epigenetic modification of histone H3, which has been found to increase in the promoter region, CNS1, CNS 2, and CNS 3 in Treg cells. The increase in H3K27Ac levels in the promoter region depends on the presence of CNS3 [93]. Although isoalloLCA treatment induces FoxP3 without any CNS1 and CNS 2 requirement, CNS3 is essential for FoxP3 induction by isoalloLCA [26]. Despite the fact that isoalloLCA treatment has no effect on cytoplasmic ROS levels, it induces the production of mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (mtROS), and differentiation into Treg cells by isoalloLCA is dependent on mtROS. In addition, mtROS induced by isoalloLCA are responsible for the increased levels of H3K27Ac in the *FoxP3* promoter region. Thus, isoalloLCA increases mtROS levels, which in turn increases H3K27Ac in the promoter region of the FoxP3 gene, leading to the induction of the FoxP3 gene (Figure 4). In addition, isoalloLCA has been shown to have no effect on the differentiation of naïve CD4⁺ Th cells into Th1 or Th2 cells [26]. The NGFI-B-responsive element (NBRE, AAAGGTCA, or TGACCTTT) is the nuclear receptor subfamily 4 group A (NR4A) family-binding site [94,95]. NBREs were identified by Assay for Transposase-Accessible Chromatin with high-throughput sequencing (ATAC-seq) when

naïve CD4⁺ T cells were treated with isoalloLCA to induce Treg differentiation. The NR4A family consists of NR4A1, also known as NUR77 or nerve growth factor-induced-B (NGFI-B) [29], NR4A2, also known as NURR1 [96], and NR4A3, also known as neuron-derived orphan receptor 1 [97]. IsoalloLCA induces Foxp3 expression and Treg differentiation via NR4A1, as opposed to NR4A2 or NR4A3, and NR4A1 binds to 500 bp upstream of the *Foxp3* transcriptional start site, leading to the expression of Foxp3 (Figure 4) [29].

4. Concluding Remarks

LCA, DCA, and their derivatives are produced by the gut microbiota and function as signaling molecules that regulate immune cells, including DCs, macrophages, Th1 cells, Th17 cells, and Tregs, whose activities are directly associated with immune responses. The potential imbalance among immune cells is related to the development of various diseases, such as autoimmune and metabolic disorders [67,79]. Therefore, LCA, DCA, and their derivatives influence, either positively or negatively, the manifestation of diseases related to immune responses. Centenarians have unique gut bacteria that produce LCA derivatives, such as iso-, 3-oxo-, allo-, 3-oxo-allo-, and isoalloLCA. Thus, it is considered that longevity could be associated with BAs [48]. Senescent cells are a cause of age-related inflammation, and they are considered responsible for a variety of age-related diseases. Recent studies have shown that senescent cells disproportionally express programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1), which is a ligand of the programmed cell death 1 (PD-1) immune checkpoint molecule, while senescent cells expressing PD-L1 accumulate with age. Senescent cells expressing PD-L1 are not eliminated because PD-L1 allows them to escape immune surveillance from CD8⁺ T cells [98]. PD-L1 expression is regulated by a variety of transcription factors and nuclear receptors, of which NF-kB is a major inducer of PD-L1 expression [56,99]. DCA inhibits the activation of NF- κ B via triggering TGR5. In contrast, FXR activation increases PD-L1 expression [100]. Therefore, it is considered that BAs that have the ability to bind to TGR5 and/or FXR may not only regulate PD-L1 expression but also immune responses and senescence. Nuclear receptors and G proteincoupled receptors (GPCR) include orphan receptors whose endogenous ligands have not been identified yet [97,101]. BAs and their derivatives have a steroid structure, which is similar to the structure shown by various ligands for nuclear receptors. Moreover, BAs and their derivatives also act as ligands for GPCRs, such as TGR5. In addition, LCA, DCA, and their derivatives are ligands of orphan nuclear receptors, such as ROR γ t, NR4A1, and NR4A2 [97]. Therefore, it is essential to reevaluate the metabolites of BAs from the gut microbiota because they may act as ligands for various nuclear and cell surface receptors. Because microorganisms and their metabolites are closely related to human health and longevity, further investigations on microorganisms and their metabolism are highly expected.

