

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 35, Issue 20, Page 773-780, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.106969 ISSN: 2320-7035

# Performance of Onion Seed Crop under the Soil Amendments and Fertilizers Application in *Torripsamments*

Sumitra Kumawat <sup>a++</sup>, S. R. Yadav <sup>b#</sup>, Suresh Kumawat <sup>c++\*</sup>, S. K. Kharia <sup>c†</sup>, Priyanka Choudhary <sup>d++</sup> and Pooja Kumari Meena <sup>e++</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Division of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, ICAR-IARI, New Delhi, India.
<sup>b</sup> Agricultural Research Station, Bikaner, SKRAU Bikaner, India.
<sup>c</sup> SKRAU Bikaner, India.
<sup>d</sup> Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Dr.R.P.C.A.U., Pusa, Bihar, India.
<sup>e</sup> Agronomy, RCA, Maharana Pratap University of Agriculture & Technology, Udaipur, India.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i203865

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <u>https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/106969</u>

> Received: 21/07/2023 Accepted: 29/09/2023 Published: 02/10/2023

Original Research Article

## ABSTRACT

Sandy soils cover approximately 900 million ha worldwide particularly in arid and semi-arid regions. There are extensive areas of sandy soils under cultivation, but the soil fertility is often low and dependent on management practices for crop production so better management sandy soil conducted a field experiment at Aagricultural Research Station, Farm, SKRAU, Bikaner during *Rabi* 2021-22. The experiment was laid out in a split plot design involving four soil amendments *i.e.*,

<sup>\*\*</sup> Research Scholar;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>#</sup> Zonal Director Research;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Assistant Professor;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: sureshskrau98@gmail.com.;

Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 20, pp. 773-780, 2023

Control, 2 % canal silt, compost @ 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and 2 % canal silt plus ccompost @ 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in main plot and four fertilizer levels *i.e.*, 0, 75, 100 and 125 % RDF in the sub plot with three replications for onion seed producion. The results revealed that application of different soil amendments significantly increased onion growth and yield attributes and found that maximum growth and yield attributes were recorded under 2 % canal silt with compost @ 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. It was also observed that as the RDF increases, growth, yield, yield attributes, of onion during the onion seed crop production.

Keywords: Canal silt; compost; fertilizer; onion seed crop.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

"Onions are used primarily as flavouring agents and their distinctive pungency and form essential ingredients for flavouring varieties of dishes, sauces, soup, sandwiches and snacks as onion rings etc, and which increase the taste of food" [1]. "The mature bulb contains some starch, appreciable quantities of sugars, some protein, and vitamins A, B, and C" [2,3]. "It is also one of the richest sources of flavonoid in the human diet and flavonoid consumption has been associated with a reduced risk of cancer, heart disease and diabetes. In addition, it is known for antibacterial, antiviral, anti-allergenic and antiinflammatory potential and used as preservative and medicinal plant" [4].

"The total onion production in the World in 2017 was 97.86 million tonnes, which was harvested in the area of 52.01 lakh ha, and the average yield was about 18.82 g per hac, out of which China is the leading country with production of 24.34 million tonnes (24.87% of total world production) in an area of 11.02 lakh ha, with a productivity of 22.1q per hac, followed by India (22.42 million tonnes), USA (3.72 million tonnes) and Iran (2.37 million tonnes)" [5]. In Rajasthan total area is 64.76 thousand hectare and production 996.73 million tonnes [6]. In Bikaner total area is 692 hectare and production 830 tonnes [7]. Despite areas increase, the productivity of onion is much lower than other district. The low productivity could be attributed to the limited availability of and quality seeds associated production technologies used, among the others.