Author Contributions: Y.K. conceptualized and wrote this manuscript. H.N. revised the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The work was supported by Tokushima Bunri University.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Sender, R.; Fuchs, S.; Milo, R. Revised Estimates for the Number of Human and Bacteria Cells in the Body. *PLoS Biol.* 2016, 14, e1002533. [CrossRef]
- Dekaboruah, E.; Suryavanshi, M.V.; Chettri, D.; Verma, A.K. Human microbiome: An academic update on human body site specific surveillance and its possible role. *Arch. Microbiol.* 2020, 202, 2147–2167. [CrossRef]
- Donaldson, G.P.; Lee, S.M.; Mazmanian, S.K. Gut biogeography of the bacterial microbiota. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2016, 14, 20–32. [CrossRef]
- Mishra, K.; Bukavina, L.; Ghannoum, M. Symbiosis and Dysbiosis of the Human Mycobiome. *Front. Microbiol.* 2021, 12, 636131. [CrossRef]

- 5. Hou, K.; Wu, Z.X.; Chen, X.Y.; Wang, J.Q.; Zhang, D.; Xiao, C.; Zhu, D.; Koya, J.B.; Wei, L.; Li, J.; et al. Microbiota in health and diseases. *Signal Transduct. Target Ther.* **2022**, *7*, 135. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, M.; Chu, J.; Feng, S.; Guo, C.; Xue, B.; He, K.; Li, L. Immunological mechanisms of inflammatory diseases caused by gut microbiota dysbiosis: A review. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 2023, 164, 114985. [CrossRef]
- Liu, Q.; Su, Q.; Zhang, F.; Tun, H.M.; Mak, J.W.Y.; Lui, G.C.; Ng, S.S.S.; Ching, J.Y.L.; Li, A.; Lu, W.; et al. Multi-kingdom gut microbiota analyses define COVID-19 severity and post-acute COVID-19 syndrome. *Nat. Commun.* 2022, 13, 6806. [CrossRef]
- 8. Zhang, F.; Lau, R.I.; Liu, Q.; Su, Q.; Chan, F.K.L.; Ng, S.C. Gut microbiota in COVID-19: Key microbial changes, potential mechanisms and clinical applications. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **2023**, *20*, 323–337. [CrossRef]
- 9. Wang, B.; Zhang, L.; Wang, Y.; Dai, T.; Qin, Z.; Zhou, F.; Zhang, L. Alterations in microbiota of patients with COVID-19: Potential mechanisms and therapeutic interventions. *Signal Transduct. Target Ther.* **2022**, *7*, 143. [CrossRef]
- 10. Van der Hee, B.; Wells, J.M. Microbial Regulation of Host Physiology by Short-chain Fatty Acids. *Trends Microbiol.* 2021, 29, 700–712. [CrossRef]
- Portincasa, P.; Bonfrate, L.; Vacca, M.; De Angelis, M.; Farella, I.; Lanza, E.; Khalil, M.; Wang, D.Q.; Sperandio, M.; Di Ciaula, A. Gut Microbiota and Short Chain Fatty Acids: Implications in Glucose Homeostasis. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, 23, 1105. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Jameson, K.G.; Olson, C.A.; Kazmi, S.A.; Hsiao, E.Y. Toward Understanding Microbiome-Neuronal Signaling. *Mol. Cell* **2020**, *78*, 577–583. [CrossRef]
- 13. Sun, P.; Su, L.; Zhu, H.; Li, X.; Guo, Y.; Du, X.; Zhang, L.; Qin, C. Gut Microbiota Regulation and Their Implication in the Development of Neurodegenerative Disease. *Microorganisms* **2021**, *9*, 2281. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Kiriyama, Y.; Nochi, H. The Biosynthesis, Signaling, and Neurological Functions of Bile Acids. *Biomolecules* **2019**, *9*, 232. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Li, J.; Dawson, P.A. Animal models to study bile acid metabolism. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Basis Dis.* **2019**, *1865*, 895–911. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Straniero, S.; Laskar, A.; Savva, C.; Hardfeldt, J.; Angelin, B.; Rudling, M. Of mice and men: Murine bile acids explain species differences in the regulation of bile acid and cholesterol metabolism. *J. Lipid Res.* **2020**, *61*, 480–491. [CrossRef]
- 17. Sun, J.; Li, M.; Zhou, H.; Chong, J.; Zhang, J.; Yu, B.; Chen, D.; Ge, L. Importance of gut microbiota for bile acid composition and concentration in pigs. *Front. Anim. Sci.* 2022, *3*, 951840. [CrossRef]
- 18. Kiriyama, Y.; Nochi, H. Physiological Role of Bile Acids Modified by the Gut Microbiome. Microorganisms 2021, 10, 68. [CrossRef]
- 19. Lee, J.W.; Cowley, E.S.; Wolf, P.G.; Doden, H.L.; Murai, T.; Caicedo, K.Y.O.; Ly, L.K.; Sun, F.; Takei, H.; Nittono, H.; et al. Formation of secondary allo-bile acids by novel enzymes from gut Firmicutes. *Gut. Microbes* **2022**, *14*, 2132903. [CrossRef]
- Doden, H.L.; Ridlon, J.M. Microbial Hydroxysteroid Dehydrogenases: From Alpha to Omega. *Microorganisms* 2021, 9, 469. [CrossRef]
- Kiriyama, Y.; Nochi, H. Role of Microbiota-Modified Bile Acids in the Regulation of Intracellular Organelles and Neurodegenerative Diseases. *Genes* 2023, 14, 825. [CrossRef]
- 22. Che, Y.; Xu, W.; Ding, C.; He, T.; Xu, X.; Shuai, Y.; Huang, H.; Wu, J.; Wang, Y.; Wang, C.; et al. Bile acids target mitofusin 2 to differentially regulate innate immunity in physiological versus cholestatic conditions. *Cell Rep.* **2023**, *42*, 112011. [CrossRef]
- 23. Jia, W.; Xie, G.; Jia, W. Bile acid-microbiota crosstalk in gastrointestinal inflammation and carcinogenesis. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **2018**, *15*, 111–128. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Campbell, C.; McKenney, P.T.; Konstantinovsky, D.; Isaeva, O.I.; Schizas, M.; Verter, J.; Mai, C.; Jin, W.B.; Guo, C.J.; Violante, S.; et al. Bacterial metabolism of bile acids promotes generation of peripheral regulatory T cells. *Nature* 2020, 581, 475–479. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Guo, C.; Xie, S.; Chi, Z.; Zhang, J.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, L.; Zheng, M.; Zhang, X.; Xia, D.; Ke, Y.; et al. Bile Acids Control Inflammation and Metabolic Disorder through Inhibition of NLRP3 Inflammasome. *Immunity* **2016**, *45*, 802–816. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hang, S.; Paik, D.; Yao, L.; Kim, E.; Trinath, J.; Lu, J.; Ha, S.; Nelson, B.N.; Kelly, S.P.; Wu, L.; et al. Bile acid metabolites control T(H)17 and T(reg) cell differentiation. *Nature* 2019, 576, 143–148. [CrossRef]
- Hu, J.; Wang, C.; Huang, X.; Yi, S.; Pan, S.; Zhang, Y.; Yuan, G.; Cao, Q.; Ye, X.; Li, H. Gut microbiota-mediated secondary bile acids regulate dendritic cells to attenuate autoimmune uveitis through TGR5 signaling. *Cell Rep.* 2021, 36, 109726. [CrossRef]
- 28. Hu, J.; Zhang, Y.; Yi, S.; Wang, C.; Huang, X.; Pan, S.; Yang, J.; Yuan, G.; Tan, S.; Li, H. Lithocholic acid inhibits dendritic cell activation by reducing intracellular glutathione via TGR5 signaling. *Int. J. Biol. Sci.* **2022**, *18*, 4545–4559. [CrossRef]
- Li, W.; Hang, S.; Fang, Y.; Bae, S.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, M.; Wang, G.; McCurry, M.D.; Bae, M.; Paik, D.; et al. A bacterial bile acid metabolite modulates T(reg) activity through the nuclear hormone receptor NR4A1. *Cell Host Microbe* 2021, 29, 1366–1377.e9. [CrossRef]
- Roggeri, A.; Schepers, M.; Tiane, A.; Rombaut, B.; van Veggel, L.; Hellings, N.; Prickaerts, J.; Pittaluga, A.; Vanmierlo, T. Sphingosine-1-Phosphate Receptor Modulators and Oligodendroglial Cells: Beyond Immunomodulation. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, 21, 7537. [CrossRef]
- Pandak, W.M.; Kakiyama, G. The acidic pathway of bile acid synthesis: Not just an alternative pathway. *Liver Res.* 2019, *3*, 88–98.