"Canal silt have been found to improve moisture and nutrient status of sandy soil resulting in higher crop yield. The pond sediments are also applied in irrigation channels to check the seepage losses. Though in many small quantities, it reaches to cropped area and thus helps in improving the nutrient status of soil. The canal silt has higher nutritive value" [8]. "Addition of canal silt to cultivated field improve the physico-chemical properties of the soil which results into good crop growth and higher yield" [9]. "The application of canal silt improved the guality and productivity of marginal land and also suggested that the canal silt application will be a good option to bring marginal land under cultivation with improved productivity" (Vaidya and Dhawan, 2013). "The canal silt invariably is available free of cost to the farmers, except the cost for its transport. Application of sediments desilted from the tanka very shallow soil appears to be potentially viable option for returning the eroded fertile soil back to the field. Addition of large quantities of FYM and other organic residues can improve physical environment of these sandy soils" Kumawat et al. [22]. However, there is a general scarcity of such organic materials in the arid and semi-arid regions. Further, due to high temperature and excessive aeration, oxidation of added organic matter is very rapid and it is difficult to build-up organic matter reserves in such soils. Compost not only supplies a number of plant nutrient but also physico-chemical improves and biological properties of soil, which are major constraints in increasing the germination percentage and survival of emerging seedling in coarse textured soils [10,11]. "Organically held plant nutrients play a vital role in sustaining plant nutrient availability and mineralization by maintaining optimum temperature, aeration and moisture, in the soil through compost. Compost with chemical fertilizer and their method of application helps in improving the fertility, productivity and physical condition of soil" [12,13]. The present study was therefore, carried out to determine the effects of soil amendments (Canal silt, compost and canal silt plus ccompost) and fertilizer levels on biochemical properties of soil in western Rajasthan, India.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

## 2.1 Experimental Site

The experiment was conducted at the farm of Agricultural Research Station, SKRAU, Bikaner. The study area is situated in the state of Rajasthan and located on National Highway No.

15 leading towards Sriganganagar about 9 km away from Bikaner city. It is situated at 28<sup>°</sup> 10' N latitude, 73<sup>°</sup> 18' E longitude and 223.88 meter above mean sea level. The study area is situated in Agroclimatic zone Ic (Hyper arid partially irrigated western plain) of Rajasthan. The zone is characterized with extremes of hot in summer and cold in winter.

#### 2.2 Climate and Weather Conditions

Bikaner has arid climate with average annual rainfall of about 262 mm. More than 80 per cent of rainfall is received during *kharif* season (July-September) by the South West monsoon. During summer, the maximum temperature may go as high as 48 °C while in the winters it may fall as low as 0 °C. The weather data was obtained from meteorological observatory of Agricultural Research Station, Bikaner.

## 2.3 Soil of the Experimental Field

In order to know the physical and chemical properties of soil, the soil samples from 0-15 cm depth were drawn randomly from different spots of the experimental field and a representative composite sample was prepared. This composite

sample was analyzed to determine the physicochemical properties of the soil and find that soil have 8.58 pH, 0.198 dSm<sup>-1</sup> electrical conductivity Loamy Sand in texture.

#### 2.4 Details of Experiment and Treatments

The experiment was laid out in split plot design with four soil amendments that is control, compost, canal silt and canal silt plus compost in main plot treatment and four levels of fertilizer in sup plot treatment and replicated thrice. The plan of layout has been given in Table 2. The treatments were assigned to the experimental plots with the help of random number tables of Fisher (1950).

#### **2.5 Treatment Application**

Soil amendments *i.e.* compost, canal silt and canal silt plus compost were applied at 12 days before sowing compost applied @ 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and canal silt applied 2 % in the field.

Fertilizer was given through Urea (N), SSP (P) and MOP (K) in each plot as per treatment.

| Table 1. Details of treatments for experiments with symbols |  |
|---|--|
| Table 1. Details of treatments for experiments with symbols |  |

| (A <sub>0</sub> )<br>(A <sub>1</sub> ) |
|--|
|  |
|  |
| $(A_1)$                                |
|  |
| (A <sub>2</sub> )                      |
| $(A_3)$                                |
|  |
|  |
| ( <b>F</b> <sub>0</sub> )              |
| $(F_1)$                                |
| $(F_2)$                                |
| $(F_3)$                                |
|  |

\*Recommended dose of fertilizer (100kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N, 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  $P_2O_5$  and 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  $K_2O$ )

| Table 2. | Details of | the | experiment | as | follows |
|----------|------------|-----|------------|----|---------|
|----------|------------|-----|------------|----|---------|

| Season                    | Rabi, 2021-22          |  |
|---------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Design                    | Split Plot Design      |  |
| Total no. of treatments   |                        |  |
| Main Plot                 | 4                      |  |
| Sub Plot                  | 4                      |  |
| Total no. of replications | 3                      |  |
| Test crop                 | Onion seed crop        |  |
| Total no. of plots        | 48                     |  |
| Row x plant spacing       | 45 x 30 cm             |  |
| Plot size                 | 3 m X 3 m              |  |
| Seed rate                 | 30 q bulbs ha⁻¹        |  |
| Variety                   | Sikar local            |  |
| Location                  | Main Farm ARS, Bikaner |  |

| Element                          | Compost | Canal silt |  |
|----------------------------------|---------|------------|--|
| Carbon (%)                       | 19.15   | 0.64       |  |
| Nitrogen                         | 0.78 %  | 0.0092 %   |  |
| Phosphorus                       | 0.29 %  | 0.00095 %  |  |
| Potassium                        | 0.39 %  | 0.017 %    |  |
| lron (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )      | 560.00  | 6.12       |  |
| Copper (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )    | 4.70    | 11.30      |  |
| Zinc (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )      | 37.50   | 1.18       |  |
| Manganese (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | 67.36   | 11.50      |  |

Table 3. Average elemental composition of compost and canal silt

#### 2.6 Growth Attributing Character

Plant height measured by five plants were selected at middle two rows from each plot Plant height was measured from the ground level to the top of the stalk in cm. diameter (cm) of umbels per plant were recorded already selected five plants with vernier caliper at 90 DAS of randomly selected five plants. The number of umbels plant<sup>1</sup> were recorded already selected five plants at 90 DAS. A seed sample plot was taken from each and one thousand seeds were counted and weighed to record as test weight in grams. Onion seed crop were harvested threshed and weight of seed per umbel is measured after harvesting of selected five plants umbels and calculated average value. The weights of seed collected from the all umbels of each observational plant were summed up and mean seed yield per plant was calculated treatment wise. The weight of seeds collected from the observational plants as well as the remaining plants from the all plots combined toaether. weiahed were and calculated on hectare basis. The weights of straw collected from the umbels of each observational plant were summed up and mean straw yield per plant was calculated treatment wise. The straw yield of each net plot was recorded in kg per plot and converted as q ha<sup>-1</sup>.

## 2.7 Economics of Treatment

Economics of the different treatments is the most important consideration for making any recommendation to the farmer for its wide implementation. For estimate economics of each treatment, the average treatment yield along with prevailing marketing cost for inputs and outputs were used. The gross return was computed by subtracting the cost of cultivation for each treatment from return achieved from economic yield.

Gross returns (Rs  $ha^{-1}$ ) = Returns from onion seed (Rs  $ha^{-1}$ )

Net returns (Rs ha<sup>-1</sup>) = Gross returns (Rs ha<sup>-1</sup>) – Total cost of cultivation (Rs ha<sup>-1</sup>).

#### **2.8 Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis by using SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Science), software developed by three PhD students at the University of Stanford (Norman H. Nie, C. Hadlai (Tex) Hull and Dale H. Bent), after graduation N.