 [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Russell, D.W. Fifty years of advances in bile acid synthesis and metabolism. J. Lipid Res. 2009, 50, S120–S125. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 33. Chiang, J.Y.L.; Ferrell, J.M. Up to date on cholesterol 7 alpha-hydroxylase (CYP7A1) in bile acid synthesis. *Liver Res.* 2020, *4*, 47–63. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chiang, J.Y.L.; Ferrell, J.M. Bile Acids as Metabolic Regulators and Nutrient Sensors. Annu. Rev. Nutr. 2019, 39, 175–200. [CrossRef]
- 35. Xue, R.; Su, L.; Lai, S.; Wang, Y.; Zhao, D.; Fan, J.; Chen, W.; Hylemon, P.B.; Zhou, H. Bile Acid Receptors and the Gut-Liver Axis in Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *Cells* **2021**, *10*, 2806. [CrossRef]
- 36. Kenna, J.G.; Taskar, K.S.; Battista, C.; Bourdet, D.L.; Brouwer, K.L.R.; Brouwer, K.R.; Dai, D.; Funk, C.; Hafey, M.J.; Lai, Y.; et al. Can Bile Salt Export Pump Inhibition Testing in Drug Discovery and Development Reduce Liver Injury Risk? An International Transporter Consortium Perspective. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* 2018, 104, 916–932. [CrossRef]
- Daly, J.W.; Keely, S.J.; Gahan, C.G.M. Functional and Phylogenetic Diversity of BSH and PVA Enzymes. *Microorganisms* 2021, 9, 732. [CrossRef]
- Jones, B.V.; Begley, M.; Hill, C.; Gahan, C.G.; Marchesi, J.R. Functional and comparative metagenomic analysis of bile salt hydrolase activity in the human gut microbiome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2008, 105, 13580–13585. [CrossRef]
- O'Flaherty, S.; Briner Crawley, A.; Theriot, C.M.; Barrangou, R. The Lactobacillus Bile Salt Hydrolase Repertoire Reveals Niche-Specific Adaptation. *mSphere* 2018, 3, 10–1128. [CrossRef]
- 40. Clarke, G.; Sandhu, K.V.; Griffin, B.T.; Dinan, T.G.; Cryan, J.F.; Hyland, N.P. Gut Reactions: Breaking Down Xenobiotic-Microbiome Interactions. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 2019, 71, 198–224. [CrossRef]
- 41. Riviere, A.; Selak, M.; Lantin, D.; Leroy, F.; De Vuyst, L. Bifidobacteria and Butyrate-Producing Colon Bacteria: Importance and Strategies for Their Stimulation in the Human Gut. *Front. Microbiol.* **2016**, *7*, 979. [CrossRef]
- 42. Guo, X.; Okpara, E.S.; Hu, W.; Yan, C.; Wang, Y.; Liang, Q.; Chiang, J.Y.L.; Han, S. Interactive Relationships between Intestinal Flora and Bile Acids. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 8343. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Begley, M.; Hill, C.; Gahan, C.G. Bile salt hydrolase activity in probiotics. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2006, 72, 1729–1738. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Ridlon, J.M.; Harris, S.C.; Bhowmik, S.; Kang, D.J.; Hylemon, P.B. Consequences of bile salt biotransformations by intestinal bacteria. *Gut. Microbes* **2016**, *7*, 22–39. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Ridlon, J.M.; Daniel, S.L.; Gaskins, H.R. The Hylemon-Bjorkhem pathway of bile acid 7-dehydroxylation: History, biochemistry, and microbiology. *J. Lipid Res.* 2023, 64, 100392. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Ridlon, J.M.; Kang, D.J.; Hylemon, P.B. Bile salt biotransformations by human intestinal bacteria. *J. Lipid Res.* **2006**, 47, 241–259. [CrossRef]