## 3. RESULTS

## 3.1 Effect of Soil Amendments

The results indicated that plant height, umbels plant<sup>-1</sup>, test weight, diameter of umbels, number of umbels plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of seed umbel<sup>-1</sup>, seed yield plant<sup>-1</sup>, straw yield of onion seed crop was found to be influenced significantly with the application soil amendments. The highest abovementioned growth and yield attributes were recorded with the incorporation 2 % canal silt with compost @ 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> followed by  $A_2$ (Compost @ 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) but it was at par with  $A_1$  (2) % Canal silt). In the fertilizer levels revealed that plant height, umbels plant-1, test weight, diameter of umbels, number of umbels plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of seed umbel<sup>-1</sup>, seed yield plant<sup>-1</sup>, straw yield of onion seed crop was influenced significantly due to fertilizer levels. The highest growth and yield attributes were recorded with application of RDF @ 125 % followed by RDF @ 100 %, RDF @ 75 % and the lowest attributes were recorded in the control.

| Treatments   | Plant height<br>(cm)<br>at 90 DAS | Diameter of<br>umbel (cm) at<br>90 DAS | No. of umbel<br>plant <sup>-1</sup><br>at 90 DAS | Test weight<br>(g) | No. of Seed<br>umbel <sup>-1</sup> | Seed yield<br>plant <sup>-1</sup> (g) | Dry matter<br>plant <sup>-1</sup> (g) |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|--------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Soil amendments  |                                   |  |  |                    |                                    |                                       |                                       |
| A <sub>0</sub> - Control                                 | 77.80                             | 7.63                                   | 4.98   | 3.66               | 978.75                             | 4.14                                  | 11.67                                 |
| A <sub>1</sub> - 2 % Canal silt                          | 85.29                             | 8.69                                   | 7.34   | 3.67               | 1107.20                            | 5.84                                  | 14.69                                 |
| $A_2$ - Compost @ 30 t ha <sup>-1</sup>                  | 90.57                             | 9.26                                   | 7.89   | 3.69               | 1178.35                            | 6.29                                  | 15.67                                 |
| $A_3 - 2$ % Canal silt + compost @ 30 t ha <sup>-1</sup> | 108.60                            | 10.37                                  | 9.87   | 3.70               | 1334.95                            | 7.11                                  | 19.88                                 |
| S.Em±  | 1.91                              | 0.17                                   | 0.31   | 0.05               | 21.81                              | 0.14                                  | 0.96                                  |
| CD (0.05)  | 6.593                             | 0.58                                   | 1.08   | NS                 | 75.474                             | 0.49                                  | 3.32                                  |
| Fertilizer levels  |                                   |  |  |                    |                                    |                                       |                                       |
| F <sub>0</sub> - 0 % RDF                                 | 71.93                             | 7.93                                   | 6.15   | 3.66               | 974.35                             | 4.79                                  | 12.04                                 |
| F <sub>1</sub> - 75 % RDF                                | 83.33                             | 8.65                                   | 7.17   | 3.67               | 1117.95                            | 5.66                                  | 15.29                                 |
| F <sub>2</sub> - 100 % RDF                               | 102.45                            | 9.54                                   | 8.30   | 3.68               | 1234.95                            | 6.25                                  | 16.79                                 |
| F <sub>3</sub> – 125 % RDF                               | 104.55                            | 9.82                                   | 8.45   | 3.69               | 1272.00                            | 6.68                                  | 17.79                                 |
| S.Em±  | 1.27                              | 0.11                                   | 0.30   | 0.07               | 14.28                              | 0.19                                  | 0.51                                  |
| CD (0.05)  | 3.71                              | 0.32                                   | 0.88   | NS                 | 41.675                             | 0.56                                  | 1.50                                  |

## Table 4. Effect of soil amendments and fertilizer levels on growth and yield attributes of onion seed crop

\*Its value was average of five plants

## Table 5. Effect of soil amendments and fertilizer levels on gross return and net return

| Treatments   | Yield                            |                                  | Economics                             |                                   |  |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
|  | Seed yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Dry matter (q ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Gross return (Rs ha <sup>-1</sup> )   | Net return (Rs ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |  |
| Soil amendments  | - (1 )                           | - (1 )                           | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |                                   |  |
| A <sub>0</sub> - Control                                 | 3.54                             | 9.99                             | 531194.44                             | 461618.32                         |  |
| A <sub>1</sub> - 2 % Canal silt                          | 4.99                             | 12.57                            | 749055.56                             | 664396.19                         |  |
| $A_2$ - Compost @ 30 t ha <sup>-1</sup>                  | 5.38                             | 13.40                            | 807375.00                             | 677798.87                         |  |
| $A_3 - 2$ % Canal silt + compost @ 30 t ha <sup>-1</sup> | 6.09                             | 17.01                            | 913000.00                             | 768340.63                         |  |
| S.Em±  | 0.12                             | 0.82                             | 18079.40                              | 18079.40                          |  |
| CD (0.05)  | 0.42                             | 2.84                             | 62562.97                              | 62562.97                          |  |
| Fertilizer levels  |                                  |                                  |                                       |                                   |  |
| F <sub>0</sub> - 0 % RDF                                 | 4.10                             | 10.30                            | 614361.11                             | 511819.49                         |  |
| F1 - 75 % RDF  | 4.84                             | 13.08                            | 726694.44                             | 619576.79                         |  |
| F <sub>2</sub> - 100 % RDF                               | 5.35                             | 14.37                            | 802138.89                             | 693495.64                         |  |
| F <sub>3</sub> – 125 % RDF                               | 5.72                             | 15.22                            | 857430.56                             | 747262.10                         |  |
| S.Em±  | 0.16                             | 0.44                             | 24673.35                              | 24673.35                          |  |
| CD (0.05)  | 0.48                             | 1.28                             | 72016.40                              | 72016.40                          |  |

## 3.2 Effect of Soil Amendments and Fertilizer Levels on net Returns

It is evident from the experimental data mean basis presented in Table 5 indicated that net returns of onion seed crop were significantly Increased by application of different soil amendments as compared to control. The maximum gross & net returns were recorded with the application of 2 % Canal silt with Compost @ 30 t ha<sup>1</sup> which was significantly higher than Compost @ 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> at par with  $A_1$  (2 % Canal silt) and lowest gross & net returns were recorded under control. Perusal of data presented in Table 5 indicated that net returns of onion seed crop was significantly influenced by application of different levels of RDF as compared to control. The highest gross & net returns were recorded with fertilizers application at 125 per cent followed by RDF @ 100 %, RDF @ 75 % and the lowest gross & net returns were recorded in the control.

## 4. DISCUSSION

#### 4.1 Growth, Yield Attributes and Yield of Onion

Application of compost @ 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> significantly increased the plant height, diameter of umbels, number of umbels plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of seed umbel<sup>-</sup> , seed yield (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), seed yield (g ha<sup>-1</sup>), dry matter yield (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), dry matter yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>) of onion seed crop (Table 4 and 5). The application of compost @ 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> along with 2 % canal silt the maximum recorded value of these parameters. This may be attributed primarily to the beneficial role of compost followed by canal silt which improved overall physical condition of the soil via in total pore space, water retention capacity and improvement in retention of nutrients and decreased bulk density. Since, the compost being a store house of almost all the plant nutrients required for proper growth and development of plants, its addition in soil enhanced availability of these nutrients. Thus, the improvement in soil environment encouraged proliferation of plant roots, which helped to draw more water and nutrients from larger area and deeper layers which in turn increased vegetative growth owing to higher availability of nutrients, more synthesis of carbohydrates and their translocation to different plant parts including the reproductive structures. The incorporation of 2 % canal silt substantially improved the plant height, diameter of umbels, number of umbels plant<sup>-1</sup>,

number of seed umbel<sup>-1</sup>, seed yield (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), seed yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>), dry matter yield (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), dry matter yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>) of onion seed crop. Thus, increased availability of moisture and the nutrients to the plant under the influence of which applied clay might have helped the plant in greater absorption as observed in the present investigation (Table 4.) and their efficient utilization in vegetative growth and development of reproductive structures and consequently the better height and vield attributes of onion seed crop. These findings corroborate with the findings of Kumawat and Mani Ram [14]. The increase in seed and dry matter yield was probably due to improvement in growth and yield attributes as consequence of increased moisture and nutrient availability under the influence of 2 % canal silt. These results are in close conformity with the findings of Binitha [15], Yadahalli [16], Patel et al. [17] and Sharma et al. [18].