- 47. Paik, D.; Yao, L.; Zhang, Y.; Bae, S.; D'Agostino, G.D.; Zhang, M.; Kim, E.; Franzosa, E.A.; Avila-Pacheco, J.; Bisanz, J.E.; et al. Human gut bacteria produce Tau(Eta)17-modulating bile acid metabolites. *Nature* **2022**, *603*, 907–912. [CrossRef]
- 48. Sato, Y.; Atarashi, K.; Plichta, D.R.; Arai, Y.; Sasajima, S.; Kearney, S.M.; Suda, W.; Takeshita, K.; Sasaki, T.; Okamoto, S.; et al. Novel bile acid biosynthetic pathways are enriched in the microbiome of centenarians. *Nature* **2021**, *599*, 458–464. [CrossRef]
- 49. Camilleri, M. Bile acid detergency: Permeability, inflammation, and effects of sulfation. *Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol.* **2022**, 322, G480–G488. [CrossRef]
- 50. Sheng, W.; Ji, G.; Zhang, L. The Effect of Lithocholic Acid on the Gut-Liver Axis. Front. Pharmacol. 2022, 13, 910493. [CrossRef]
- Mousset, C.M.; Hobo, W.; Woestenenk, R.; Preijers, F.; Dolstra, H.; van der Waart, A.B. Comprehensive Phenotyping of T Cells Using Flow Cytometry. *Cytom. A* 2019, 95, 647–654. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Liu, B.; Shao, Y.; Fu, R. Current research status of HLA in immune-related diseases. *Immun. Inflamm. Dis.* 2021, 9, 340–350. [CrossRef]
- 53. Gomez-Bris, R.; Saez, A.; Herrero-Fernandez, B.; Rius, C.; Sanchez-Martinez, H.; Gonzalez-Granado, J.M. CD4 T-Cell Subsets and the Pathophysiology of Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 2696. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Kunzli, M.; Masopust, D. CD4(+) T cell memory. Nat. Immunol. 2023, 24, 903–914. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Knochelmann, H.M.; Dwyer, C.J.; Bailey, S.R.; Amaya, S.M.; Elston, D.M.; Mazza-McCrann, J.M.; Paulos, C.M. When worlds collide: Th17 and Treg cells in cancer and autoimmunity. *Cell. Mol. Immunol.* **2018**, *15*, 458–469. [CrossRef]
- 56. Kiriyama, Y.; Nochi, H. Regulation of PD-L1 Expression by Nuclear Receptors. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2023, 24, 9891. [CrossRef]
- 57. Adams, A.B.; Ford, M.L.; Larsen, C.P. Costimulation Blockade in Autoimmunity and Transplantation: The CD28 Pathway. *J. Immunol.* **2016**, 197, 2045–2050. [CrossRef]
- 58. Mosmann, T.R.; Cherwinski, H.; Bond, M.W.; Giedlin, M.A.; Coffman, R.L. Two types of murine helper T cell clone. I. Definition according to profiles of lymphokine activities and secreted proteins. *J. Immunol.* **1986**, *136*, 2348–2357. [CrossRef]
- Dardalhon, V.; Awasthi, A.; Kwon, H.; Galileos, G.; Gao, W.; Sobel, R.A.; Mitsdoerffer, M.; Strom, T.B.; Elyaman, W.; Ho, I.C.; et al. IL-4 inhibits TGF-beta-induced Foxp3+ T cells and, together with TGF-beta, generates IL-9+ IL-10+ Foxp3(-) effector T cells. *Nat. Immunol.* 2008, *9*, 1347–1355. [CrossRef]
- 60. Veldhoen, M.; Uyttenhove, C.; van Snick, J.; Helmby, H.; Westendorf, A.; Buer, J.; Martin, B.; Wilhelm, C.; Stockinger, B. Transforming growth factor-beta 'reprograms' the differentiation of T helper 2 cells and promotes an interleukin 9-producing subset. *Nat. Immunol.* **2008**, *9*, 1341–1346. [CrossRef]