Significant increase in the growth and yield attributing characters viz. plant height, diameter of umbels, number of umbels plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of seed umbel<sup>-1</sup>, seed yield (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), seed yield (g ha<sup>-1</sup>), dry matter yield (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), dry matter yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>), of onion were observed due to the application of Compost @ 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with 2 % canal silt (Table 4 and 5). The profound influence of soil amendments (compost and canal silt) on the yield attributing characters could be attributed to increased metabolic processes in plants which seem to have promoted meristematic activities causing higher growth and expansion of photosynthetic surface. Thus, it is obvious that the improved growth and development of the crop plants in the present investigation might be the result of enhanced energy transformation and enzyme activation in carbohydrate metabolism and subsequent greater photosynthates partitioning in the yield attribute characters because compost contain nutrient as well as provide better physico-chemical properties of soil for plant growth. The total seed yield of onion is cumulative effect of yield attributing the characters. Significant and positive correlations between total yield and yield attributes also support the higher total seed yield obtained under the present investigation (Table 5). These results are in close conformity with the findings of Binitha [15], Yadahalli [19], Patel et al. [17] Sharma et al. [18] and and Kumawat et al. [20]. Ramprasad et al. [21] also reported that application of canal silt in the soil helps in retention of nutrients in the soil and increased the fertility of soil which in turn increases crop yield. Among the soil amendments the net returns of onion were recorded higher with Compost @ 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with 2 % canal silt (Table 5). The cost these involved under treatments was comparatively lower than its additional income, which led to more returns under these treatments. It is might due to higher yield produced under above mentioned treatments [22]. Among the fertilizer levels the net returns of onion were recorded higher 125 % RDF (Table 5). The cost involved under these treatments was comparatively lower than its additional income. which led to more returns under these treatments. It is might due to higher yield produced under abovementioned treatments [23,24].

# 5. CONCLUSION

Application of soil amendments (2 % canal silt + compost @ 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) significantly increases plant height, diameter of umbel, number of umbel plant<sup>-1</sup>, seed yield plant<sup>-1</sup>, dry matter plant<sup>-1</sup>, seed yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>), and dry matter (q ha<sup>-1</sup>) of onion seed crop as compared without soil amendment and also observed in fertilizer level application of RDF @ 125% increase plant height, diameter of umbel, number of umbel plant<sup>-1</sup>, seed yield plant<sup>-1</sup>, seed yield plant<sup>-1</sup>, and dry matter (q ha<sup>-1</sup>) of onion seed crop.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Rahim MA. Spices and plantation crops in national economic. Proceeding of the sixth National Horticultural Convention and Symposium, held at Bangladesh Agricultural University. 1992;24-29.
- Elhag AZ, Osman HM. Effect of Plant Spacing on Onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Seeds Quality. Universal Journal of Applied Science. 2013;1(2):52-55.
- Opara LU, Onion: Post-Harvest Operation. Massey University, Palmerstone North, New Zealand; 2003
- MoARD (Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development), Rural capacity building project. Course for Training of trainers on improved horticultural crop technologies. 2009;5-19.
- 5. Anonymous a. Annual Report. Food and Agricultural Organization; 2018.