- Langrish, C.L.; Chen, Y.; Blumenschein, W.M.; Mattson, J.; Basham, B.; Sedgwick, J.D.; McClanahan, T.; Kastelein, R.A.; Cua, D.J. IL-23 drives a pathogenic T cell population that induces autoimmune inflammation. *J. Exp. Med.* 2005, 201, 233–240. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Eyerich, S.; Eyerich, K.; Pennino, D.; Carbone, T.; Nasorri, F.; Pallotta, S.; Cianfarani, F.; Odorisio, T.; Traidl-Hoffmann, C.; Behrendt, H.; et al. Th22 cells represent a distinct human T cell subset involved in epidermal immunity and remodeling. *J. Clin. Investig.* 2009, 119, 3573–3585. [CrossRef]
- 63. Breitfeld, D.; Ohl, L.; Kremmer, E.; Ellwart, J.; Sallusto, F.; Lipp, M.; Forster, R. Follicular B helper T cells express CXC chemokine receptor 5, localize to B cell follicles, and support immunoglobulin production. *J. Exp. Med.* **2000**, *192*, 1545–1552. [CrossRef]
- 64. Walker, L.S.K. The link between circulating follicular helper T cells and autoimmunity. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **2022**, *22*, 567–575. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sakaguchi, S.; Sakaguchi, N.; Asano, M.; Itoh, M.; Toda, M. Immunologic self-tolerance maintained by activated T cells expressing IL-2 receptor alpha-chains (CD25). Breakdown of a single mechanism of self-tolerance causes various autoimmune diseases. J. Immunol. 1995, 155, 1151–1164. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fontenot, J.D.; Rasmussen, J.P.; Williams, L.M.; Dooley, J.L.; Farr, A.G.; Rudensky, A.Y. Regulatory T cell lineage specification by the forkhead transcription factor foxp3. *Immunity* 2005, 22, 329–341. [CrossRef]
- 67. Yu, W.; Li, C.; Zhang, D.; Li, Z.; Xia, P.; Liu, X.; Cai, X.; Yang, P.; Ling, J.; Zhang, J.; et al. Advances in T Cells Based on Inflammation in Metabolic Diseases. *Cells* **2022**, *11*, 3554. [CrossRef]
- 68. Makishima, M.; Okamoto, A.Y.; Repa, J.J.; Tu, H.; Learned, R.M.; Luk, A.; Hull, M.V.; Lustig, K.D.; Mangelsdorf, D.J.; Shan, B. Identification of a nuclear receptor for bile acids. *Science* **1999**, *284*, 1362–1365. [CrossRef]
- Yoneno, K.; Hisamatsu, T.; Shimamura, K.; Kamada, N.; Ichikawa, R.; Kitazume, M.T.; Mori, M.; Uo, M.; Namikawa, Y.; Matsuoka, K.; et al. TGR5 signalling inhibits the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines by in vitro differentiated inflammatory and intestinal macrophages in Crohn's disease. *Immunology* 2013, 139, 19–29. [CrossRef]
- 70. He, Y.; Hara, H.; Nunez, G. Mechanism and Regulation of NLRP3 Inflammasome Activation. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 2016, 41, 1012–1021. [CrossRef]
- 71. Chen, Y.; Ye, X.; Escames, G.; Lei, W.; Zhang, X.; Li, M.; Jing, T.; Yao, Y.; Qiu, Z.; Wang, Z.; et al. The NLRP3 inflammasome: Contributions to inflammation-related diseases. *Cell Mol. Biol. Lett.* **2023**, *28*, 51. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 72. Hao, H.; Cao, L.; Jiang, C.; Che, Y.; Zhang, S.; Takahashi, S.; Wang, G.; Gonzalez, F.J. Farnesoid X Receptor Regulation of the NLRP3 Inflammasome Underlies Cholestasis-Associated Sepsis. *Cell Metab.* **2017**, *25*, 856–867.e5. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 73. Wammers, M.; Schupp, A.K.; Bode, J.G.; Ehlting, C.; Wolf, S.; Deenen, R.; Kohrer, K.; Haussinger, D.; Graf, D. Reprogramming of pro-inflammatory human macrophages to an anti-inflammatory phenotype by bile acids. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 255. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Shao, J.; Ge, T.; Tang, C.; Wang, G.; Pang, L.; Chen, Z. Synergistic anti-inflammatory effect of gut microbiota and lithocholic acid on liver fibrosis. *Inflamm. Res.* 2022, *71*, 1389–1401. [CrossRef]