- 6. Anonymous b. Horticultural Statistics at a Glance; 2018.
- 7. Anonymous c. Rajasthan agriculture statistics at a glance; 2018.
- 8. Anonymous. Economic assessment of desilted sediments in term of plant nutrients equivalent- A case study in the Medak district of Andhra Pradesh. District water Management Agency. 2003;1-9.
- Kabir NE, Roy I, Ray D. Effect of combination of organic materials and nitrogen fertilizers on growth and yield of mulberry. Indian Agriculturist. 1991;35:81-85.
- Kumar A, Singh RP, Shukla A. Suitability of flow input management technology for sustaining mustard productivity. Drop. Inter symp. On crop productivity and sustainability shaping the future, New Delhi. Nov. 1996;17-27.
- Kumawat S, Kharia SK, Yadav SR, Shekhawat PS. Impact of long-term nutrient management on soil quality under cluster bean-wheat cropping system in hyper arid region. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation. 2023:22(2):204-211.
- 12. Singh K, Singh RP. Effect of various sources and levels of nitrogen on growth of and fruiting response of bhindi. Horticulturist. 1965;1:76-80.
- Kumawat S, Kharia SK, Yadav SR, Jakhar RK. Effect of long-term application of organic and inorganics by using soil test crop response on soil aggregate fractions in western Rajasthan. The Pharma Innovation. 2021a;10(8):746-750.
- 14. Kumawat BL, Mani Ram. Effect of fly ash and clay mixing on soil properties and nitrogen utilization by pearl millet in loamy sand soil. International Conference on Soil, Water and Environment Quality, IARI, New Delhi. 2005;246.
- 15. Binitha NK. Characterization of tank silts of north Karnataka and evaluation of its effect on the growth and yield of groundnut. Ph.D Thesis submitted to University Agriculture science; 2006.
- Yadahalli V. Studies on the effect of mulches, organics and organic solutions on growth, yield and quality of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) cv.byadagi dabbi in Northern Transition Zone of Karnataka M.Sc (Agri) Thesis, Univ.Agric.Sci., Dharwad, Karnataka, India; 2008.
- 17. Patel CR, Patel MK, Khajanji SN, Patel JR. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth., yield and economics of

soybean genotypes. Journal of Agriculture Research and Technology. 2012;**37**(1):08-010

- 18. Sharma RP, Datt N, Chander G. Effect of vermicompost, farmyard manure and chemical fertilizers on yield, nutrient uptake and soil fertility in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*)-onion (*Allium cepa*) sequence in wet temperate zone of Himachal Pradesh. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2009;57(3):357-361.
- Yadahalli V. Studies on the effect of mulches, organics and organic solutions on growth, yield and quality of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) cv.byadagi dabbi in Northern Transition Zone of Karnataka M.Sc (Agri) Thesis, Univ.Agric.Sci., Dharwad, Karnataka, India; 2008.
- 20. Kumawat S, Kharia SK, Yadav SR, Meena AK, Sanwal RC, Sunda SL. Assessing changes in physical and biological properties in soil by soil test crop response approach. Frontiers in Crop Improvement. 2021b;9:2549-2553 (Special Issue-VI) December 2021.

- 21. Ramprasad V, Srikanthamurthy HS. Ningappa K, Shivakumar NB, Ningaraju S, Doddappa VAR, Shivanna M, Obanna N, Pandu AC, Rama S, Sandhya M, Veena P, Suma 'Sustainable S. Agricultural Practices' a hand book on practices of sustainable agriculture in kannada, Rendered and Published by Green Foundation, Bangalore; 2009.
- 22. Kumawat S, Kharia SK, Yadav SR, Jinger D. Performance of clusterbean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba*) under longterm prescription-based fertilizer recommendation in Western Rajasthan. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2021c;66 (4):42\_46.
- 23. Fisher RA, Yate F. Statistical tables for biological, agricultural and medical research. Oliver and Boyd, Edinburg, London. 1963;57–63.
- 24. Osman, Mohammed Tank silt application for improving soil and water productivity in rainfed crop. Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture, Santoshnagar, Hyderabad. 2007;500 059.

© 2023 Kumawat et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/106969