- 75. Lazarevic, V.; Glimcher, L.H.; Lord, G.M. T-bet: A bridge between innate and adaptive immunity. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **2013**, *13*, 777–789. [CrossRef]
- 76. Pols, T.W.H.; Puchner, T.; Korkmaz, H.I.; Vos, M.; Soeters, M.R.; de Vries, C.J.M. Lithocholic acid controls adaptive immune responses by inhibition of Th1 activation through the Vitamin D receptor. *PLoS ONE* **2017**, *12*, e0176715. [CrossRef]
- Wen, T.H.; Tsai, K.W.; Wu, Y.J.; Liao, M.T.; Lu, K.C.; Hu, W.C. The Framework for Human Host Immune Responses to Four Types of Parasitic Infections and Relevant Key JAK/STAT Signaling. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 13310. [CrossRef]
- Campe, J.; Ullrich, E. T Helper Cell Lineage-Defining Transcription Factors: Potent Targets for Specific GVHD Therapy? Front. Immunol. 2021, 12, 806529. [CrossRef]
- Patel, D.D.; Kuchroo, V.K. Th17 Cell Pathway in Human Immunity: Lessons from Genetics and Therapeutic Interventions. *Immunity* 2015, 43, 1040–1051. [CrossRef]
- 80. Sun, C.Y.; Yang, N.; Zheng, Z.L.; Liu, D.; Xu, Q.L. T helper 17 (Th17) cell responses to the gut microbiota in human diseases. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* **2023**, *161*, 114483. [CrossRef]
- Ivanov, I.I.; Atarashi, K.; Manel, N.; Brodie, E.L.; Shima, T.; Karaoz, U.; Wei, D.; Goldfarb, K.C.; Santee, C.A.; Lynch, S.V.; et al. Induction of intestinal Th17 cells by segmented filamentous bacteria. *Cell* 2009, 139, 485–498. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Atarashi, K.; Tanoue, T.; Ando, M.; Kamada, N.; Nagano, Y.; Narushima, S.; Suda, W.; Imaoka, A.; Setoyama, H.; Nagamori, T.; et al. Th17 Cell Induction by Adhesion of Microbes to Intestinal Epithelial Cells. *Cell* 2015, 163, 367–380. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Xiao, R.; Lei, K.; Kuok, H.; Deng, W.; Zhuang, Y.; Tang, Y.; Guo, Z.; Qin, H.; Bai, L.P.; Li, T. Synthesis and identification of lithocholic acid 3-sulfate as RORgammat ligand to inhibit Th17 cell differentiation. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 2022, 112, 835–843. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 84. Bluestone, J.A.; McKenzie, B.S.; Beilke, J.; Ramsdell, F. Opportunities for Treg cell therapy for the treatment of human disease. *Front. Immunol.* **2023**, *14*, 1166135. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 85. Mantel, P.Y.; Ouaked, N.; Ruckert, B.; Karagiannidis, C.; Welz, R.; Blaser, K.; Schmidt-Weber, C.B. Molecular mechanisms underlying FOXP3 induction in human T cells. *J. Immunol.* **2006**, *176*, 3593–3602. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 86. Zhao, S.; Liu, W.; Li, Y.; Liu, P.; Li, S.; Dou, D.; Wang, Y.; Yang, R.; Xiang, R.; Liu, F. Alternative Splice Variants Modulates Dominant-Negative Function of Helios in T-Cell Leukemia. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0163328. [CrossRef]
- 87. Bai, L.; Hao, X.; Keith, J.; Feng, Y. DNA Methylation in Regulatory T Cell Differentiation and Function: Challenges and Opportunities. *Biomolecules* **2022**, *12*, 1282. [CrossRef]
- 88. Lu, L.; Barbi, J.; Pan, F. The regulation of immune tolerance by FOXP3. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 2017, 17, 703–717. [CrossRef]

- 89. Wang, K.; Fu, W. Transcriptional regulation of Treg homeostasis and functional specification. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 2020, 77, 4269–4287. [CrossRef]
- 90. Trujillo-Ochoa, J.L.; Kazemian, M.; Afzali, B. The role of transcription factors in shaping regulatory T cell identity. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 2023. [CrossRef]
- Sadlon, T.; Brown, C.Y.; Bandara, V.; Hope, C.M.; Schjenken, J.E.; Pederson, S.M.; Breen, J.; Forrest, A.; Beyer, M.; Robertson, S.; et al. Unravelling the molecular basis for regulatory T-cell plasticity and loss of function in disease. *Clin. Transl. Immunol.* 2018, 7, e1011. [CrossRef]
- Long, X.; Luo, C.; Zhu, Z. Role of CNSs Conserved Distal Cis-Regulatory Elements in CD4 + T Cell Development and Differentiation. Front. Immunol. 2022, 13, 919550. [CrossRef]
- Feng, Y.; van der Veeken, J.; Shugay, M.; Putintseva, E.V.; Osmanbeyoglu, H.U.; Dikiy, S.; Hoyos, B.E.; Moltedo, B.; Hemmers, S.; Treuting, P.; et al. A mechanism for expansion of regulatory T-cell repertoire and its role in self-tolerance. *Nature* 2015, 528, 132–136. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 94. Nagy, G.; Nagy, L. Motif grammar: The basis of the language of gene expression. *Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J.* **2020**, *18*, 2026–2032. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jiang, L.; Dai, S.; Li, J.; Liang, X.; Qu, L.; Chen, X.; Guo, M.; Chen, Z.; Chen, L.; Wei, H.; et al. Structural basis of binding of homodimers of the nuclear receptor NR4A2 to selective Nur-responsive DNA elements. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2019, 294, 19795–19803. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hu, Y.; Chau, T.; Liu, H.X.; Liao, D.; Keane, R.; Nie, Y.; Yang, H.; Wan, Y.J. Bile acids regulate nuclear receptor (Nur77) expression and intracellular location to control proliferation and apoptosis. *Mol. Cancer Res.* 2015, 13, 281–292. [CrossRef]
- Guzman, A.; Hughes, C.H.K.; Murphy, B.D. Orphan nuclear receptors in angiogenesis and follicular development. *Reproduction* 2021, 162, R35–R54. [CrossRef]
- 98. Wang, T.W.; Johmura, Y.; Suzuki, N.; Omori, S.; Migita, T.; Yamaguchi, K.; Hatakeyama, S.; Yamazaki, S.; Shimizu, E.; Imoto, S.; et al. Blocking PD-L1-PD-1 improves senescence surveillance and ageing phenotypes. *Nature* **2022**, *611*, 358–364. [CrossRef]
- 99. Yamaguchi, H.; Hsu, J.M.; Yang, W.H.; Hung, M.C. Mechanisms regulating PD-L1 expression in cancers and associated opportunities for novel small-molecule therapeutics. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* **2022**, *19*, 287–305. [CrossRef]
- Lu, L.; Jiang, Y.X.; Liu, X.X.; Jin, J.M.; Gu, W.J.; Luan, X.; Guan, Y.Y.; Zhang, L.J. FXR agonist GW4064 enhances anti-PD-L1 immunotherapy in colorectal cancer. *Oncoimmunology* 2023, 12, 2217024. [CrossRef]
- 101. Watkins, L.R.; Orlandi, C. Orphan G Protein Coupled Receptors in Affective Disorders. Genes 2020, 11, 694. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.